

## CIVIL RULES SUGGESTIONS DOCKET (Historical)

### ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON CIVIL RULES

The docket sets forth suggested changes to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure considered by the Advisory Committee since 1992. The suggestions are set forth in order by (1) civil rule number, (2) form number, and where there is no rule or form number (or several rules or forms are affected), (3) alphabetically by subject matter.

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<b>CIVIL RULES</b>		
<b>Rule 4(c)(1)</b> Accelerating 120-day service provision	Joseph W. Skupniewitz	4/94 - Committee deferred as premature 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 4(d)</b> To clarify waiver-of-service provision	97-CV-R John J. McCarthy 11/21/97	12/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee to accumulate for periodic revision 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 4(d)(2)</b> Waive service of process for actions against the United States	Charles K. Babb 4/22/94	10/94 - Committee declined to take action 4/95 - Committee reconsidered but declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rules 4(e) &amp; 4(f)</b> Foreign defendant may be served pursuant to the laws of the state in which the district court sits	Owen F. Silvions 6/10/94	10/94 - Committee declined to take action 4/95 - Committee reconsidered but declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 4(i)</b> Service on government in <i>Bivens</i> suits	96-CV-B Department of Justice 10/96	10/96 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 5/97 - Committee considered 3/98 - Committee approved for publication 6/98 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/98 - Published for public comment 4/99 - Committee approved amendments with revisions 6/99 - Standing Committee approved 9/99 - Judicial Conference approved 4/00 - Supreme Court approved 12/00 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 4(m)</b> Extends time to serve pleading after initial 120 days expires	Judge Edward Becker	4/95 - Committee considered <b>DEFERRED INDEFINITELY</b>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<b>Rule 4</b> Permit electronic service of process on persons/entities located in the US	03-CV-F Jeremy A. Colby 8/26/03	9/03 - Sent to chair, reporter, and committee <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>Rule 4</b> Inconsistent service of process provision in admiralty statute	Mark Kasanin, Esq.	10/93 - Committee considered 4/94 - Committee considered 10/94 - Committee recommended statutory change 6/96 - Coast Guard Authorization Act of 1996 repealed nonconforming statutory provision <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 4</b> To provide for sanctions against the willful evasion of service	97-CV-K Judge Joan Humphrey Lefkow 8/12/97	10/97 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended accumulation for periodic revision 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 5</b> To abolish requirement to file proof of serving documents, except for cases not filed under CM/ECF	06-CV-F Judge Lee H. Rosenthal 8/8/06	8/06 - Received by chair and reporter <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>Rule 5</b> Permit service by Federal Express or other overnight carrier	05-CV-I Time Project Subcommittee of the Standing Rules Committee (Prof. Patrick J. Schiltz, Lead Reporter) 11/23/05	11/05 - Received by reporter and chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>Rule 5</b> Electronic filing		10/93 - Committee considered and approved for publication 9/94 - Published for public comment 10/94 - Committee considered 4/95 - Committee approved amendments with revisions 6/95 - Standing Committee approved 9/95 - Judicial Conference approved 4/96 - Supreme Court approved 12/96 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 5</b> Service by facsimile</p>	<p>97-CV-N Michael Kunz, Clerk, Eastern District Pennsylvania, and John Frank 7/29/96 and 9/10/97</p> <hr/> <p>97-CV-Q William S. Brownell, District Clerks Advisory Group 10/20/97</p>	<p>4/95 - Committee declined to take action 10/96 - Committee reconsidered and referred to Technology Subcommittee 5/97 - Committee considered 9/97 - Information sent to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 11/98 - Information referred to Technology Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other rules committee 4/99 - Committee approved for publication 6/99 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/99 - Published for public comment 4/00 - Committee approved 6/00 - Standing Committee approved 9/00 - Judicial Conference approved 4/01 - Supreme Court approved 12/01 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 5</b> Clarifies that a document is deemed filed upon delivery to an established courier</p>	<p>00-CV-C Lawrence A. Salibra, Senior Counsel 6/5/00</p>	<p>6/00 - Referred to chair, reporter, and agenda subcommittee 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 5</b> A document is deemed timely filed if it is postmarked before deadline</p>	<p>99-CV-A J. Michael Schaefer, Esq. 12/28/98</p>	<p>3/99 - Referred to chair, reporter, and agenda subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended removing from agenda 10/99 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 5(b)(2)(D)</b> Treat electronic mail or facsimile the same as hand delivery</p>	<p>04-CV-A David R. Fine, Esq. 1/2/04</p>	<p>1/04 - Referred to chair and reporter 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 5(d)</b> To allow district courts to adopt local rules providing that discovery documents not be filed generally.</p>	<p>97-CV-V Gregory B. Walters, Circuit Executive (9<sup>th</sup> Circuit) 12/4/97</p>	<p>1/98 - Referred to chair, reporter, and agenda subcommittee 3/98 - Committee approved for publication 6/98 - Standing Committee approved for publication with revision 8/98 - Published for public comment 4/99 - Committee approved 6/99 - Standing Committee approved 9/99 - Judicial Conference approved 4/00 - Supreme Court approved 12/00 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 5(d)</b> Does non-filing of discovery material affect privilege</p>	<p>Standing Committee 6/99</p>	<p>10/99 - Committee considered 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 5(e)</b> Mandatory electronic filing should be encouraged to the fullest extent possible</p>	<p>04-CV-G Judge John W. Lungstrum 8/2/04</p>	<p>8/04 - Referred to reporter and chair 11/04 - Published for public comment 4/05 - Committee approved 6/05 - Standing Committee approved 9/05 - Judicial Conference approved 4/06 - Supreme Court approved 12/06 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>New Rule 5.1</b> Requires litigant to notify U.S. Attorney when the constitutionality of a federal statute is challenged and when United States is not a party to the action</p>	<p>00-CV-G Judge Barbara B. Crabb 10/5/00</p>	<p>10/00 - Referred to reporter and chair 1/02 - Committee considered 10/02 - Committee considered 5/03 - Committee considered and approved for publication 6/03 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/03 - Published for public comment 4/04 - Committee considered and deferred action 1/05 - Standing Committee approved. 3/05 - Judicial Conference approved. 4/06 - Supreme Court approved 12/06 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 6</b> Clarifies when three calendar days are added to deadline when service is by mail</p>	<p>00-CV-H Roy H. Wepner, Esq. (via Appellate Rules Committee) 11/27/00</p>	<p>12/00 - Referred to reporter and chair 5/02 - Committee considered 10/02 - Committee considered 5/03 - Committee considered and approved for publication 6/03 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/03 - Published for public comment 4/04 - Committee considered and approved 6/04 - Standing Committee approved 9/04 - Judicial Conference approved 4/05 - Supreme Court approved 12/05 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 6</b> Time Issues</p>	<p>03-CV-C Irwin H. Warren, Esquire 6/26/03</p>	<p>6/03 - Referred to reporter and chair 4/04 - Committee considered and approved 6/04 - Standing Committee approved 9/04 - Judicial Conference approved 4/05 - Supreme Court approved 12/05 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<b>Rule 6(a)(4)(A)</b> Substitute “at 11:59:59 p.m.” for “at midnight”	07-CV-C Stephen P. Stoltz 1/25/08	2/08 - Referred to reporter and chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>Rule 6(b)</b> Delete reference to Civil Rule 74(a) because Civil Rule 74 was abrogated by statute	Professor Edward Cooper 10/27/97 <hr/> 99-CV-C Rukesh A. Korde, Esq. 4/22/99	10/97 - Referred to Committee 3/98 - Committee approved amendments and recommended no publication 6/98 - Standing Committee approved 9/98 - Judicial Conference approved 4/99 - Supreme Court approved 12/99 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 6(e)</b> Time to act after service	Standing Committee 6/94	10/94 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 6(e)</b> Amend the rule to treat service by electronic means the same as service by mail		4/99 - Committee considered and approved for publication 6/99 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/99 - Published for public comment 4/00 - Committee approved 6/00 - Standing Committee approved 9/00 - Judicial Conference approved 4/01 - Supreme Court approved 12/01 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 6(e)</b> Clarify the method for extending time to respond after service	Appellate Rules Committee 4/02	4/02 - Referred to Committee 10/02 - Committee considered 5/03 - Committee considered and approved for publication 6/03 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/03 - Published for public comment 4/04 - Committee considered and approved 6/04 - Standing Committee approved 9/04 - Judicial Conference approved 4/05 - Supreme Court approved 12/05 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 6(e)</b> Treat electronic mail or facsimile the same as hand delivery	04-CV-A David R. Fine, Esq. 1/2/04	1/04 - Referred to chair and reporter 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 7.1</b> Financial Disclosure</p>	<p>Committee on Codes of Conduct 9/23/98</p>	<p>11/98 - Committee considered 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended deferring until more information is available 4/99 - Committee considered and requested study by Federal Judicial Center 10/99 - Committee considered 4/00 - Committee approved for publication 6/00 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/00 - Published for public comment 4/01 - Committee approved 6/01 - Standing Committee approved 10/01 - Judicial Conference approved 4/02 - Supreme Court approved 12/02 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 7.1(a)</b> Simplify filing by creating a national event in the CM/ECF system for filing of supplemental statement</p>	<p>04-CV-I Lawrence K. Baerman, Clerk 11/29/04</p>	<p>12/04 - Referred to reporter and chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 8(a)(2)</b> Require “short and plain statement of the claim” that allege facts sufficient to establish a <i>prima facie</i> case in employment discrimination</p>	<p>02-CV-E Nancy J. Smith, Esq. 6/17/02</p>	<p>6/02 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 8(c)</b> In restyling the civil rules: delete “discharge in bankruptcy”; and insert “claim preclusion” and “issue preclusion”</p>	<p>04-CV-E Judge Christopher M. Klein 3/30/04</p>	<p>4/04 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/05 - Committee approved in principle 1/06 - Standing Committee approved and deferred publication pending submission of other proposals to be published for comment 8/07 - Published for public comment 4/08 - Committee deferred for further consideration <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rules 8 and 12</b> Amendment of the general pleading requirements</p>	<p>Elliott B. Spector, Esq. 7/22/93</p>	<p>10/93 - Committee considered 10/94 - Committee considered 4/95 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 9(b)</b> General Particularized pleading</p>	<p>Elliott B. Spector, Esq.</p>	<p>5/93 - Committee considered 10/93 - Committee considered 10/94 - Committee considered 4/95 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 9(h)</b> Ambiguity regarding terms affecting admiralty and maritime claims</p>	<p>Mark Kasanin, Esq. 4/94</p>	<p>10/94 - Committee considered 4/95 - Committee considered 7/95 - Committee approved for publication 9/95 - Published for public comment 4/96 - Committee approved 6/96 - Standing Committee approved 9/96 - Judicial Conference approved 4/97 - Supreme Court approved 12/97 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 11</b> Mandatory sanctions for frivolous filing by a prisoner</p>	<p>H.R. 1492 Representative Gallegly 4/97</p>	<p>5/97 - Committee considered 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended removing from agenda 10/99 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 11</b> Sanctions for improper advertising</p>	<p>97-CV-G Carl Shipley 4/97</p>	<p>5/97 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended removing from agenda 10/99 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 11</b> Should not be used as a discovery device or to test the legal sufficiency or efficiency of allegations in pleadings</p>	<p>98-CV-B Nicholas Kadar, M.D. 3/98</p>	<p>4/98 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended preliminary review by reporter 8/99 - Reporter recommended removal from the agenda 10/99 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 12</b> Dispositive motions to be filed and ruled upon prior to commencement of trial</p>	<p>Steven D. Jacobs, Esq. 8/23/94</p>	<p>10/94 - Committee considered 5/97 - Reporter recommended no action 11/98 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 12</b> To conform to <i>Prison Litigation Act of 1996</i> that allows a defendant sued by a prisoner to waive right to reply</p>	<p>97-CV-R John J. McCarthy 11/21/97</p>	<p>12/97 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee considered 4/99 - Committee considered and deferred action 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 12(a)(3)</b> Conforming amendment to Civil Rule 4(i)</p>		<p>3/98 - Committee approved for publication  6/98 - Standing Committee approved for publication  8/98 - Published for public comment  4/99 - Committee approved with revisions  6/99 - Standing Committee approved  9/99 - Judicial Conference approved  4/00 - Supreme Court approved  12/00 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 12(b)</b> Converting motions to dismiss to motions for summary judgment</p>	<p>97-CV-H Daniel Joseph, Esq. 5/97</p>	<p>5/97 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee  3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended no action  10/99 - Committee declined to take action  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 12(f)</b> Provide guidance for the clerk when the court strikes a pleading</p>	<p>02-CV-J Judge D. Brock Hornby 10/02</p>	<p>10/02 - Referred to chair and reporter  <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rules 14(a) &amp; 14(c)</b> Conforming amendments to admiralty changes</p>		<p>3/98 - Committee approved for publication  6/98 - Standing Committee approved for publication  8/98 - Published for public comment  4/99 - Committee approved  6/99 - Standing Committee approved  9/99 - Judicial Conference approved  4/00 - Supreme Court approved  12/00 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 15(a)</b> Amendment may not add new parties or raise events occurring after responsive pleading</p>	<p>Judge John Martin 10/20/94 &amp; Judge Judith Guthrie 10/27/94</p>	<p>4/95 - Committee considered  11/95 - Committee considered and deferred  <b>DEFERRED INDEFINITELY</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 15(c)(3)(B)</b> Clarifying extent of knowledge required in identifying a party</p>	<p>98-CV-E Charles E. Frayer, Law student 9/27/98</p>	<p>9/98 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee rec. accumulate for periodic revision (1) 4/99 - Committee considered and retained for future study 5/02 - Committee considered along with J. Becker suggestion in 266 F.3d 186 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 2001). 10/02 - Committee referred to subcommittee for further consideration 10/03 - Committee considered 10/05 - Committee considered; subcommittee to be appointed 5/06 - Committee considered and removed from agenda <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 15(c)(3)(B)</b> Amendment to allow relation back</p>	<p>Judge Edward Becker, 266 F.3d 186 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 2001)</p>	<p>10/01 - Referred to chair and reporter 1/02 - Committee considered 5/02 - Committee considered 10/02 - Committee referred to subcommittee for further consideration 10/03 - Committee considered 4/05 - Committee reviewed 10/05 - Committee considered; subcommittee to be appointed 5/06 - Committee considered and removed from agenda <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 16</b> Proposals regarding compelled attendance and settlement enforcement</p>	<p>05-CV-A Prof. Jeffrey A. Parness 1/3/05</p>	<p>1/05 - Referred to chair and reporter <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 19</b> Clarify language regarding dismissal of actions</p>	<p>02-CV-F Prof. Bradley Scott Shannon 5/30/02</p>	<p>7/02 - Referred to chair and reporter 10/02 - Referred to Style Consultant 4/04 - Committee considered and declined to adopt <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 23(h)(1)</b> Need a fixed time limit when filing a motion for attorneys' fees and costs</p>	<p>06-CV-E Daniel C. Girard, Esq., Member 7/28/06</p>	<p>7/06 - Referred to chair and reporter <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 23</b> Revise to protect the status of the small defendant</p>	<p>03-CV-D William S. Karn 7/31/03</p>	<p>8/03 - Referred to chair and reporter 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 23</b> Amend class action rule to accommodate demands of mass tort litigation and other problems</p>	<p>Jud Conf on Ad Hoc Communication for Asbestos Litigation 3/91; William Leighton ltr 7/29/94; H.R. 660 introduced by Canady on CV 23 (f)</p>	<p>5/93 - Considered by Committee  6/93 - Submitted for approval for publication; withdrawn 10/93, 4/94, 10/94, 2/95, 4/95, 11/95; studied at meetings.  4/96 - Forwarded to Standing Committee for submission to Judicial Conference  6/96 - Approved for publication by Standing Committee  8/96 - Published for comment  10/96 - Discussed by Committee  5/97 - Approved and forwarded changes to (c)(1), and (f); rejected (b)(3)(A) and (B); and deferred other proposals until next meeting  4/97 - Stotler letter to Congressman Canady  6/97 - Changes to 23(f) were approved by Standing Committee; changes to 23(c)(1) were recommitted to Advisory Committee  10/97 - Considered by Committee  3/98 - Considered by Committee, deferred pending mass torts working group deliberations  3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other Committee  4/00 - Committee considered  10/00 - Committee considered  4/01 - Request for publication  6/01 - Standing Committee approved for publication  8/01 - Published for public comment  10/01 - Committee considered  1/02 - Committee considered  5/02 - Committee approved  6/02 - Standing Committee approved  9/02 - Judicial Conference approved  3/03 - Supreme Court approved  12/03 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 23</b> Standards and guidelines for litigating and settling consumer class actions</p>	<p>97-CV-T Patricia Sturdevant, for National Association for Consumer Advocates 12/10/97</p>	<p>12/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other Committee 4/00 - Committee considered 10/00 - Committee considered 4/01 - Request for publication 6/01 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/01 - Published for public comment 10/01 - Committee considered 1/02 - Committee considered 5/02 - Committee approved 6/02 - Standing Committee approved 9/02 - Judicial Conference approved 3/03 - Supreme Court approved 12/03 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 23(e)</b> Amend to include specific factors court should consider when approving settlement for monetary damages under 23(b)(3)</p>	<p>97-CV-S Beverly C. Moore, Jr., for Class Action Reports, Inc. 11/25/97</p>	<p>12/ 97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other Committee 4/00 - Committee considered 10/00 - Committee considered 4/01 - Request for publication 6/01 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/01 - Published for public comment 10/01 - Committee considered 1/02 - Committee considered 5/02 - Committee approved 6/02 - Standing Committee approved 9/02 - Judicial Conference approved 3/03 - Supreme Court approved 12/03 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 23(e)</b> Require all “side-settlements,” including attorney’s fee components, to be disclosed and approved by the district court</p>	<p>99-CV-H Brian Wolfman, for Public Citizen Litigation Group 11/23/99</p>	<p>12/99 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 4/00 - Referred to Class Action Subcommittee 10/00 - Committee considered 4/01 - Request for publication 6/01 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/01 - Published for public comment 10/01 - Committee considered 1/02 - Committee considered 5/02 - Committee approved 6/02 - Standing Committee approved 9/02 - Judicial Conference approved 3/03 - Supreme Court approved 12/03 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 23(e)</b> Preserve right to appeal for <i>unnamed</i> class members who do not file motions to intervene; and class members not named plaintiffs have right to appeal judicial approval of proposed dismissal or compromise without first filing motion to intervene</p>	<p>00-CV-B Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, State of California DOJ 3/29/00 and 6/21/00</p>	<p>4/00 - Referred to reporter, chair, Agenda Subcommittee and Class Action Subcommittee 6/00 - Referred to reporter, chair, Agenda Subcommittee and Class Action Subcommittee 10/00 - Committee Considered 4/01 - Request for publication 6/01 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/01 - Published for public comment 10/01 - Committee considered 1/02 - Committee considered 5/02 - Committee approved 6/02 - Standing Committee approved 6/02 - <i>Devlin v. Scardelletti</i>, 122 S.Ct. 2005 (6/10/02), resolved issue <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 23(f)</b> interlocutory appeal</p>	<p>Part of class action project</p>	<p>4/98 - Supreme Court approved 12/98 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 23</b> Class action attorney fee</p>		<p>10/00 - Committee considered 4/01 - Request for publication 6/01 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/01 - Published for public comment 10/01 - Committee considered 1/02 - Committee considered 5/02 - Committee approved 6/02 - Standing Committee approved 9/02 - Judicial Conference approved 3/03 - Supreme Court approved 12/03 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<b>Rule 26</b> Interviewing former employees of a party	John Goetz	4/94 - Declined to act 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 26</b> Initial disclosure and scope of discovery	Thomas F. Harkins, Jr., Esq. 11/30/94 and American College of Trial Lawyers;  97-CV-C Allan Parmelee 3/12/97  97-CV-D Joanne Faulkner, Esq. 3/20/97	4/95 - Delayed for further consideration 11/95 - Considered by Committee 4/96 - Proposal submitted by American College of Trial Lawyers 10/96 - Considered by Committee; Subcommittee appointed 1/97 - Subcommittee held mini-conference in San Francisco 4/97 - Doc. #2768 and 2769 referred to Discovery Subcommittee 9/97 - Discovery Reform Symposium held at Boston College Law School 10/97 - Alternatives considered by Committee 3/98 - Committee approved draft 6/98 - Standing Committee approved 8/98 - Published for comment 4/99 - Committee approved amendments with revisions 6/99 - Standing Committee approved 9/99 - Judicial Conference approved & transmits to Supreme Court 4/00 - Supreme Court approved 12/00 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 26</b> Does inadvertent disclosure during discovery waive privilege	Discovery Subcommittee	10/99 - Discussed 4/06 - Evidence Rules Committee approved for publication proposed new Evidence Rule 502 governing waiver of attorney-client privilege <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 26</b> Presumptive time limits on backward reach of discovery	Al Cortese	10/99 - Removed from agenda <b>COMPLETED</b>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 26</b> Electronic discovery</p>		<p>10/99 - Referred to Discovery Subcommittee  3/00 - Discovery Subcommittee considered  4/00 - Committee considered  10/00 - Committee considered  4/01 - Committee considered  5/02 - Committee considered  10/02 - Committee and Discovery Subcommittee considered  5/03 - Committee considered Discovery Subcommittee's report  2/04 - Committee presented E-Discovery Conference in New York City  4/04 - Committee approved subcommittee's recommendations for publication  6/04 - Standing Committee approved for publication  8/04 - Published for public comment  4/05 - Committee approved  6/05 - Standing Committee approved  9/05 - Judicial Conference approved  4/06 - Supreme Court approved  12.06 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 26</b> Interplay between work-product doctrine under Rule 26(b)(3) and the disclosures required of experts under Rules 26(a)(2) and 26 (b)(4)</p>	<p>00-CV-E  Gregory K. Arenson, Chair,  NY State Bar Association  Committee on Federal Procedure  8/7/00</p>	<p>8/00 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee  5/06 - Committee considered report of subcommittee  4/08 - Committee's approved subcommittee's recommendations for publication  6/08 - Standing Committee approved for publication  <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 26(a)</b> To clarify and expand the scope of disclosure regarding expert witnesses</p>	<p>00-CV-I  Prof. Stephen D. Easton  11/29/00</p>	<p>12/00 - Referred to reporter and chair  5/06 - Committee considered report of subcommittee  4/08 - Committee approved subcommittee's recommendations for publication  6/08 - Standing Committee approved for publication  <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 26(a)(2)(B)</b> To clarify that all testifying expert witnesses are subject to the same disclosure requirements</p>	<p>05-CV-C George Brent Mickum IV, Esq. 5/25/05</p>	<p>6/05 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/05 - Committee considered 5/06 - Committee considered report of subcommittee 4/08 - Committee approved subcommittee's recommendations for publication 6/08 - Standing Committee approved for publication <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 26(c)</b> Factors to be considered regarding a motion to modify or dissolve a protective order</p>	<p>Report of the Federal Courts Study Committee, Professors Marcus and Miller, and Senator Herb Kohl 8/11/94; Judge John Feikens (96-CV-F); S. 225 reintroduced by Sen Kohl</p>	<p>5/93 - Committee considered and approved for publication 10/93 - Published for comment 4/94 - Committee considered 10/94 - Committee considered 1/95 - Submitted to Judicial Conference 3/95 - Remanded for further consideration by Judicial Conference 4/95 - Committee considered 9/95 - Republished for public comment 4/96 - Tabled, pending consideration of discovery amendments proposed by the American College of Trial Lawyers 1/97 - S. 225 reintroduced by Senator Kohl 4/97 - Judge Stotler sent letter to Senator Hatch 10/97 - Subcommittee considered and left for consideration by full committee 3/98 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 26</b> Depositions to be held in county where witness resides; better distinction between retained and "treating" experts</p>	<p>96-CV-G Don Boswell 12/6/96</p>	<p>12/96 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 5/97 - Reporter recommended that it be considered part of discovery project 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other Committee 10/00 - Subcommittee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 30</b> Allow use by public of audio tapes in the courtroom</p>	<p>96-CV-H Glendora 12/9/96</p>	<p>12/96 - Referred to reporter and chair 11/98 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 30(b)/45</b> Give notice to deponent that deposition will be videotaped</p>	<p>99-CV-J Judge Janice M. Stewart 12/8/99</p>	<p>12/99 - Referred to reporter, chair, Agenda Subcommittee, and Discovery Subcommittee 4/00 - Referred to Discovery Subcommittee 8/03 - Committee publishes proposed amendments to Civil Rule 45 re notifying witnesses of manner for recording deposition 4/04 - Committee approved 6/04 - Standing Committee approved 9/04 - Judicial Conference approved 4/05 - Supreme Court approved 12/05 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 30(b)(1)</b> That the deponent seek judicial relief from annoying or oppressive questioning during a deposition</p>	<p>97-CV-J Judge Dennis H. Inman 8/6/97</p>	<p>10/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 11/98 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 30(b)(6)</b> Myriad proposed amendments</p>	<p>04-CV-B New York State Bar Association Commercial and Federal Litigation Section (Gregory K. Arenson, Esq., Chair) 2/24/04</p>	<p>3/04 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/05 - Committee considered; subcommittee to be appointed 5/06 - Committee considered report of subcommittee 9/06 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 30(d)(2)</b> Limit daily deposition session to 7 hours</p>		<p>3/98 - Committee approved draft 6/98 - Standing Committee approved 8/98 - Published for comment 4/99 - Committee approved amendments with revisions 6/99 - Standing Committee approved 9/99 - Judicial Conference approved 4/00 - Supreme Court approved 12/00 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 30(e)</b> Review of transcript by deponent</p>	<p>99-CV-D Dan Wilen 5/14/99</p>	<p>8/99 - Referred to Agenda Subcommittee 8/99 - Agenda Subcommittee received and referred to other Committee 10/00 - Subcommittee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 30(e)</b> Clarify the rule as to whether a deponent, following review of a deposition transcript, can make unlimited changes or only correct typographical errors</p>	<p>07-CV-E Christopher Macchiaroli 5/8/08</p>	<p>5/08 - Referred to reporter and chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 32</b> Use of expert witness testimony at subsequent trials without cross examination in mass torts</p>	<p>Honorable Jack Weinstein 7/31/96</p>	<p>7/31/96 - Referred to chair and reporter 10/96 - Committee considered. Federal Judicial Center to conduct study 5/97 - Reporter recommended that it be considered part of discovery project 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other committee 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rules 33 &amp; 34</b> Require submission of a floppy disc version of document</p>	<p>99-CV-E Jeffrey K. Yencho 7/22/99</p>	<p>7/99 - Referred to Agenda Subcommittee 8/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other Subcommittee 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rules 33 &amp; 36</b> Ambiguity among Civil Rules 26(g), 33(b), and 36(a) on who signs answers to discovery responses</p>	<p>Professor Patrick J. Schiltz</p>	<p>10/05 - Committee considered <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 34(b)</b> Requesting party liable for paying reasonable costs of discovery</p>		<p>3/98 - Committee approved draft 6/98 - Standing Committee approved 8/98 - Published for comment 4/99 - Committee approved amendments with revisions (moved to Rule 26) 6/99 - Standing Committee approved 9/99 - Judicial Conference declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 36(a)</b> Do not permit false denials, in view of recent Supreme Court decisions</p>	<p>98-CV-A Joanne S. Faulkner, Esq. 3/98</p>	<p>4/98 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 11/98 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 37(b)(3)</b> Sanctions for Rule 26(f) failure</p>	<p>Prof. Roisman</p>	<p>4/94 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 37(c)(1)</b> Sanctions for failure to supplement discovery</p>		<p>3/98 - Committee approved draft 6/98 - Standing Committee approved 8/98 - Published for comment 4/99 - Committee approved amendments 6/99 - Standing Committee approved 9/99 - Judicial Conference approved 4/00 - Supreme Court approved 12/00 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rules 39(c) and 16(e)</b> Jury may be treated as advisory if the court states such before the beginning of the trial</p>	Daniel O’Callaghan, Esq.	10/94 - Committee deferred for further study 4/95 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<p><b>Rule 40</b> Precedence given elderly in trial setting</p>	00-CV-A Michael Schaefer 1/19/00	2/00 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<p><b>Rule 41(a)</b> Makes it explicit that actions <i>and</i> claims may be dismissed</p>	02-CV-F Bradley Scott Shannon 5/30/02	7/02 - Referred to chair and reporter 10/02 - Referred to Style Consultant 4/04 - Committee considered and declined to adopt <b>COMPLETED</b>
<p><b>Rule 43</b> Strike requirement that testimony must be taken orally</p>	Comments at 4/94 meeting	10/93 - Published for public comment 10/94 - Committee approved with revisions 1/95 - Standing Committee approved but deferred transmission to Judicial Conference 9/95 - Judicial Conference approved 4/96 - Supreme Court approved 12/96 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b>
<p><b>Rule 43</b> Procedures for a “summary bench trial”</p>	00-CV-F Judge Morton Denlow 8/9/00	8/00 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/00 - Committee considered but declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<p><b>Rule 43(f)—Interpreters</b> Appointment and compensation of interpreters</p>	Karl L. Mulvaney 5/10/94	4/95 - Committee deferred for further study and consideration 11/95 - Committee deferred action pending CACM review of the Americans with Disabilities Act 10/96 - Federal Courts Improvement Act of 1996 provides authority to pay interpreters <b>COMPLETED</b>
<p><b>Rule 44</b> To delete, as it might overlap with Rules of EV dealing with admissibility of public records</p>	97-CV-U Evidence Rules Committee Meeting 10/20-21/97	1/97 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/98 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<p><b>Rule 45</b> Nationwide subpoena</p>		5/93 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 45</b> Notice in lieu of attendance subpoenas</p>	<p>99-CV-A J. Michael Schaefer, Esq. 12/28/98</p>	<p>3/99 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 8/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended removal from agenda 10/99 - Consent calendar removed from agenda <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 45</b> Clarifying status of subpoena after expiration date</p>	<p>99-CV-B K. Dino Kostopoulos, Esq. 1/27/99</p>	<p>3/99 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 8/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other Committee 10/00 - Subcommittee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 45</b> Discovering party must specify a date for production far enough in advance to allow the opposing party to file objections to production</p>	<p>98-CV-G Prof. Charles Adams 10/1/98</p>	<p>10/98 - Referred to chair, reporter, Agenda Subcommittee and Discovery Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other Committee 10/00 - Subcommittee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 45</b> Personal service of a subpoena need not be the only method of service under this rule</p>	<p>05-CV-H New York State Bar Association Committee on Federal Procedure (Gregory K. Arenson, Chair) 11/17/05</p>	<p>11/05 - Referred to reporter and chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 45</b> To clarify that the federal government is a "person" subject to subpoena under this rule</p>	<p>06-CV-H New York State Bar Association's Section on Commercial and Federal Litigation (Gregory K. Arenson, Chair, Committee on Federal Procedure) 11/28/06</p>	<p>12/06 - Referred to chair and reporter <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 45</b> To simplify the methods of service of a subpoena to comport with the service provisions in Rule 4</p>	<p>07-CV-A Untel (William P. Callahan, Esq.) 2/26/07</p>	<p>3/07 - Referred to chair and reporter <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 45(d)</b> Re-service of subpoena not necessary if continuance is granted and witness is provided adequate notice</p>	<p>98-CV-H William T. Terrell, Esq. 10/9/98</p>	<p>12/98 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other Committee 10/00 - Subcommittee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 47(a)</b> Mandatory attorney participation in jury voir dire examination</p>	Francis Fox, Esq.	<p>10/94 - Committee considered  4/95 - Committee approved for publication  7/95 - Standing Committee approved for publication  9/95 - Published for comment  4/96 - Committee considered and recommended that Federal Judicial Center provide judicial training  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 47(b)</b> Eliminate peremptory challenges</p>	97-CV-F Judge William Acker 5/97	<p>6/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee  11/98 - Committee declined to take action  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 48</b> Polling the Jury</p>	Standing Committee	<p>10/05 - Committee considered and approved in principle  5/06 - Committee approved for publication  1/07 - Standing Committee approved for publication  8/07 - Published for public comment  4/08 - Committee approved  6/08 - Standing Committee approved  <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 48</b> Implementation of a twelve-person jury</p>	Judge Patrick Higginbotham	<p>10/94 - Committee considered and approved for publication  7/95 - Standing Committee approved for publication  9/95 - Published for comment  4/96 - Committee approved  6/96 - Standing Committee approved  9/96 - Judicial Conference declined to take action  10/96 - Committee considered Judicial Conference action  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 50(b)</b> Eliminate the requirement that a motion for judgment be made “at the close of all the evidence” as a prerequisite for making a post-verdict motion, if a motion for judgment had been made earlier</p>	03-CV-A New York State Bar Association Committee on Federal Procedure of the Commercial and Federal Litigation Section 2/25/03	<p>3/03 - Referred to chair and reporter  5/03 - Committee considered  10/03 - Committee considered  4/04 - Committee approved for publication  6/04 - Standing Committee approved for publication  8/04 - Published for public comment  4/05 - Committee approved  6/05 - Standing Committee approved  9/05 - Judicial Conference approved  4/06 - Supreme Court approved  12/06 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 50</b> Uniform date for filing post trial motion</p>	<p>BK Rules Committee</p>	<p>5/93 - Committee considered and approved for publication  6/93 - Standing Committee approved for publication  8/93 - Published for public comment  4/94 - Committee approved  6/94 - Standing Committee approved  9/94 - Judicial Conference approved  4/95 - Supreme Court approved  12/95 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 50(b)</b> When a motion is timely after a mistrial has been declared</p>	<p>97-CV-M Judge Alicemarie Stotler 8/26/97</p>	<p>8/97 - Referred to reporter and chair  10/97 - Referred to Agenda Subcommittee  3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee to accumulate for periodic revision  <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 51</b> Jury instructions filed before trial</p>	<p>96-CV-E Judge Stotler   97-CV-V Gregory B. Walters, Circuit Executive, Office of the Circuit Executive, U.S. Courts for Ninth Circuit  12/4/97</p>	<p>11/8/96 Referred to chair  5/97 - Reporter recommended consideration of comprehensive revision  1/98 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee  3/98 - Committee considered  11/98 - Committee considered  3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended full Committee consideration  4/99 - Committee considered  10/99 - Committee considered  4/00 - Committee considered  10/00 - Committee considered  4/01 - Committee considered  1/02 - Committee held public hearing  5/02 - Committee approved amendments  6/02 - Standing Committee approved  9/02 - Judicial Conference approved  3/03 - Supreme Court approved  12/03 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 52</b> Uniform date for filing for filing post trial motion</p>	<p>Banking Rules Committee</p>	<p>5/93 - Committee approved for publication  6/93 - Standing Committee approved for publication  4/94 - Committee approved  6/94 - Standing Committee approved  9/94 - Judicial Conference approved  4/95 - Supreme Court approved  12/95 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 53</b> Provisions regarding pretrial and post-trial masters</p>	<p>Judge Wayne Brazil</p>	<p>5/93 - Committee considered  10/93 - Committee considered  4/94 - Committee considered draft amendments to Civil Rule 16.1 regarding “pretrial masters”  10/94 - Committee considered draft amendments  11/98 - Subcommittee appointed  3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended referral to other Committee  10/99 - Committee considered and requested Federal Judicial Center to conduct survey  4/00 - Committee considered FJC preliminary report  1/02 - Committee held public hearing  5/02 - Committee approved amendments  6/02 - Standing Committee approved  9/02 - Judicial Conference approved  3/03 - Supreme Court approved  12/03 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 54(b)</b> Define “interlocutory order”</p>	<p>03-CV-E  Craig C. Reilly, Esq.  8/6/03</p>	<p>8/03 - Referred to chair and reporter  <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 54(d)(1)</b> Proposed amendments to 28 U.S.C. § 1920 and Rule 54 re taxation of costs</p>	<p>02-CV-B  Judge Jane J. Boyle  2/02</p>	<p>2/02 - Referred to reporter and chair  5/02 - Committee declined to take action  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 54(d) and 58(c)</b> Motions for attorney fees time to file appeal</p>	<p>Appellate Rules Committee</p>	<p>10/05 - Committee considered  5/06 - Committee considered and removed from agenda  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 54(d)(2)</b> Attorney fees and interplay with final judgment CV 58</p>	<p>Standing Committee; AP amendment to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(7), 1/00</p>	<p>4/00 - Committee considered and approved for publication  6/00 - Standing Committee approved for publication  8/00 - Published for public comment  4/01 - Committee approved  6/01 - Standing Committee approved  10/01 - Judicial Conference approved  4/02 - Supreme Court approved  12/02 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 55(a)</b> Amend rule to provide that a default may also be entered against a defending party “for failure to comply with these rules or any order of court.”</p>	<p>Prof. Bradley Scott Shannon  1/14/03 (02-CV-F Addendum)</p>	<p>1/03 - Referred to reporter and chair  4/04 - Committee considered and declined to adopt  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<b>Rule 56</b> To clarify cross-motion for summary judgment	John J. McCarthy 11/21/97	12/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>Rule 56(a)</b> Clarification of timing	97-CV-B Scott Cagan 2/27/97	3/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 5/97 - Reporter recommended no action 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee to accumulate for periodic revision <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>Rule 56(c)</b> Time for service and grounds for summary adjudication	Judge Judith N. Keep 11/21/94	4/95 - Committee considered 11/95 - Committee considered 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee to accumulate for periodic revision 1/02 - Committee considered and set for further discussion <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>Rule 58</b> 60-day cap on finality judgment	Standing Committee; AP amendment to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(7), 1/00	4/00 - Committee approved for publication 6/00 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/00 - Published for public comment 4/01 - Committee approved with revisions 6/01 - Standing Committee approved 10/01 - Judicial Conference approved 4/02 - Supreme Court approved 12/02 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 58</b> Sets forth the procedures for entering a “final order”	02-CV-F Prof. Bradley Scott Shannon 5/30/02	7/02 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/02 - Committee removed from agenda <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 59</b> Uniform date for filing for filing post trial motion		5/93 - Committee approved for publication 6/93 - Standing Committee approved for publication 4/94 - Committee approved 6/94 - Standing Committee approved 9/94 - Judicial Conference approved 4/95 - Supreme Court approved 12/95 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 60(b)</b> Parties are entitled to challenge judgments provided that the prevailing party cites the judgment as evidence	William Leighton 7/20/94	10/94 - Committee deferred for further study 4/95 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 62(a)</b> Automatic stays	Dep. Assoc. AG, Tim Murphy	4/94 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 62.1</b> Proposed new rule governing "Indicative Rulings"</p>	<p>Appellate Rules Committee 4/01</p>	<p>1/02 - Committee considered 5/03 - Committee considered 10/03 - Committee considered 4/05 - Committee reviewed 10/05 - Committee considered 5/06 - Committee considered and approved for publication 6/07 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/07 - Published for public comment 4/08 - Committee approved <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 65(f)</b> Rule made applicable to copyright impoundment cases</p>	<p>See request on copyright</p>	<p>11/98 - Committee approved for publication 6/99 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/99 - Published for public comment 4/00 - Committee approved 6/00 - Standing Committee approved 9/00 - Judicial Conference approved 4/01 - Supreme Court approved 12/01 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 65.1</b> To amend to avoid conflict between 31 U.S.C. § 9396 governing the appointment of agents for sureties and the Code of Conduct for Judicial Employees</p>	<p>97-CV-L Judge H. Russel Holland 8/22/97</p>	<p>10/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 11/98 - Committee declined to act in light of earlier action taken at March 1998 meeting <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 68</b> Party may make a settlement offer that raises the stakes of the offeree who would continue the litigation</p>	<p>96-CV-C Agenda book for 11/92 meeting; Judge Swearingen 10/30/96</p> <p>S. 79 Civil Justice Fairness Act of 1997 and § 3 of H.R. 903</p> <p>02-CV-D Gregory K. Arenson 4/19/02</p>	<p>1/93 - Unofficial solicitation of public comment 5/93 - Committee considered 10/93 - Committee considered 4/94 - Committee considered. Federal Judicial Center to study rule 10/94 - Committee deferred for further study 1995 - Federal Judicial Center completes its study <b>DEFERRED INDEFINITELY</b> 10/96 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee (Advised of past comprehensive study of proposal) 1/97 - S. 79 introduced. § 303 would amend the rule 4/97 - Stotler letter to Hatch 5/97 - Reporter recommended continued monitoring 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended removal from agenda 10/99 - Consent calendar removed from agenda <b>COMPLETED</b> 5/02 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/02 - Committee considered and agreed to carry forward suggestion <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 68</b> Permit plaintiffs and defendants to make offers of compromise</p>	<p>04-CV-H Judge Christina A. Snyder 7/23/04</p>	<p>8/04 - Referred to reporter and chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 68</b> Address the practice of “high-low” settlement agreements</p>	<p>04-CV-J Judge Paul D. Borman 12/21/04</p>	<p>12/04 - Received by chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 68</b> No compelling request for party witnesses located out-of-state and outside 100 miles to appear at trial</p>	<p>05-CV-G New York State Bar Association Committee on Federal Procedure (Gregory K. Arenson, Chair; Thomas McGanney, Allan M. Pepper) 9/19/05</p>	<p>10/05 - Referred to reporter and chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 68</b> Comparing an offer of judgment when non-pecuniary relief is involved</p>	<p>06-CV-D Clerk of the Second Circuit Roseann B. MacKechnie 7/21/06</p>	<p>7/06 - Referred to reporter and chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 72(a)</b> State more clearly the authority for reconsidering an interlocutory order</p>	<p>03-CV-E Craig C. Reilly, Esq. 8/6/03</p>	<p>8/03 - Referred to chair and reporter <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 73(b)</b> Consent of additional parties to magistrate judge jurisdiction</p>	<p>Judge Easterbrook 1/95</p>	<p>4/95 - Initially brought to Committee's attention 11/95 - Committee deferred consideration 10/96 - Committee considered along with repeal of Civil Rules 74, 75, and 76 5/97 - Reporter recommended continued monitoring 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended removal from agenda 10/99 - Consent calendar removed from agenda <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rules 74,75, and 76</b> Repeal to conform with statute regarding alternative appeal route from magistrate judge decisions</p>	<p>96-CV-A Federal Courts Improvement Act of 1996 #1558</p>	<p>10/96 - Committee recommended repeal of rules to conform with statute 1/97 - Standing Committee approved 3/97 - Judicial Conference approved 4/97 - Supreme Court approved 12/97 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 77(b)</b> Permit use of audiotapes in courtroom</p>	<p>96-CV-H Glendora 9/3/96 #1975</p>	<p>12/96 - Referred to reporter and chair 5/97 - Reporter recommended that other Conference Committee should handle the issue 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended removal from agenda 10/99 - Consent calendar removed from agenda <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 77(d)</b> Electronic noticing to produce substantial cost savings while increasing efficiency and productivity</p>	<p>97-CV-N Michael E. Kunz, Clerk of Court 9/10/97  97-CV-Q William S. Brownell, District Clerks Advisory Group 10/20/97</p>	<p>9/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended consideration by full Committee 4/99 - Committee approved for publication 6/99 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/99 - Published for public comment 4/00 - Committee approved amendments 6/00 - Standing Committee approved 9/00 - Judicial Conference approved 4/01 - Supreme Court approved 12/01 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 77.1</b> Sealing orders</p>		<p>10/93 - Committee considered 4/94 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 81</b> To add injunctions to the rule</p>	<p>John J. McCarthy 11/21/97</p>	<p>12/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 81(a)(2)</b> Inconsistent time period vs. Habeas Corpus Rule 1(b)</p>	<p>97-CV-E Judge Mary Feinberg 1/28/97 #2164</p>	<p>2/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 5/97 - Considered and referred to Criminal Rules Committee for coordinated response 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended deferring action until more information available 4/00 - Committee considered and approved for publication 6/00 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/00 - Published for public comment 4/01 - Committee approved amendments 6/01 - Standing Committee approved 9/01 - Judicial Conference approved 4/02 - Supreme Court approved 12/02 Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 81(a)(1)</b> Applicability to D.C. mental health proceedings</p>	<p>Joseph Spaniol 10/96</p>	<p>10/96 - Committee considered 5/97 - Reporter recommended consideration as part of a technical amendment package 10/98 - Committee approved for publication 1/99 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/99 - Published for public comment 4/00 - Committee approved 6/00 - Standing Committee approved 9/00 - Judicial Conference approved 4/01 - Supreme Court approved 12/01 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 81(a)(1)</b> Applicability to copyright proceedings and substitution of notice of removal for petition for removal</p>	<p>See request on copyright</p>	<p>11/98 - Committee approved for publication 1/99 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/99 - Published for public comment 4/00 - Committee approved 6/00 - Standing Committee approved 9/00 - Judicial Conference approved 4/01 - Supreme Court approved 12/01 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Rule 81(a)(2)</b> Time to make a return to a petition for habeas corpus</p>	<p>Criminal Rules Committee 4/00</p>	<p>4/00 - Committee approved for publication 6/00 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/00 - Published for public comment 4/01 - Committee approved 6/01 - Standing Committee approved 10/01 - Judicial Conference approved 4/02 - Supreme Court approved 12/02 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 81(c)</b> Removal of an action from state courts — technical conforming change deleting “petition”</p>	<p>Joseph D. Cohen 8/31/94</p>	<p>4/95 - Accumulate other technical changes and submit eventually to Congress 11/95 - Reiterated April 1995 decision 5/97 - Reporter recommended that it be included in next technical amendment package 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee to accumulate for periodic revision 4/99 - Committee considered 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 82</b> To delete obsolete citation</p>	<p>99-CV-G Charles D. Cole, Jr., Esq. 11/3/99</p>	<p>12/99 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 4/00 - Committee approved for transmission without publication 6/00 - Standing Committee approved 9/00 - Judicial Conference approved 4/01 - Supreme Court approved 12/01 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 83(a)(1)</b> Uniform effective date for local rules and transmission to AO</p>		<p>3/98 - Committee considered 11/98 - Committee considered 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommends referral to other Committee (3) 4/00 - Committee considered 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Rule 83</b> Negligent failure to comply with procedural rules; local rule uniform numbering</p>		<p>5/93 - Committee approved for publication 6/93 - Standing Committee approved for publication 10/93 - Published for public comment 4/94 - Committee approved with revisions 6/94 - Standing Committee approved 9/94 - Judicial Conference approved 4/95 - Supreme Court approved 12/95 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<b>Rule 83(b)</b> Authorize Conference to permit local rules inconsistent with national rules on an experimental basis		4/92 - Committee approved for publication 6/92 - Committee withdrew at Standing Committee meeting <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 83</b> Have a uniform rule making Federal Rules of Civil Procedure consistent with Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure with respect to attorney admission	02-CV-H Frank Amador, Esq. 9/19/02	9/02 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Rule 84</b> Authorize Conference to amend rules		5/93 - Committee considered 4/94 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>FORMS</b>		
<b>CV Form 1</b> Standard form AO 440 should be consistent with summons Form 1	98-CV-F Joseph W. Skupniewitz, Clerk 10/2/98	10/98 - Referred to chair, reporter, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended full Committee consideration <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>CV Form 17</b> Complaint form for copyright infringement	Professor Edward Cooper 10/27/97	10/97 - Referred to Committee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommends full Committee consideration 4/99 - Committee deferred for further study <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>CV Forms 31 and 32</b> Delete the phrase, "that the action be dismissed on the merits" as erroneous and confusing	02-CV-F Prof. Bradley Scott Shannon 5/30/02	7/02 - Referred to chair and reporter 10/02 - Referred to Style Consultant 8/05 - Restyled Illustrative Forms published for public comment 5/06 - Committee approved restyled forms 6/06 - Standing Committee approved restyled forms 9/06 - Judicial Conference approved restyled forms 4/07 - Supreme Court approved restyled forms 12/07- Restyled forms effective <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>AO Forms 241 and 242</b> Amend to conform to changes under the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1997	98-CV-D Judge Harvey E. Schlesinger 8/10/98	8/98 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommends referral to other Committee <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>SUBJECT MATTER</b>		

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Admiralty Rule B</b> Clarify Rule B by establishing the time for determining when the defendant is found in the district</p>	<p>01-CV-B William R. Dorsey, III, Esq., President, The Maritime Law Association</p>	<p>6/00 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Mark Kasanin 11/01 - Committee considered 10/02 - Committee approved for publication 1/03 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/03 - Published for public comment 4/04 - Committee considered and approved 6/04 - Standing Committee approved 9/04 - Judicial Conference approved 4/05 - Supreme Court approved 12/05 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Admiralty Rule B, C, and E</b> Amend to conform to Rule C governing attachment in support of an in personam action</p>	<p>Agenda book for the 11/95 meeting</p>	<p>4/95 - Committee deferred for further consideration 11/95 - Draft presented to Committee 4/96 - Committee considered 10/96 - Committee considered and assigned to Subcommittee 5/97 - Committee considered 10/97 - Committee approved for publication and requested accelerated review by Standing Committee 1/98 - Standing Committee approved publication at regularly scheduled time 8/98 - Published for public comment 4/99 - Committee approved amendments with revisions 6/99 - Standing Committee approved 9/99 - Judicial Conference approved 4/00 - Supreme Court approved 12/00 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Admiralty Rule C</b> To conform time deadlines with Forfeiture Act</p>	<p>Civil Asset Forfeiture Act of 2000</p>	<p>10/00 - Committee approved for publication 1/01 - Standing Committee approved for publication; comments due 4/2/01 4/01 - Committee approved amendments 6/01 - Standing Committee approved 9/01 - Judicial Conference approved 4/02 - Supreme Court approved 12/02 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Admiralty Rule E(4)(f)</b> Delete two sentences that have become obsolete</p>	<p>07-CV-D David J. Sharpe 1/24/08</p>	<p>2/08 - Referred to reporter and chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>New Admiralty Rule G</b>            Authorize immediate posting of preemptive bond to prevent vessel seizure</p>	<p>96-CV-D            Magistrate Judge Roberts            9/30/96 #1450</p>	<p>12/96 - Referred to Admiralty and Agenda Subcommittee            3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee deferred action until more information available            5/02 - Committee discussed new rule governing civil forfeiture practice            5/03 - Committee considered new Admiralty Rule G            4/04 - Committee considered and approved for publication            6/04 - Standing Committee approved for publication            8/04 - Published for public comment            4/05 - Committee approved            6/05 - Standing Committee approved            9/05 - Judicial Conference approved            4/06 - Supreme Court approved            12/06 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Inconsistent Statute</b>            46 U.S.C. § 786 inconsistent with admiralty</p>	<p>97-CV-A            Michael Cohen            1/14/97 #2182</p>	<p>2/97 - Referred to reporter and chair; Supreme Court decision moots issue  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Non-applicable Statute</b>            46 U.S.C. § 767 Death on the High Seas Act not applicable to any navigable waters in the Panama Canal Zone</p>	<p>97-CV-O            Michael Marks Cohen            9/17/97</p>	<p>10/97 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee            3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended removal from agenda            10/99 - Consent calendar removed from agenda  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Admiralty Rule C(4)</b>            Amend to satisfy constitutional concerns regarding default in actions <i>in rem</i></p>	<p>97-CV-V            Gregory B. Walters, Cir. Exec., for Jud. Council of Ninth Cir. 12/4/97</p>	<p>1/98 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee            3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee recommended deferral until more information available  <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Adoption of form complaints for prisoner actions</b></p>	<p>99-CV-F            Iyass Suliman, prisoner            8/3/99</p>	<p>8/99 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee            8/99 - Subcommittee recommended removal from agenda            10/99 - Committee approved recommendation  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Copyright Rules of Practice Update</b></p>	<p>Inquiry from West Publishing</p>	<p>4/95 - To be reviewed with additional information at upcoming meetings  11/95 - Committee considered  10/96 - Committee considered  10/97 - Committee deferred until spring '98 meeting  3/98 - Committee deferred until fall '98 meeting  11/98 - Committee approved for publication  1/99 - Standing Committee approved for publication  8/99 - Published for public comment  4/00 - Committee approved amendments  6/00 - Standing Committee approved  9/00 - Judicial Conference approved  4/01 - Supreme Court approved  12/01 - Effective  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Court filing fee</b>  AO regulations on court filing fees should not be effective until adoption in the FRCP or Local Rules of Court</p>	<p>02-CV-C  James A. Andrews  4/1/02, 5/13/02</p>	<p>4/02 - Referred to reporter and chair  6/02 - Referred second letter to reporter and chair  10/05 - Committee declined to take action  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>De Bene Esse Depositions</b>  Provide specifically for <i>de bene esse</i> depositions</p>	<p>02-CV-G  Judge Joseph E. Irenas  6/7/02</p>	<p>7/02 - Referred to reporter and chair  10/02 - Committee solicited input from Evidence Rules Committee  10/05 - Committee declined to take action  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Discovery Rules</b>  Return to them as they were before the 1993 amendments</p>	<p>04-CV-D  Judge Wm. R. Wilson, Jr.  2/9/04</p>	<p>3/04 - Referred to reporter and chair  10/05 - Committee declined to take action  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Discovery Rules</b>  Abrogate the 1993 amendments concerning discovery</p>	<p>06-CV-G  Judge Wm. R. Wilson, Jr.  11/9/06</p>	<p>11/06 - Referred to reporter and chair  <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Electronic Acknowledgment</b>  Send acknowledgment of service electronically in a fillable, saveable PDF form</p>	<p>06-CV-B  Public Citizen Litigation Group (Paul Alan Levy)  3/17/06</p>	<p>3/06 - Referred to reporter and chair  <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Electronic Filing</b>  To require clerk's office to date stamp and return papers filed with the court.</p>	<p>99-CV-I  John Edward Schomaker, prisoner  11/25/99</p>	<p>12/99 - Referred to reporter, chair, Agenda Subcommittee, and Technology Subcommittee  10/05 - Committee declined to take action  <b>COMPLETED</b></p>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<b>Electronic Filing</b> Myriad changes to electronic case filing procedures and to other rules in order to reduce the opportunity for judicial misconduct	07-CV-B Kay Sieverding 10/13/07	11/07 - Referred to reporter and chair <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b>
<b>Interrogatories on Disk</b>	98-CV-C Michelle Ritz 5/13/98 See also 99-CV-E: Jeffrey Yencho suggestion re: Rules 3 and 34	5/98 - Referred to reporter, chair, and Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee received and referred to other Committee 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Medical Billing System</b> Simplify the system	05-CV-B John D. Gleissner, Esquire 1/26/05	1/05 - Referred to chair and reporter 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Motions in Limine</b> Need for new rule	05-CV-F John L. Runft, Esquire 8/4/05	9/05 - Referred to chair and reporter 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Page Limits</b> Specifying page limit for motions in Civil Rules	01-CV-A Jacques Pierre Ward 1/8/01	4/00 - Referred to reporter and chair 1/02 - Committee recommended no change <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Plain English</b> Make the language understandable to all	02-CV-I Conan L. Hom, law student 10/2/02	10/02 - Referred to reporter and chair 5/03 - Committee approved restyled Civil Rules 1-15 6/03 - Standing Committee approved for publication. Publication to be deferred 10/03 - Committee considered and approved for publication restyled Civil Rules 16-25 and 26-37 and 45 4/04 - Committee considered and approved for publication restyled Civil Rules 38-63 6/04 - Standing Committee approved for publication 1/05 - Standing Committee approved for publication. 2/05 - Published for public comment 5/06 - Committee approved 6/06 - Standing Committee approved 9/06 - Judicial Conference approved 4/07 - Supreme Court approved 12/07 - Effective <b>COMPLETED</b>
<b>Postal Bar Codes</b> Prevent manipulation of bar codes in mailings, as in zip plus 4 bar codes	00-CV-D Tom Scherer 3/2/00	7/00 - Referred to reporter, chair, and incoming chair 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b>

Suggestion	Docket Number, Source, and Date	Status
<p><b>Pro Se Litigants</b> To create a committee to consider the promulgation of a specific set of rules governing cases filed by pro se litigants</p>	<p>97-CV-I Judge Anthony J. Battaglia, on behalf of the Federal Magistrate Judge Assn. Rules Committee, to support proposal by Judge David Piester 7/17/97</p>	<p>7/97 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/97 - Referred to Agenda Subcommittee 3/99 - Agenda Subcommittee received schedule for further study 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Racial Discrimination</b> To develop new Federal procedures for decisions on minority litigant discrimination cases</p>	<p>02-CV-A Tracey J. Ellis 1/26/02, 4/10/02</p>	<p>1/02 - Referred to reporter and chair 4/02 - Referred to reporter and chair 5/02 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Recycled Paper and Double-Sided Paper</b></p>	<p>Christopher D. Knopf 9/20/95</p>	<p>11/95 - Committee considered 6/00 - CACM assigned issue and made recommendation for Judicial Conference policy <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Require less than unanimous verdicts</b></p>	<p>04-CV-F Judge James T. Trimble, Jr. 4/1/04</p>	<p>4/04 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/05 - Committee declined to take action <b>COMPLETED</b></p>
<p><b>Sealed Cases</b> Provide guidelines for docketing sealed cases</p>	<p>06-CV-C Judge Joel M. Flaum 6/13/06</p>	<p>6/06 - Referred to chair and reporter <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Simplified Procedures</b> Establish federal small claims procedures</p>	<p>Judge Niemeyer 10/00</p>	<p>10/99 - Committee considered, Subcommittee appointed 4/00 - Committee considered 10/00 - Committee considered <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Time Computation Project</b></p>	<p>06-CV-A 5(b) Authorize service by third-party commercial carriers (e.g., Federal Express, etc.) 1/6/06</p>	<p>1/06 - Committee considered 6/06 - Standing Committee considered 4/07 - Committee approved for publication 6/07 - Standing Committee approved for publication 8/07 - Published for public comment 4/08 - Committee approved 6/08 - Standing Committee approved <b>PENDING FURTHER ACTION</b></p>
<p><b>Word Substitution</b> Substitute term "action" for "case" and other similar words; substitute term "averment" for "allegation" and other similar words</p>	<p>02-CV-F Prof. Bradley Scott Shannon 5/30/02</p>	<p>7/02 - Referred to reporter and chair 10/02 - Referred to Style Consultant 4/04 - Committee considered and declined to adopt <b>COMPLETED</b></p>