Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2007\*

Intercepts   Installed   Reported**   Per Original	
FEDERAL 454 453 65,  ARIZONA  MARICOPA 10 10 68, PIMA 1 - STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 13 13 13 117, YUMA 10 10 10 10 11,  CALIFORNIA  ALAMEDA 3 3 3 3 191, CONTRA COSTA 4 4 4 43, FRESNO 3 3 3 3 36, LOS ANGELES 284 283 31, CORANGE 1 1 1 18, SACRAMENTO 8 8 8 8 33, SAN BERNARDINO 59 59 31, SAN DIEGO 131 130 27, SAN FRANCISCO 4 4 4 50, SAN JULIS OBISPO 4 4 5, SAN LUIS OBISPO 4 4 4 50, SAN MATEO 3 3 3 3 33, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 3 3 3, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 3 3, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 3 3 3, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 3 3 3 3, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	ge Cost Order n \$
ARIZONA  MARICOPA  MARICOP	48,477
MARICOPA PIMA 1 1 - STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 13 13 13 117, YUMA 10 10 10 10 11,  CALIFORNIA  ALAMEDA 3 3 3 3 191, CONTRA COSTA 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 0 CONTRA COSTA 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	65,660
PIMA STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 13 13 13 117, YUMA 10 10 10 111, YUMA 10 10 111, YUMA 10 10 10 10 111, YUMA 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 111, YUMA 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 13 13 117, YUMA 10 10 10 111,  CALIFORNIA  ALAMEDA ALAMEDA 3 3 3 191, CONTRA COSTA 4 4 4 43, FRESNO 3 3 3 36, LOS ANGELES 284 283 31, ORANGE 1 1 1 1 18, SACRAMENTO 8 8 8 33, SACRAMENTO 8 8 8 8 33, SAN BERNARDINO 59 59 59 31, SAN DIEGO 131 130 27, SAN FRANCISCO 4 4 4 5, SAN JOAQUIN 1 - SAN LUIS OBISPO 4 4 4 20, SAN MATEO 3 3 3 3 38, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 3 3, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 3 18, SCANTA BARBARA 1 1 1 1 95, SOLANO 1 1 1 95, SONOMA 2 2 2 2 993, STANISLAUS 9 9 9 244, VENTURA 28 28 34, CCLORADO  STATISTICIT (JEFFERSON) 12 12 12 45, ATT JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON) 12 12 12 45, ATT JUDICIAL DISTRICT (FUEBLO) 2 2 2 14, 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (FUEBLO) 2 2 2 14, 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (FUEBLO) 2 2 2 14, 21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (FUEBLO) 3 3 3 116, CCONNECTICUT	68,704
CALIFORNIA  ALAMEDA 3 3 3 191, CONTRA COSTA 4 4 4 4 43, FRESNO 3 3 3 31, COS ANGELES 284 283 31, COS ANGELES 1 1 1 1 18, SACRAMENTO 8 8 8 8 33, SAN DERON 59 59 31, SAN DIEGO 131 130 27, SAN FRANCISCO 4 4 4 5, SAN FRANCISCO 4 4 4 5, SAN FRANCISCO 4 4 4 5, SAN FRANCISCO 4 4 4 4 20, SAN JOAQUIN 1 SAN LUIS OBISPO 4 4 4 4 20, SAN MATEO 3 3 3 3 18, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 3 18, SOLANO 1 1 1 95, SOLANO 1 1 1 1 95, SOLANO 1 1 1 95, SOLANO 1 1 1 1 1 95, SOLANO 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	17 000
CALIFORNIA ALAMEDA 3 3 3 191, CONTRA COSTA 4 4 4 43, FRESNO 3 3 3 36, OS ANGELES 284 283 31, DRANGE 1 1 1 1 18, SACRAMENTO 8 8 8 8 33, SAN BERNARDINO 59 59 59 31, SAN DIEGO 131 130 27, SAN PRANCISCO 4 4 4 50, SAN JOAQUIN 1 - SAN LUIS OBISPO 4 4 4 20, SAN MATEO 3 3 3 3 38, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 3 18, SANTA CLARA 1 1 1 95, SONOMA 2 2 2 2 93, STANISLAUS 9 9 9 24, VENTURA 28 28 28 34.  COLORADO  IST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON) 12 12 45, ETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) 2 2 14, ETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) 2 2 2 14, ETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA) 3 3 116, ECONNECTICUT	11,336
ALAMEDA 3 3 191, CONTRA COSTA 4 4 4 4 43, FRESNO 3 3 36, COS ANGELES 284 283 31, CORANGE 1 1 1 18, SACRAMENTO 8 8 8 8 33, SAN BERNARDINO 59 59 31, SAN DIEGO 131 130 27, SAN FRANCISCO 4 4 4 550, SAN JOAQUIN 1 - SAN JOAQUIN 1 - SAN JOAQUIN 1 1 - SAN JOAQUIN 1 1 - SAN ALIS OBISPO 4 4 4 20, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 33, SANTA BARBARA 1 1 1 1 49, SOLANO 1 1 1 1 95, SONOMA 2 2 2 93, STANISLAUS 9 9 9 244, FENTURA 28 28 28 34, COLORADO  IST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON) 12 12 45, STAT JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) 2 2 14, EIST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) 2 2 14, EIST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA) 3 116, CONNECTICUT	,
CONTRA COSTA CONTRA COSTA CRESNO CONTRA COSTA CRESNO CONTRA COSTA CRESNO CONTRA COSTA	
FRESNO 3 3 3,000 OS ANGELES 284 283 31,000 OS ANGELES 1 1 1 1 18,000 OS ANGELES 1 1 1 1 18,000 OS ANGERMENTO 8 8 8 33,000 OS ANGERNARDINO 59 59 31,000 OS AN BERNARDINO 59 59 31,000 OS AN DIEGO 131 130 130 27,000 OS AN JOAQUIN 1 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	91,108
284   283   31,	43,326
DRANGE 1 1 1 18, ACRAMENTO 8 8 8 33, ASAN BERNARDINO 59 59 31, ASAN DIEGO 131 130 27, ASAN DIEGO 131 130 27, ASAN JOAQUIN 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	36,700 31,625
SACRAMENTO 8 8 8 33, 33, 34, 35, 36, 36, 36, 36, 36, 36, 36, 36, 36, 36	18,745
SAN DIEGO 131 130 27, SAN FRANCISCO 4 4 4 50, SAN JOAQUIN 1 - SAN LUIS OBISPO 4 4 20, SAN MATEO 3 3 3 33, SANTA BARBARA 3 1 1 1 49, SOLANO 1 1 1 95, STANISLAUS 9 9 9 24, SETANISLAUS 9 9 9 9 10, SETANISLAUS 9 10, SETANISL	33,472
SAN FRANCISCO SAN JOAQUIN SAN LUIS OBISPO SAN LUIS OBISPO SAN MATEO SAN MATEO SAN BARBARA SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA SOLANO STANISLAUS STANISLAU	31,735
SAN JOAQUIN SAN LUIS OBISPO 4 4 4 20, SAN MATEO 3 3 33, SANTA BARBARA 3 3 31, SANTA CLARA 1 1 1 49, SOLANO 1 1 95, SONOMA 2 2 93, STANISLAUS 9 9 9 24, STANISLAUS 9 9 24, STANISLAUS 9 12 45, TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON) 12 12 45, TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) 2 14 15T JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) 2 16T JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA) 3 116, CONNECTICUT	27,678
SAN LUIS OBISPO  SAN MATEO  SAN M	50,689
SAN MATEO       3       33       33         SANTA BARBARA       3       18       3       18         SANTA CLARA       1       1       49       49         SOLANO       1       1       95       95       93       93       93       93       93       24       93       94       24       94	-
SANTA BARBARA 3 18, SANTA CLARA 1 1 1 49, SOLANO 1 1 1 95, SONOMA 2 2 2 93, STANISLAUS 9 9 9 24, ZENTURA 28 28 34, SOLORADO ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON) 12 12 45, TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO) 30 29 41, OTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) 2 2 14, ITST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA) 3 116, SONNECTICUT	20,995 33,500
SANTA CLARA  5 OLANO  1 1 1 95, 5 ONOMA  5 ONOMA  5 ONOMA  5 ONOMA  5 ONOMA  6 OLORADO  ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)  12 12 45, 17 H JUDICIAL DISTRICT (FUEBLO)  18 OTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)  19 OTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)  10 ONNECTICUT	18,382
1	49,200
### STANISLAUS 9 9 24  ### ZENTURA 28 28 34  ### ZENTURA 28 38  ### ZENTURA 38 38  ##	95,104
ZENTURA 28 28 34,  ZOLORADO  ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON) 12 12 45,  ITH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO) 30 29 41,  OTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) 2 2 14,  IST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA) 3 3 116,  CONNECTICUT	93,235
COLORADO  ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON) 12 12 45, ITH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO) 30 29 41, 0TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) 2 2 14, E1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA) 3 3 116, CONNECTICUT	24,066
ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)       12       12       45,         TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)       30       29       41,         0TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)       2       2       14,         1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)       3       3       116,	34,411
12   12   45,   14   15   15   16   17   17   18   19   19   19   19   19   19   19	
#TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO) 30 29 41, #ID TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO) 2 2 14, #ID TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA) 3 3 116, #ID TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA) 1 116, #ID TH JUD	45,132
0TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)       2       2       14,         21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)       3       3       116,    CONNECTICUT	41,258
CONNECTICUT	14,093
	16,333
	5,302
	6,453
	33,019
FLORIDA	
	7,021
	17,245
	52,475
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	59,758
	19,571
	26,032
3TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HILLSBOROUGH) 17 17 28, 4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BAY) 1 -	28,259
	- 34,357
6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (KEY WEST) 2 -	,007
	37,767

Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2007 (Continued)\*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
FLORIDA (CONTINUED)			
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)		11	12,090
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	26	26	31,991
GEORGIA			
UGUSTA	3	3	10,667
IBB	1	1	73,525
OBB	2	2	11,587
EKALB	5	5	3,200
WINNETT	17	17	54,319
ОАНО			
LEARWATER	1	-	-
LINOIS			
OHNSON	1	-	-
IARYLAND			
ALTIMORE	39	39	16,964
ALTIMORE CITY	42	25	27,896
REDERICK	2	2	22,750
ARFORD	14	14	8,191
OWARD	6	6	16,700
ASHINGTON	1	1	28,819
IASSACHUSETTS			
RISTOL	1	1	59,422
IDDLESEX	1	1	44,402
ORFOLK	3	3	61,436
UFFOLK	4	4	18,500
IINNESOTA			
ENNEPIN	NP	NP	NP
EVADA			
LARK	21	20	28,680
EW JERSEY			
TLANTIC	4	3	7,333
URLINGTON	5	5	65,200
AMDEN	5	5	75,120
APE MAY	1	1	88,970
LOUCESTER	1	<u>-</u>	-
UDSON	4	4	27,826
UNTERDON	1	-	-
ERCER	6	2	4,341
IDDLESEX	1	-	-
ONMOUTH	35	33	27,481
ORRIS	18	18	172,885
ASSAIC	12	12	79,167
OMERSET	25	17	14,824
	40	10	96,699
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL NION	18 17	18 7	591,329

Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2007 (Continued)\*

IEW MEXICO   ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BERNALIILLO)   3   3   62,340     IEW YORK				
ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BERNALILLO)   3   3   62,340     NEW YORK	Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts	Orders for Which Cost	per Order
ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BERNALILLO)   3   3   62,340     NEW YORK			·	
LBANY	NEW MEXICO PND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BERNALILLO)	3	3	62,340
LBANY	NEW YORK			
ATTARAUGUS	ALBANY	6	-	-
RANKLIN	CATTARAUGUS		1	22,520
ULTON  (A 27,593 IONROCE  (A 7 7 7 35,691 IONTGOMERY  (A 4 4 4 58,500 IASSAU  (A 6 6 6 6 193,625 IEWYORK  (A 1 1 - 200,311 IAGARA  (A 1 1 - 200,311 IAGARA  (A 1 1 1 - 30,311 IAGARA  (A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	FRANKLIN	7	7	
IONROE	FULTON	4	4	
MONTGOMERY	MONROE	7	7	
IASSAU	MONTGOMERY	4	4	
IEW YORK	NASSAU	6	6	
IAGARA	NEW YORK	12	11	
YORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE   14	NAGARA			- / - -
INC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU 103 96 11,470 PRISIDA 3 2	NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE		14	237,260
NEIDA   3	NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	103	96	·
1	ONEIDA	3	2	· -
DRAINGE   2	DNONDAGA			117,800
NCHMOND	DRANGE	2	2	
NCHMOND	QUEENS	229	229	17.534
COCKLAND	RICHMOND			
ARATOGA CHENECTADY 1 1 1 33,500 CHENECTADY 1 1 1 33,500 TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 2 2 2 1,855,955 TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 3 49 49 49 63,353 WAYNE 8 8 8 43,000 WESTCHESTER 28 28 28 50,539  HORTH CAROLINA COLUMBUS 1 1 1 205,000 WAKE 2 2 2 158,750 WAYNE 1 1 1 33,000  HORTH CAROLINA COLUMBUS 1 1 1 205,000 WAKE 2 2 2 158,750 WAYNE 1 1 1 1 64,772 MADISON 1 1 1 64,772 MADISON 1 1 1 61,952  KILAHOMA CHARACTURAL CHERACTURAL C	ROCKLAND	16	16	
CHENECTADY				-
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 2 2 1,859,595 EUFFOLK 49 49 63,353 WAYNE 8 8 8 43,000 WESTCHESTER 28 28 28 50,539 EUFFOLK 49 49 63,353 WAYNE 49 49 63,353 WAYNE 49 49 49 68,353 WAYNE 49 49 49 49 68,353 WAYNE 49 49 49 49 49 49 68,353 WAYNE 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49	SCHENECTADY		1	33.500
### 1				
VAYNE				
VESTCHESTER   28   28   50,539	VAYNE			
1	VESTCHESTER			
TITT	NORTH CAROLINA			
VAKE	COLUMBUS	1	1	700
VAKE	PITT	1	1	205,000
DHIO ORAIN 1 1 1 64,772 MADISON 1 1 1 61,952  DKLAHOMA STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 4 4 147,018  DREGON CORVALLIS 1 1 1 380,000 ANE 2 2 5,575  DENNSYLVANIA SUCKS 1 1 1 213,619 AUCHIN 23 22 49,140 ANCASTER 1 1 1 16,783 MONTGOMERY 4 4 4 10,207	VAKE	2	2	
ORAIN 1 1 64,772 MADISON 1 1 1 61,952  OKLAHOMA STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 4 4 14,7018  OREGON CORVALLIS 1 1 380,000 ANE 2 2 2 5,575  OENNSYLVANIA BUCKS 1 1 1 213,619 OAUPHIN 23 22 49,140 ANCASTER 1 1 1 16,783 MONTGOMERY 4 4 1	VAYNE	1	1	33,000
ORAIN 1 1 64,772 MADISON 1 1 1 61,952  OKLAHOMA STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 4 4 14,7018  OREGON CORVALLIS 1 1 380,000 ANE 2 2 2 5,575  OENNSYLVANIA BUCKS 1 1 1 213,619 OAUPHIN 23 22 49,140 ANCASTER 1 1 1 16,783 MONTGOMERY 4 4 1	ОНЮ			
MADISON 1 1 1 61,952  OKLAHOMA STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 4 4 147,018  OREGON CORVALLIS 1 1 380,000 ANE 2 2 2 5,575  PENNSYLVANIA BUCKS 1 1 1 213,619 OAUPHIN 23 22 49,140 ANCASTER 1 1 1 16,783 MONTGOMERY 4 4 10,207	ORAIN	1	1	64,772
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 4 4 147,018  PREGON  CORVALLIS 1 1 380,000 ANE 2 2 2 5,575  PENNSYLVANIA BUCKS 1 1 1 213,619 DAUPHIN 23 22 49,140 ANCASTER 1 1 1 16,783 MONTGOMERY 4 4 10,207	MADISON			
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 4 4 147,018  PREGON  CORVALLIS 1 1 380,000 ANE 2 2 2 5,575  PENNSYLVANIA BUCKS 1 1 1 213,619 DAUPHIN 23 22 49,140 ANCASTER 1 1 1 16,783 MONTGOMERY 4 4 10,207	OKLAHOMA			
CORVALLIS     1     1     380,000       ANE     2     2     5,575       PENNSYLVANIA       BUCKS     1     1     213,619       DAUPHIN     23     22     49,140       ANCASTER     1     1     16,783       MONTGOMERY     4     4     10,207	STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	4	147,018
CORVALLIS     1     1     380,000       ANE     2     2     5,575       PENNSYLVANIA       BUCKS     1     1     213,619       DAUPHIN     23     22     49,140       ANCASTER     1     1     16,783       MONTGOMERY     4     4     10,207	DREGON			
2 2 5,575  PENNSYLVANIA BUCKS 1 1 1 213,619 DAUPHIN 23 22 49,140 ANCASTER 1 1 1 16,783 MONTGOMERY 4 4 10,207	CORVALLIS	1	1	380.000
BUCKS     1     1     213,619       DAUPHIN     23     22     49,140       ANCASTER     1     1     16,783       MONTGOMERY     4     4     10,207	ANE			
BUCKS     1     1     213,619       DAUPHIN     23     22     49,140       ANCASTER     1     1     16,783       MONTGOMERY     4     4     10,207	PENNSYLVANIA			
DAUPHIN     23     22     49,140       ANCASTER     1     1     16,783       MONTGOMERY     4     4     10,207	BUCKS	1	1	213,619
ANCASTER 1 1 1 16,783 MONTGOMERY 4 4 10,207	DAUPHIN			
MONTGOMERY 4 4 10,207	ANCASTER			·
	MONTGOMERY			
	STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	18	18	60,178

Table 5 Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2007 (Continued)\*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for Which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
			·
RHODE ISLAND STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	7	7	89,254
TENNESSEE			
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SEVIER)	2	2	16,000
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ROANE)	1		-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	17	17	21,651
TEXAS			
HARRIS	4	4	8,250
WISCONSIN			
MILWAUKEE	2	2	51,250
WAUPACA	1	1	880

<sup>\*</sup> NP = No prosecutor's report.
\*\* Includes costs for orders for which intercepts were installed but not used.