

**FEDERAL JUDICIARY COURTHOUSE PROJECT PRIORITIES (CPP) FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019  
AS APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES  
2017**

The *Federal Judiciary Courthouse Project Priorities (CPP)* is the judiciary’s list of courthouse construction funding priorities as approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States (Judicial Conference). The CPP was developed using the results of the Asset Management Planning (AMP) process. Approved by the Judicial Conference in 2008, AMP is a comprehensive facility planning tool designed to identify the judiciary’s most urgent space needs, address cost-containment concerns, and incorporate applicable industry best practices.

The AMP process incorporates rigorous facility assessments and applies standards that support several of the objectives in the “*Strategic Plan for the Federal Judiciary.*” Each courthouse nationwide is assessed to determine current and future needs, identify preliminary housing solutions as needed, and calculate the relative urgency of need compared to other courts nationwide. Factors considered include building condition, building functionality, security, compliance with space standards, courtroom and chambers needs, and caseload and personnel growth. The resulting data and analysis is compiled in a long-range facilities plan for each judicial district. In addition, an Urgency Evaluation (UE) Results List that ranks space urgency by court location on a "worst first" basis is developed. Each location’s score is updated annually until a project is placed on Part I of the CPP, at which time its score is “frozen” to ensure certainty of planning for site acquisition, design, and funding requests.

The CPP is divided into two parts. Part I, which is provided immediately below, consists of the judiciary’s courthouse construction funding priorities for FY 2019. Part II, on the following page, identifies outyear courthouse construction priorities.

<b>Part I: Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 Courthouse Construction Funding Priorities</b>										
				<b>FUNDING SUMMARY (\$M)</b>						
Priority	District	City	Project Description	FY 2019 Funding Request		Previously Funded		Est.Total (Site/Design, Construction)	Site Acquired	Status (as of 5/2017)
				Site/Design	Construction	Site/Design	Construction			
1	Middle District of Pennsylvania	Harrisburg	New Courthouse	0.00	138.94	26.00	29.50	194.44	Partial	Concept Design
2	Northern District of Alabama	Huntsville	New Courthouse*	*12.00	*98.00	0.00	0.00	*110.00	No	Ph 2 Feas. Study
3	Southern District of Florida	Fort Lauderdale	New Courthouse*	*20.40	*169.72	0.00	0.00	*190.12	No	Ph 2 Feas. Study
TOTAL:				*32.40	*406.66	26.00	29.50	*494.56		
NOTES:										
* Final project description and funding requirement are contingent upon Phase 2 GSA Feasibility Study validation.										
- All actual and estimated site, design, and construction costs are provided by the General Services Administration (GSA).										
- Policies pertaining to judiciary courtroom sharing and the exclusion of projected judgeships have been applied to the planning and programming of all projects.										
- All projects have been assessed using the AMP process. UE scores are frozen as of the year the location was added to Part I to ensure certainty of planning for site acquisition, design, and funding requests, and the scores are no longer shown on Part I.										

Part II projects have been assessed under the AMP process and prioritized based on the project location’s UE score. As projects in Part I are funded and constructed, the project(s) in Part II that are ranked highest at that time may potentially move to Part I. Until a project is moved to Part I, its UE score will be refreshed each year to capture changes in courtroom needs, chambers needs, and caseload growth, and as a result, its place in the prioritization of Part II projects may change.

<b>Part II: CPP Outyear Courthouse Construction Priorities (Based on 2017 Urgency Evaluation Score)</b>										
				<b>FUNDING SUMMARY (\$M)</b>						
District	City	Project Description	2017 UE Score	Future Request/Unfunded		Previously Funded		Est.Total (Site/Design, Construction)	Site Acquired	Status (as of 5/2017)
				Site/Design	Construction	Site/Design	Construction			
Eastern District of Tennessee	Chattanooga	New Courthouse*	39.812	*25.00	*132.30	0.00	0.00	*157.30	No	Ph 1 Feas. Study
District of Puerto Rico	San Juan	Annex*	34.557	**9.38	*176.12	0.00	0.00	*185.50	Yes	Ph 1 Feas. Study
Southern District of Texas	McAllen	New Courthouse*	32.726	*27.49	*170.97	0.00	0.00	*198.46	No	Ph 1 Feas. Study
Eastern District of Virginia	Norfolk	Annex*	22.553	*12.00	*104.70	19.80	0.00	*136.50	Yes	Ph 1 Feas. Study
NOTES:										
* Funding estimates and project descriptions provided in Part II are determined by GSA and are subject to change upon completion of Phase 2 Feasibility Studies.										
**Design only; annex would be constructed on existing site adjacent to courthouse.										
- Policies pertaining to judiciary courtroom sharing and the exclusion of projected judgeships have been applied to the planning and programming of all projects.										
- All projects have been assessed under the AMP process.										
- GSA feasibility studies for Chattanooga and Norfolk were completed in 2010 and will be refreshed.										