

Nobody <nobody@uscbgov.ao. To: Rules_Support@ao.uscourts.gov



03-AP-324

. cc:

Subject: Submission from http://www.uscourts.gov/rules/submit.html

02/11/2004 09:06 AM

dcn>

Salutation:	D	r.	
First:	M	ark	•
MI:	s.		
Last:	Bellamy	JD.PhD.	
Org:		1	
MailingAddres	ss1:	802 Queenswa	У
MailingAddres	ss2: 🧹		-
City:	virgini	a beach	
State:	v	irginia	
ZIP:	23454		
EmailAddress	:	wildthng@vis	i.net
EmailAddress: Phone:	-	wildthng@vis 57-491-1018	i.net
	-		i.net
Phone:	7		i.net
Phone: Fax:	7 Y	57-491-1018	i.net
Phone: Fax: Appellate:	7 Y Y	57-491-1018 es	i.net
Phone: Fax: Appellate: Bankruptcy:	7 Y Y Y	57-491-1018 es es	i.net
Phone: Fax: Appellate: Bankruptcy: CivilRules:	7 ¥ ¥ ¥	57-491-1018 es es es	i.net
Phone: Fax: Appellate: Bankruptcy: CivilRules: CriminalRule:	7 ¥ ¥ ¥ ¥	57-491-1018 es es es Yes	i.net

Sir,

It has been a long standing infringement upon the human equation that is supposed to be "..of the people, by the people, and for the people..." on all trial levels, as the majority of the courts govern themselves after the federal rules, etc. where citizens have no access to a strong and fundamental tool in their right to redress, and due process, etc. whereby they cannot support parts of their case by citing opinions from your peers because they were not published in some journal or weekly, or federal register whatever. It would be to everyones' advantage to support the access to un-published opinions as they are still rulings, opinions, analysis that where used to render an judgement.

submit2:	Submit Comment
HTTP Referer: HTTP User Agent: Remote Host: Remote Address:	<pre>http://www.uscourts.gov/rules/submit.html Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows 98) 10.213.201.7</pre>