

Judicial Branch Courthouse Construction Program for FY 2002
(Dollars in Millions)

Project (In Priority Order Approved by Judicial Conference)	Project Phase on Judiciary's Plan	Amount Recommended by the Judiciary in FY 2002	Amount Requested in President's Budget
<u>(From Previous Plans)</u>			
Brooklyn, NY	Design & Construct	\$32.000	\$3.361*
Washington, DC	Construction	6.595	6.595
Buffalo, NY	Site & Design	0.716	0.716
Springfield, MA	Design & Construct	6.473	6.473
Miami, FL	Construction	15.000	0
<u>(On FY 2002 Plan)</u>			
1. Fresno, CA	Construction	\$121.2	\$121.225
2. Erie, PA	Construction	30.7	30.739
3. Eugene, OR	Construction	75.2	4.470 **
4. El Paso, TX	Site & Design	11.1	11.193
5. Mobile, AL	Site & Design	11.3	11.290
6. Norfolk, VA	Site & Design	11.8	11.609
7. Las Cruces, NM	Design	4.1	4.110
8. Salt Lake City, UT	Construction	76.5	0 ***
9. Little Rock, AR	Design & Construct	75.0	5.022 **
10. Rockford, IL	Site & Design	4.9	0
11. Cedar Rapids, IA	Site & Design	15.1	0
12. Nashville, TN	Site & Design	14.3	0
13. Savannah, GA	Construction	46.5	0
14. Fort Pierce, FL	Site & Design	4.5	0
15. Jackson, MS	Site & Design	12.3	0
16. Austin, TX	Site & Design	8.5	0
17. San Diego, CA	Design	14.3	0
18. Cape Girardeau, MO	Construction	36.9	0 ***
19. Orlando, FL	Construction	71.3	0 ***
20. San Jose, CA	Site & Design	19.4	0

* Only a portion of this money is for the new courthouse annex, with the remainder to be spent on design of U.S. Attorney space in the General Post Office. GSA's prospectus does not specify how the funding request is to be divided.

** These funds are for additional site and/or design only and do not include construction money as planned. A prolonged site selection process for the Eugene project and a change in design plans for the Little Rock project resulted in their not being ready for construction in FY 2002.

*** GSA indicates these projects may not be ready for any additional funding in FY 2002.