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The Advisory Committee on Appellate Rules has very little to report and will not be presenting any items for action by the Standing Committee. The Advisory Committee did not meet this fall because it decided to delay work on any new projects until after the close of the comment period on the "style" packet, which period ends December 31, 1996.

Since the Standing Committee's meeting last June, however, the Advisory Committee has completed two tasks.

1. Further improvement of the proposed changes to Form 4. Pursuant to a request from the Clerk of the United States Supreme Court, last June the Advisory Committee presented for your consideration a revised Form 4 from the appendix of forms that accompanies the appellate rules. The Supreme Court rules require parties desiring to proceed in forma pauperis to file an affidavit or declaration in the form prescribed by Appellate Form 4. The Clerk advised that Form 4 needs to be changed to include more detailed financial information. At almost the same time, the Congress passed legislation affecting in forma pauperis appeals by prisoners. The legislation requires detail on "all assets" of the prisoner and requires a certified statement of the receipts, expenditures, and balances in the prisoner's institutional account during the preceding six months. At the June meeting the Standing Committee approved in principle the recommended changes in Form 4 but further simplification of the language was suggested.

Bryan Garner redrafted the form using simpler language and the Advisory Committee conferred by telephone on final changes to the language. The form as redrafted was published in August along with proposed changes in Appellate Rules 5 and 5.1.
2. Advisory Committee's self-evaluation. In October Judge Wm. Terrell Hodges, Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference of the United States, asked each of the Judicial Conference committees to conduct a self-evaluation. Because the Executive Committee plans to review the self-evaluations at a February 1997 meeting and the Advisory Committee did not plan to meet prior to that time, I polled the members of the Advisory Committee by mail. On the basis of their responses I prepared a draft of the self evaluation for review by the members of the Advisory Committee. With their approval, the report was submitted. In short, we recommend the committee's continued existence.

With regard to the style project, thus far we have received surprisingly few comments; they are generally favorable. The comments contain some suggestions for improvement and clarification as well as some new substantive suggestions; the latter will be placed on the Advisory Committee's docket for later consideration.

An updated version of the Advisory Committee's Table of Agenda Items is included for your information.

## Proposal <br> Amendment of FRAP 35（c）．

Amend FRAP 35（b）and（c）to change
＂suggestion＂for an en banc to a
＂petition＂for an en banc．
Final decision by rule／expanding
interlocutory appeal by rule．亏َ

끔
Current Status
Reporter asked to draft language $12 / 91$
Approved for submission to Standing Committee
Approved by Standing Committee for publication
to bench and bar $12 / 92$
Advisory Committee approved new drafts for
pubmission to Standing Committee for re-
publication $5 / 93$
Standing Committee approved new draft for re-
publication $6 / 93$
Published $11 / 93$
Advisory Committee approved new draft for
submission to Standing Committee for
republication $4 / 94$
Approved by Standing Committee for
republication $6 / 94$
Published $9 / 94$
New draft approved by Advisory Committee $4 / 95$
Standing Committee referred back to Advisory
Committee $6 / 96$
New draft approved by Advisory Committee
10/95
Standing Committee approved new draft for
publication $1 / 96$
Published $4 / 96$

## Source Mr. Greacen (CA-5)

Proposal
Typeface, re: rule 32.

FRAP Item
$91-4$

|  | Currentstus |
| :---: | :---: |

Approved for submission to Standing Committee
 Approved for resubmission to Standing Committee Approved by Standing Committee $6 / 93$ but not republished along with other changes to Rule 32 under item 91－4 Republished 9／94 Advisory Committee 4／95 New draft approved by Advisory Committee 4／95 Standing Committee referred back to Advisory
New draft approved by Advisory Committee Standing Committee approved new draft for publication 1／96
Published $4 / 96$
Amendment of Rule 32（a）to require
counsel to include their telephone numbers on the covers of briefs and appendices．
FRAP Item
91－9

local Rules Project \& Federal Courts Study
Committee
Uniform plan for publication of opinions.

## Source <br> Local Rules Project

Proposal
Amendment of Rule 21 so that a petition for mandamus does not bear the name of the district judge and the judge is
represented pro forma by counsel for
the party opposing the relief unless
the judge requests an order permitting
the judge to appear.
FRAP Iten:


Source
Advisory Committee


Amendment of Rule 35 to include
intercircuit conflict as ground for
seeking en banc．

FRAP Item




## Current Status <br> Awaiting initial discussion

FRAP Item
oे

