

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE  
TENTH CIRCUIT**

IN RE: [REDACTED]

**IN THE MATTER OF  
JUSTICE BRETT KAVANAUGH**

| No. 10-18-90038  
|

**Before TYMKOVICH, Chief Circuit Judge, KELLY, LUCERO, BRISCO, Circuit Judges,  
BRIMMER, SKAVDAHL, WADDOUPS, and DOWDELL, District Judges**

---

**PETITION FOR REVIEW**

Now comes, [REDACTED] the Petitioner in the above matter seeking a review and modification to this Court's order dated December 18, 2018 regarding his judicial complaint filed against Justice Brett Kavanaugh. Upon his review, the Petitioner seeks 1) a modification of this Court's order and 2) an investigation regarding the October 6, 2018 public statements issued by Judge Karen LeCraft Henderson.

**The Review**

Upon a review of this Court's order on Page 4 regarding D.C. Circuit Judge Karen LeCraft Henderson public statements issued on October 6, 2018 (See Exh A). Whereas, this Court referenced a footnote (3) stating that:

*"...none of the complaints as of that date pertained to any conduct in which Justice Kavanaugh engaged in as a judge on the D.C. Court of Appeals.."*

This statement is an error and should be removed from the record. The redacted version posted on this courts website regarding case number [REDACTED] on **page 16** of the complaint titled "COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT-The Misconduct Issue" on page 16 clearly states Section h(1)(B) was the referenced statute regarding *the personal favors related to the judicial office* clause of the complaint. In addition, on this same page, the stamped date from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia is clearly dated October 3, 2018. Therefore, the statement issued by Judge Henderson is factually incorrect based on this Court's website public posting.

### **Proposed Amendment**

Therefore, Judge Henderson should re-issue another public statement and include the following proposed language as:

*“...with the exception of one complaint filed October 3, 2018, none of the complaints as of that date pertained to any conduct in which Justice Kavanaugh engaged in as a judge on the D.C. Court of Appeals..”.*

and that this Court re-issue the correction in a subsequent order.

Hence, the Petitioner finds that the public statement issued by Judge Henderson very disturbing and therefore petitions an investigation as to why her statements are not consistent with this Court’s public disclosures regarding the Petitioner’s complaint?

### **Proposed Investigation**

On November 6, 2018 the Petitioner sent a notice to Mr. James C. Duff of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (See Exhibit B). In the exhibit, the signature of Judge Henderson had been lifted from another document, photo copied and pasted on an order dismissing the Petitioner’s complaint. In the opinion of the Petitioner, Judge Henderson appears to have no knowledge or awareness of the Petitioner’s complaint filed against both Justice Brett Kavanaugh and [REDACTED] of the United States D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals. In addition, the response from the Administrative Office of the United States Courts was confusing and frustrating. That office suggested that the matter should be referred back to the U.S. District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals where the issue originated (Exhibit C). Therefore, the investigation should focus on who copy/pasted Judge Henderson’s signature onto a “fake” order. In addition, the investigation should address who are the persons that authorized this action?

In closing, the Petitioner recently brought notice to the Justices of the United States Supreme Court Justices an issue regarding the cyber-hacking of the federal Court’s ECF/CM system. This information was shared with that Court in the form of a ‘Writ of Cert’ filed on January 22, 2019 (See Exhibit D).

### **Declaration and Statement**

I [REDACTED] declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the forgoing information and exhibits are true to the best of my knowledge.

Signature: [REDACTED]

Date: 1/24/2019