

COMMITTEE ON COURT ADMINISTRATION AND CASE MANAGEMENT
of the
JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

HONORABLE JOHN W. LUNGSTRUM, CHAIR
HONORABLE W. HAROLD ALBRITTON
HONORABLE WILLIAM G. BASSLER
HONORABLE PAUL D. BORMAN
HONORABLE JERRY A. DAVIS
HONORABLE JAMES B. HAINES, JR.
HONORABLE TERRY J. HATTER, JR.

HONORABLE GLADYS KESSLER
HONORABLE JOHN G. KOELTL
HONORABLE SANDRA L. LYNCH
HONORABLE ILANA DIAMOND ROVNER
HONORABLE JOHN R. TUNHEIM
HONORABLE T. JOHN WARD
HONORABLE SAMUEL GRAYSON WILSON

August 2, 2004

Honorable David F. Levi
Chief Judge
United States District Court
2504 U.S. Courthouse
501 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-7300

Dear Judge Levi:

At our recent Summer meeting, and as part of the Executive Committee's budget initiative, our Committee considered a myriad of cost containment ideas, one of which was that all cases filed in federal court be done exclusively through the CM/ECF system. After discussing this proposal, it was the consensus of the Committee that significant savings can and will be achieved through electronic filing, and therefore mandatory electronic filing should be encouraged to the fullest extent possible. Because this proposal has obvious implications for the federal rules of procedure and therefore your Committee, I wanted to alert you to our Committee's recommendations.

As you are aware, our Committee – at the request of and in coordination with your Committee – has developed model local electronic filing rules (which were subsequently endorsed by the Judicial Conference) that strongly encourage electronic filing. One of the fundamental reasons for developing these model rules was to assist the Rules Committee in its consideration of the development of national rules for electronic filing. These rules have been provided to the courts for over two years, and have been of great assistance in implementing CM/ECF.

At our Summer meeting, the Committee considered a series of proposed amendments to those rules that would create a presumption that all documents would be electronically filed, unless otherwise ordered by the court upon a showing of good cause. The Committee decided, however, that these proposals would probably conflict with the current Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(e) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 5005, which state that a court may "permit" electronic filing, and therefore declined to endorse them. Instead, our Committee decided to tackle the issue head on, by

Honorable David F. Levi
Page 2

recommending that the Rules Committee consider expedited amendments to the civil and bankruptcy rules that would authorize the courts to “require” the use of electronic filing but that would also incorporate appropriate exceptions. Fundamentally, the Committee believes this to be the most appropriate way to formally implement electronic case filing into the culture of the federal courts. And, while the Committee was cognizant of the fact that the Appellate courts will not start implementing CM/ECF until January of 2005, and will not go live until January 2006 at the earliest, we believe now is an appropriate time to begin the rules process to effect these changes, in order that they be implemented as quickly as possible.

In the meantime, the Committee also plans to consider amendments – to the extent they are possible – to the current model local rules that would more strongly encourage the use of electronic filing without violating the current federal rules. The Committee is also requesting the Executive Committee, as part of its cost containment initiative, to strongly urge courts to work with their local bars to ensure that CM/ECF is implemented to the greatest extent possible. The Committee believes this will help eliminate paper filing practices, as well as dual paper and electronic filing practices, in favor of the full incorporation of electronic case filing, thereby achieving cost savings through this technology.

Therefore, based on the Committee’s recommendations, I would like to formally request that the Rules Committee propose, on an expedited basis, amendments to Rule 5(e) of the Federal Rules of Procedure and Rule 5005(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure that would authorize the courts to “require” the use of electronic filing, but would also incorporate appropriate exceptions. I would also welcome any suggestions your Committee may have regarding our initiative to review the current model local rules with an eye towards amending them to more strongly encourage electronic filing.

Thank you for your consideration of these proposals, and please do not hesitate to contact me if you would like to discuss them further. Our two committees have devoted an enormous amount of time and energy to these issues, and it looks like those efforts will continue for some time. I sincerely believe, however, that our efforts have been a great contribution to the federal judiciary.

Sincerely,

/s John W. Lungstrum

John W. Lungstrum

cc: Peter McCabe
John Rabiej