Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2009*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
OTAL	1,764	1,564	52,200
EDERAL	337	323	62,552
RIZONA			
IARICOPA	22	21	170,329
IMA	3	3	49,943
ALIFORNIA			
LAMEDA	2	2	310,057
ONTRA COSTA	6	6	32,404
RESNO	2	2	53,940
MPERIAL	11	10	21,604
ERN	9	9	87,600
OS ANGELES	183	169	38,885
IERCED	2	2	206,500
RANGE	55	50	41,590
IVERSIDE	60	57	45,631
ACRAMENTO	8	3	168,855
AN BERNARDINO	NP	NP	NP
AN DIEGO	29	29	62,086
AN JOAQUIN	5	5	27,797
AN LUIS OBISPO	3	-	21,101
ANTA BARBARA	4	4	29,117
ANTA CLARA	7	7	51,082
HASTA	1	-	31,002
TANISLAUS	5		20.570
TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	5 3	30,578
			56,961
UTTER	4	4	5,946
ULARE	1	1	201,392
ENTURA	25	25	42,498
OLORADO	_		
ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	5	4	75,587
ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	24	24	21,527
TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	55	55	23,365
TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (LA PLATA)	3	3	41,400
TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER)	3	3	31,199
TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	7	6	13,440
0TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	11	11	42,712
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	3	3	4,500
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	2	2	14,866
ONNECTICUT OCKY HILL	3	-	-
LORIDA			
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	26	26	13,115
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	6	6	10,333
	15	14	84,231
	10	14	04.201
TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)			
1H JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA) 1TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE) 8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	4 11	3 9	47,726 52,126

Table 5
Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2009 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
EORGIA			
OBB	1	1	25,120
ORDELE	1	1	12,443
OWETA	1	1	269,587
VINNETT	21	21	178,511
DUSTON	1	1	12,000
ACON	2	2	14,100
BEECHEE	2	2	7,400
YCROSS	2	2	37,528
LINOIS			
JPAGE	1	1	25,500
DIANA			
ARION	4	4	-
ANSAS ALINE	0	0	15.070
ALINE	2	2	15,876
ARYLAND		44	27.000
LTIMORE CITY	14	14	37,086
RFORD	1	-	-
ASSACHUSETTS	ND	ND	ND
RISTOL TATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	NP 6	NP 6	NP 22,969
	Ü	O	22,909
INNESOTA		•	
MSEY	3	3	87,253
SSISSIPPI			
NDS	4	-	-
NCOLN	2	-	-
ALTHALL	6	1	462,000
VADA	50	50	00.074
ARK ASHOE	52 1	52 1	26,074 7,122
	ı	ı	1,122
EW JERSEY	ND	ND	ND
LANTIC	NP	NP	NP
RGEN	38	37	41,446
AMDEN	3	- 4	- E7 E00
PE MAY	1	1	57,593
OUCESTER	3 14	- 1/	100 000
IDSON INTERDON	14 2	14 1	103,398 180,000
RCER	8	6	87,733
DDLESEX	o NP	o NP	67,733 NP
DMMOUTH	20	19	30,778
DRRIS	NP	NP	30,778 NP
CEAN	4	4	5,471
SSAIC	22	22	32,711
DMERSET	19	19	5,789

Table 5 Average Cost per Order
January 1 Through December 31, 2009 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders for which Intercepts Installed	Intercept Orders for which Cost Reported**	Average Cost per Order in \$
W IEDCEV (CONTINUED)	·		
W JERSEY (CONTINUED) ATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	3	60,197
IION	5	- -	-
RREN	1	1	97,350
W YORK			
BANY	2	1	266,344
ANKLIN	8	8	51,802
GS	17	15	23,442
NROE	20	19	72,400
SSAU	7	7	11,150
N YORK COUNTY	17	14	254,216
ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	8	8	541,124
C SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	103	9	14,053
EIDA	1	-	-
ONDAGA	1	1	321,873
EENS	127	127	18,482
NSSELAER	2	2	16,500
NT LAWRENCE	NP	NP	NP
RATOGA	1	-	-
FFOLK	73	64	51,469
STCHESTER	15	13	31,893
RTH CAROLINA			
ATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	5	5	132,188
110			
MILTON	NP	NP	NP
LAHOMA	40	40	54.000
ATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	10	10	51,260
NNSYLVANIA	4	4	700
LUMBIA	1	1	700
HIGH	1	1	181,265
NTGOMERY TE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	8	6,852
IE ALLUMNET GENERAL	32	31	64,226
NNESSEE TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)	11	11	1,518
H JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	42	41	14,854
SCONSIN ITE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	A	54,603
NNEBAGO	4	4 4	81,750
OMING			
RAMIE	1	1	3,000
V MAILE	ı	I	0,000

NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.
 Includes costs for orders for which intercepts were installed but not used.