

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN									
1	VOLLMER	GRIFFIN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/11/2000	30	2	90
2	BUTLER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/21/2000	30	-	30
3	BUTLER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	EE	N	10/18/2001	30	-	30
4	BUTLER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	EE	N	11/06/2001	30	-	30
ARIZONA									
1	CARROLL	WARREN	GAMBLING	WC	D	11/03/2000	30	1	60
2	SILVER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/13/2000	30	2	90
3	ROSENBLATT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/17/2001	30	4	150
4	ROSENBLATT	WARREN	NARCOTICS	EE	H	04/27/2001	30	-	30
5	BROWNING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/03/2001	30	-	30
6	BROWNING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2001	30	1	60
7	ZAPATA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/07/2001	30	-	30
8	COLLINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/08/2001	30	-	30
9	TEILBORG	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2001	30	-	30
ARKANSAS, EASTERN									
1	WOODS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/10/2001	30	-	30
2	REASONER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2001	30	1	60
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL									
1	SNYDER	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2000	30	2	90
2	PREGERSON	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	11/14/2000	30	2	90
3	COOPER	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WS	H	11/20/2000	30	2	90
4	MATZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/01/2000	30	1	60
5	SNYDER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/19/2000	30	1	60
6	BAIRD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	B	12/28/2000	30	1	60
7	SNYDER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/12/2001	30	-	30
8	SNYDER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/23/2001	30	2	90
9	MATZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2001	30	2	90
10	TEVRIZIAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/13/2001	30	-	30
11	MATZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC,ED	H,D	02/16/2001	30	2	90

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN													
1	90	NR	NR	NR	NR	3,739	2,500	2	2	-	-	-	2
2	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	8	85	231	216	8,720	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	4	27	114	108	5,047	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA													
1	58	344	620	19,969	7,270	20,565	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	90	22	343	2,005	492	154,040	19,127	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	133	21	257	2,780	438	79,356	1,200	7	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	72	590	2,149	20	5,374	400	7	-	-	-	-	-
5	22	24	43	522	60	8,663	800	3	-	-	-	-	-
6	60	106	140	6,387	816	26,681	5,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	22	66	664	162	40,194	2,700	17	-	-	-	-	-
8	26	178	143	4,624	773	9,982	750	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	50	17	1,500	300	110,688	96,288	9	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS, EASTERN													
1	30	23	10	699	17	74,460	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	40	108	22	4,324	91	123,100	1,500	2	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL													
1	90	7	69	593	486	82,620	5,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	90	41	234	3,656	592	188,211	6,589	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	90	152	277	13,637	333	65,114	16,308	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	55	35	170	1,922	151	193,368	65,150	1	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	13	56	771	344	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	60	111	2,000	6,659	151	56,400	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	77	18	2,314	858	65,710	15,710	9	-	-	-	-	-
8	90	22	211	1,976	1,575	85,620	8,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	70	24	136	1,658	112	155,153	52,760	1	-	-	-	-	-
10	28	37	12	1,029	75	21,888	8,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	86	62	124	5,323	261	349,231	102,000	1	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
12	SNYDER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2001	30	-	30
13	SNYDER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	-	30
14	PREGERSON	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/22/2001	30	-	30
15	MATZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/22/2001	30	3	120
16	TEVRIZIAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/25/2001	30	-	30
17	SNYDER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2001	30	-	30
18	COOPER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2001	30	-	30
19	TAYLOR	WARREN	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	04/06/2001	30	-	30
20	MORROW	STERN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2001	30	-	30
21	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2001	30	1	60
22	MATZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2001	30	-	30
23	COOPER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/03/2001	30	-	30
24	MORROW	STERN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/23/2001	30	-	30
25	REAL	OLMEDO	RACKETEERING	OM	O	06/07/2001	30	-	30
26	MATZ	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/2001	30	-	30
27	LEW	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/10/2001	30	1	60
28	MARSHALL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/10/2001	30	2	90
29	MATZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	R	07/18/2001	30	-	30
30	STOTLER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/28/2001	30	-	30
31	MORENO	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/30/2001	30	1	60
32	COLLINS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	09/28/2001	30	1	60
33	PREGERSON	DUBOSE	OTHER	EE	B	10/17/2001	30	-	30
34	COLLINS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/29/2001	30	-	30
35	KING	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2001	30	-	30
3*	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2000	30	1	60
32*	REAL	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/29/2000	30	-	30
33*	REAL	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/2000	30	-	30
34*	SNYDER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2000	30	3	120
35*	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2000	30	2	90
36*	MANELLA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	08/22/2000	30	1	60

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
12	30	80	16	2,391	329	67,200	17,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	6	6	187	13	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7						
14	30	64	37	1,924	NR	73,160	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	103	76	104	7,794	117	296,503	76,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	30	50	164	1,499	54	111,087	24,634	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	30	20	47	598	477	RELATED TO NO. 8		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	30	-	2	1	1	68,743	34,084	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	30	27	44	805	26	28,867	2,000	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	30	150	82	4,514	533	99,351	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	60	21	30	1,288	424	179,900	8,800	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	29	1	2	19	-	91,466	27,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	30	118	91	3,536	350	73,558	150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	8	3	14	21	-	48,638	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	11	2	13	25	-	12,096	1,775	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	13	2	5	20	-	42,833	13,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	44	7	80	298	93	30,339	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	90	27	72	2,407	573	182,935	6,750	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	14	88	42	1,236	197	69,756	18,090	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	18	7	4	134	8	28,278	4,500	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	60	27	80	1,616	496	27,315	11,915	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	60	12	74	733	373	27,760	9,280	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	27	660	104	17,817	817	75,000	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	12	53	58	635	287	31,740	7,100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	21	32	10	667	84	47,580	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31*	52	20	20	1,036	148	131,340	22,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
32*	21	21	20	443	172	14,244	1,000	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
33*	4	4	5	18	11	3,656	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34*	120	148	61	17,702	2,958	310,000	110,000	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
35*	90	59	175	5,319	2,305	41,279	6,000	3	-	-	-	-	-	2
36*	36	66	448	2,372	2,761	20,786	2,500	15	-	-	1	-	-	15

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)									
37*	SNYDER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/31/2000	30	1	60
38*	SNYDER	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/26/2000	30	1	60
39*	BAIRD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/04/2000	30	-	30
40*	SNYDER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/16/2000	30	-	30
41*	STOTLER	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	10/30/2000	30	-	30
42*	PREGERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/2000	30	-	30
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN									
1	COYLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	-	30
2	COYLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/01/2001	30	-	30
3	WANGER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2001	30	1	60
4	WANGER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2001	30	-	30
7*	DAMRELL	KEENEY	KIDNAPPING	OM	H	08/07/2000	30	-	30
8*	WANGER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	11/21/2000	30	-	30
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN									
1	WHYTE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/26/2001	30	1	60
2	BREYER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2001	30	1	60
3	BREYER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2001	30	-	30
4	JENKINS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2001	30	1	60
5	BREYER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2001	30	1	60
6	ALSUP	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2001	30	-	30
7	HAMILTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/2001	30	2	90
8	BREYER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2001	30	-	30
9	HAMILTON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/21/2001	30	2	90
10	ALSUP	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2001	30	-	30
11	WHYTE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/03/2001	30	1	60
12	JENSEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2001	30	1	60
13	BREYER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/11/2001	30	-	30
8*	INGRAM	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	02/28/2000	30	1	60

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL (CONTINUED)													
37*	60	18	10	1,097	147	131,390	31,390	5	-	-	-	-	-
38*	60	19	23	1,122	493	130,500	30,500	RELATED TO NO. 34*					
39*	30	-	-	-	-	36,785	2,330	-	-	-	-	-	-
40*	21	136	6	2,858	16	46,750	11,750	RELATED TO NO. 37*					
41*	21	23	26	492	24	9,619	2,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
42*	16	56	20	888	142	64,460	22,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN													
1	30	123	103	3,704	1,334	202,460	2,000	47	-	-	-	-	25
2	14	3	5	39	3	24,732	10,363	1	-	-	-	-	1
3	58	24	72	1,381	396	108,531	17,000	9	-	-	-	-	5
4	18	3	23	53	14	9,404	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
7*	30	21	15	624	80	53,194	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
8*	29	114	110	3,316	618	22,661	2,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN													
1	32	13	127	423	73	93,200	2,000	10	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	63	125	3,762	3,009	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
3	30	33	125	1,000	800	15,488	-	28	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	18	58	1,054	150	104,246	1,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	26	55	790	518	2,557,975	236,600	41	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	65	53	1,958	613	85,097	25,700	10	-	-	-	-	-
7	85	150	95	12,750	1,495	259,985	20,000	12	-	-	-	-	-
8	8	47	30	378	259	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
9	70	150	100	10,500	1,005	231,985	17,000	12	-	-	-	-	-
10	26	34	48	892	361	74,267	25,700	4	-	-	-	-	-
11	43	75	153	3,235	98	132,957	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	42	29	57	1,218	171	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
13	8	37	25	299	157	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
8*	60	45	184	2,696	324	116,367	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN									
1	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2000	30	2	90
2	HUFF	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/04/2001	30	3	120
3	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/02/2001	30	1	60
4	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/09/2001	30	1	60
5	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2001	30	-	30
6	HUFF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2001	30	-	30
7	HUFF	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/22/2001	30	1	60
8	HUFF	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2001	30	2	90
9	HUFF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/08/2001	30	-	30
10	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/19/2001	30	-	30
11	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/20/2001	30	-	30
12	HUFF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	1	60
13	HUFF	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2001	30	-	30
14	HUFF	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/28/2001	30	-	30
15	HUFF	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2001	30	-	30
16	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2001	30	-	30
COLORADO									
1	WEINSHIENK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2001	30	-	30
2	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2001	30	2	90
3	WEINSHIENK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/06/2001	30	1	60
4	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/20/2001	30	1	60
5	KANE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2001	30	1	60
6	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/31/2001	30	-	30
7	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2001	30	-	30
8	KANE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	-	30
9	WEINSHIENK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/09/2001	30	-	30
10	WEINSHIENK	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2001	30	-	30
11*	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2000	30	4	150

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN													
1	76	29	179	2,216	846	309,877	5,568	10	-	-	-	-	5
2	117	32	50	3,749	578	220,133	5,810	31	-	-	-	1	14
3	30	283	200	8,477	187	235,580	23,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	53	26	38	1,399	30	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
5	29	79	63	2,305	433	23,884	7,564	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	27	44	814	121	29,300	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	58	30	20	1,731	463	231,966	2,776	8	-	-	-	-	-
8	81	45	89	3,655	770	293,817	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	43	85	1,290	55	21,813	1,213	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	102	17	3,049	200	115,983	1,388	RELATED TO NO. 7					
11	30	38	21	1,133	87	RELATED TO NO. 8		-	-	-	-	-	-
12	52	24	51	1,247	130	74,706	2,450	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	52	96	1,565	331	RELATED TO NO. 8		-	-	-	-	-	-
14	30	56	32	1,665	206	26,997	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
15	30	7	22	207	23	22,279	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO													
1	19	84	40	1,600	241	65,000	-	49	-	-	-	-	-
2	80	23	95	1,830	657	115,199	200	30	-	-	-	-	2
3	60	55	240	3,329	556	40,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	57	30	73	1,702	213	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
5	59	33	60	1,952	696	87,099	1,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	12	-	1	1	1	4,291	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	1	348	17	34	31,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	29	25	32	719	89	RELATED TO NO. 5		-	-	-	-	-	-
9	29	2	15	71	50	28,225	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	1	6	30	20	11,578	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
11*	150	19	38	2,887	495	107,566	40,000	9	-	-	-	1	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
CONNECTICUT									
1	CHATIGNY	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/28/2000	30	1	60
2	BURNS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/26/2001	30	1	60
3	COVELLO	GUSTAFSON	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/26/2001	30	2	90
4	COVELLO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2001	30	-	30
5	BURNS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	04/24/2001	30	2	90
6	COVELLO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/09/2001	30	-	30
7	DORSEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/2001	30	-	30
8	DORSEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2001	30	-	30
9	DORSEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	09/21/2001	30	-	30
10	DORSEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/2001	30	-	30
11	DORSEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2001	30	-	30
12	DORSEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/2001	30	-	30
9*	BURNS	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/12/1999	30	8	270
10*	BURNS	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/01/1999	30	15	480
11*	BURNS	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	O	03/10/2000	30	5	180
12*	BURNS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/2000	30	1	60
686**	BURNS	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	B	03/01/1999	30	3	120
687**	BURNS	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	EF	B	08/10/1999	30	2	90
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA									
1	HUVELLE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/13/2000	30	2	90
2	HUVELLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2001	30	-	30
3	ROBERTSON	CRABB	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/22/2001	30	-	30
4	HUVELLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2001	30	1	60
5	ROBERTSON	CRABB	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/01/2001	30	5	180
6	ROBERTSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2001	30	-	30
7	KENNEDY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/02/2001	30	-	30
8	KOLLAR-KOTELLY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2001	30	-	30
9	ROBERTSON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/08/2001	30	1	60
10	FRIEDMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/20/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
CONNECTICUT													
1	55	59	57	3,237	689	21,253	3,722	9	-	-	-	-	1
2	60	18	62	1,068	484	59,647	1,548	18	-	-	-	1	2
3	88	NR	NR	NR	NR	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
4	28	31	45	874	550	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
5	76	40	212	3,048	453	70,415	3,458	8	-	-	-	-	1
6	23	12	30	265	66	42,587	1,467	30	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	15	16	446	125	107,626	400	2	-	-	-	-	-
8	8	28	25	225	73	111,003	6,300	4	-	-	-	-	-
9	19	30	36	568	85	102,149	4,200	RELATED TO NO. 8					
10	16	12	6	191	23	91,417	4,200	RELATED TO NO. 8					
11	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	19	19	10	358	89	64,527	350	-	-	-	-	-	-
9*	235	56	400	13,068	1,671	RELATED TO NO. 10*		-	-	-	-	-	-
10*	470	39	794	18,540	4,378	1,285,967	294,020	-	-	-	-	-	-
11*	165	1	30	167	42	RELATED TO NO. 10*		-	-	-	-	-	-
12*	60	63	225	3,764	1,139	90,173	2,312	RELATED TO NO. 2					
686**	87	1	38	78	35	126,549	1,716	-	-	-	-	-	-
687**	78	3	NR	241	101	RELATED TO NO. 10*		-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA													
1	89	70	128	6,194	709	76,731	13,928	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	31	57	923	83	27,386	1,700	4	-	-	-	-	-
3	4	-	-	-	-	222	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	50	36	101	1,802	529	45,854	3,711	9	-	-	-	-	-
5	155	19	NR	3,004	NR	8,842	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	29	133	78	3,861	397	49,061	3,235	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	8	35	16	277	29	8,643	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	33	67	34	2,217	140	40,738	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	7	46	11	321	6	11,278	2,280	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (CONTINUED)									
11	ROBERTSON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2001	30	1	60
12	HOGAN	ASHCROFT	SMUGGLING	WC	D	09/16/2001	2	1	32
FLORIDA, MIDDLE									
1	SCHLESINGER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2001	30	1	60
2	SCHLESINGER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2001	30	1	60
3	SCHLESINGER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2001	30	-	30
4	KOVACHEVICH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	B	05/23/2001	30	2	90
5	ADAMS	SWARTZ	FRAUD	WS,WC	H,D	07/02/2001	30	1	60
6	KOVACHEVICH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/03/2001	30	1	60
1*	KOVACHEVICH	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	11/03/2000	30	1	60
FLORIDA, NORTHERN									
1	VINSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2001	30	2	90
2	VINSON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS,OM	H,B	08/09/2001	30	1	60
3	VINSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/27/2001	30	-	30
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN									
1	MOORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2000	30	2	90
2	MIDDLEBROOKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/19/2000	30	2	90
3	GOLD	KEENEY	FRAUD	EF	B	12/27/2000	30	-	30
4	SEITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2001	30	-	30
5	SEITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2001	30	1	60
6	SEITZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2001	30	1	60
7	RYSKAMP	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/20/2001	30	1	60
8	JORDAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2001	30	-	30
9	SEITZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/03/2001	30	2	90
10	RYSKAMP	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/13/2001	30	1	60
11	SEITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,EF	B	04/26/2001	30	4	150
12	RYSKAMP	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/2001	30	-	30
13	HIGHSMITH	WHITE	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2001	30	-	30
14	HURLEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	2	90
15	MOORE	FISHER	RACKETEERING	OM	B	09/04/2001	30	1	60

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (CONTINUED)													
11	58	40	33	2,309	501	26,933	1,180	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	3	196	29	588	3	7,748	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, MIDDLE													
1	57	42	131	2,420	342	163,961	27,593	20	-	-	-	-	10
2	57	112	131	6,369	319	287,513	27,593	20	-	-	-	-	20
3	23	105	55	2,417	106	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
4	90	42	28	3,762	468	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	51	81	431	4,113	389	351,869	48,000	21	-	-	-	-	8
6	57	7	11	402	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1*	47	60	37	2,823	160	28,464	1,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, NORTHERN													
1	78	21	40	1,638	86	19,200	-	9	-	-	-	-	9
2	59	19	45	1,149	60	64,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	15	32	448	46	64,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN													
1	68	36	17	2,430	263	115,124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	74	20	35	1,503	348	29,134	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
3	21	-	4	2	-	428	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	10	48	NR	482	121	10,697	500	1	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	50	100	3,000	1,000	209,014	32,232	RELATED TO NO. 9					
6	53	13	35	696	402	14,139	12,000	8	-	-	-	-	-
7	40	25	206	996	170	66,389	6,600	8	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	39	NR	1,177	297	41,135	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	85	141	250	12,000	3,000	444,686	377,536	17	-	-	-	-	-
10	60	35	382	2,091	415	93,583	3,900	13	-	-	-	-	-
11	123	75	135	9,270	2,803	14,151	12,000	8	-	-	-	-	-
12	19	7	88	129	4	14,711	8,400	RELATED TO NO. 14					
13	27	41	22	1,103	393	92,572	2,572	8	-	-	-	1	3
14	90	8	412	690	298	48,394	18,500	7	-	-	-	-	-
15	42	7	NR	300	217	32,547	1,839	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
16	UNGARO-BENAGES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2001	30	1	60
17	UNGARO-BENAGES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/24/2001	30	1	60
18	LENARD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2001	30	-	30
19	LENARD	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/24/2001	30	-	30
20	UNGARO-BENAGES	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/31/2001	30	1	60
13*	LENARD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	B	09/08/2000	30	-	30
GEORGIA, MIDDLE									
1	FITZPATRICK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2001	30	2	90
1*	LAWSON	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/24/2000	30	-	30
2*	LAWSON	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2000	30	-	30
GEORGIA, NORTHERN									
1	FORRESTER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/21/2000	30	-	30
2	FORRESTER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2001	30	-	30
3	CAMP	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/02/2001	30	2	90
4	CARNES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2001	30	-	30
5	CARNES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2001	30	-	30
6	CARNES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2001	30	-	30
7	COOPER	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/28/2001	30	-	30
8	COOPER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2001	30	-	30
9	HUNT	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	1	60
10	HUNT	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2001	30	-	30
11	THRASH	KEENEY	ROBBERY	WC	D	09/14/2001	30	-	30
12	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2001	30	-	30
7*	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/2000	30	1	60
8*	PANNELL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	06/30/2000	30	-	30
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN									
1	BOWEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2001	30	-	30
2	BOWEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	R	05/03/2001	30	-	30
3	BOWEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
16	60	79	NR	4,745	449	120,431	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	
17	60	78	4,696	4,696	1,134	10,000	7,600	-	-	-	-	-	
18	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
19	30	15	NR	438	82	34,424	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	
20	45	80	NR	3,610	560	77,731	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	
13*	30	213	25	6,382	613	26,209	-	1	-	-	-	-	
GEORGIA, MIDDLE													
1	85	30	70	2,548	578	279,601	8,958	10	-	-	-	3	
1*	21	7	26	148	21	37,780	16,612	-	-	-	-	-	
2*	8	17	13	136	10	14,567	5,537	9	-	-	-	-	
GEORGIA, NORTHERN													
1	30	128	240	3,826	298	23,400	3,400	6	-	-	-	-	
2	30	28	114	851	224	50,960	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
3	80	25	15	1,967	521	116,700	1,500	14	-	-	-	-	
4	8	83	12	664	241	61,800	3,000	7	-	-	-	-	
5	24	16	8	389	129	39,000	600	9	-	-	6	-	
6	30	22	8	662	305	39,000	600	RELATED TO NO. 8				-	
7	23	8	5	174	54	39,600	1,200	RELATED TO NO. 8				-	
8	12	22	4	258	101	40,000	1,600	14	-	-	6	-	
9	47	30	20	1,406	255	397,800	3,000	7	-	-	-	-	
10	30	27	12	804	363	RELATED TO NO. 9		RELATED TO NO. 9				-	
11	13	24	19	318	57	27,657	2,000	3	-	-	-	-	
12	5	84	6	421	37	53,400	3,000	6	-	-	-	-	
7*	28	30	40	853	525	53,529	5,400	11	-	-	3	-	
8*	30	40	10	1,211	526	39,000	600	9	-	-	-	-	
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN													
1	1	-	-	-	-	2,240	1,697	-	-	-	-	-	
2	18	24	NR	427	67	41,824	1,697	-	-	-	-	-	
3	27	25	NR	672	115	62,134	1,697	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
HAWAII									
1	MOLLWAY	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/28/2000	30	-	30
2	MOLLWAY	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/12/2001	30	-	30
3	MOLLWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/27/2001	30	-	30
4	EZRA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2001	30	-	30
5	GILLMOR	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/13/2001	30	-	30
6	MOLLWAY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/17/2001	30	-	30
7	GILLMOR	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	B	05/21/2001	30	1	60
8	GILLMOR	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2001	30	1	60
9	GILLMOR	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2001	30	-	30
10	MOLLWAY	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/2001	30	-	30
11	MOLLWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/11/2001	30	1	60
12	EZRA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/22/2001	30	1	60
11*	MOLLWAY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/27/2000	30	1	60
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN									
1	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/13/2000	30	-	30
2	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	OM	H	01/31/2001	30	-	30
3	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	OM	H	01/31/2001	30	-	30
4	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/31/2001	30	-	30
5	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/22/2001	30	6	210
6	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2001	30	-	30
7	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2001	30	-	30
8	ASPEN	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	03/23/2001	30	1	60
9	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2001	30	-	30
10	ASPEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2001	30	-	30
11	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2001	30	-	30
12	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2001	30	1	60
13	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2001	30	-	30
14	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2001	30	-	30
15	ZAGEL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2001	30	1	60
16	ZAGEL	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/24/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
HAWAII													
1	5	31	16	156	5	4,704	100	RELATED TO NO. 3					
2	30	14	17	412	58	30,192	700	RELATED TO NO. 3					
3	24	46	36	1,094	105	24,812	860	13	-	-	-	-	1
4	30	2	2	56	2	26,929	14,161	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	7	12	8	87	40	6,266	2,010	7	-	-	-	-	-
6	25	32	50	803	74	40,371	390	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	45	47	40	2,126	285	214,770	88,710	31	-	-	-	-	-
8	59	79	54	4,690	664	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
9	28	13	11	365	35	RELATED TO NO. 7		RELATED TO NO. 7					
10	21	5	10	95	2	18,465	2,345	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	60	25	154	1,505	225	168,181	1,070	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	51	89	193	4,563	826	78,947	949	32	-	-	-	-	-
11*	60	79	222	4,720	229	74,731	1,000	RELATED TO NO. 3					
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN													
1	29	51	NR	1,485	NR	RELATED TO NO. 29*		RELATED TO NO. 29*					
2	1	1	4	1	1	1,326	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
3	1	1	2	1	1	RELATED TO NO. 2		2	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	34	35	1,028	297	48,571	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	200	70	NR	14,051	1,773	349,098	10,738	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	9	30	40	266	78	55,809	400	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 9		-	-	-	-	-	-
8	44	112	64	4,945	1,790	101,334	2,090	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	28	-	-	-	-	30,447	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	91	160	2,718	331	RELATED TO NO. 6		-	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	5	20	136	59	29,314	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	54	66	79	3,573	600	39,779	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	53	29	1,583	927	58,229	1,225	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	30	13	17	380	147	48,824	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	43	7	18	309	155	64,015	1,000	3	-	-	-	-	3
16	24	42	44	1,001	455	25,700	240	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
17	CONLON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2001	30	-	30
18	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/31/2001	30	1	60
19	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2001	30	-	30
20	COAR	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/2001	30	-	30
21	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2001	30	-	30
22	ASPEN	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2001	30	-	30
23	ASPEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2001	30	-	30
24	ASPEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/22/2001	30	2	90
25	ASPEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2001	30	-	30
26	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/02/2001	30	-	30
27	ASPEN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/15/2001	30	1	60
28	ASPEN	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	10/31/2001	30	-	30
29	ASPEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2001	30	-	30
30	KOCORAS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2001	30	-	30
31	KOCORAS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2001	30	-	30
32	ASPEN	SWARTZ	EXTORTION	WC	D	11/30/2001	30	-	30
29*	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2000	30	1	60
30*	ASPEN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	OM	H	12/20/2000	30	-	30
INDIANA, NORTHERN									
1	MOODY	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/27/2000	30	2	90
2	MOODY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2001	30	1	60
3	MOODY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/12/2001	30	-	30
INDIANA, SOUTHERN									
1	MCKINNEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2001	30	2	90
2	HAMILTON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2001	30	1	60
3	BARKER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/09/2001	30	-	30
4	BARKER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/21/2001	30	1	60
5	MCKINNEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2001	30	1	60
6	TINDER	DOWD	NARCOTICS	ED	D	07/02/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)													
17	30	1	4	22	4	30,417	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	
18	60	30	58	1,830	260	107,343	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
19	30	59	48	1,779	690	30,449	10,000	4	-	-	-	-	
20	14	17	22	232	60	12,002	2,850	-	-	-	-	-	
21	30	2	9	49	18	30,527	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	
22	14	95	53	1,326	136	41,433	100	-	-	-	-	-	
23	30	334	308	10,024	2,891	8,493	200	-	-	-	-	-	
24	88	32	28	2,779	370	110,607	574	6	-	-	-	-	
25	14	28	25	395	154	18,562	550	-	-	-	-	-	
26	21	1	3	11	-	16,614	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	
27	46	24	24	1,103	289	58,474	600	6	-	-	-	-	
28	30	9	23	257	64	10,641	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
29	14	45	10	636	286	19,779	1,200	11	-	-	-	-	
30	29	354	308	10,262	4,086	33,416	200	-	-	-	-	-	
31	30	11	45	326	117	44,223	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
32	4	61	16	245	74	8,488	650	3	-	-	-	-	
29*	60	141	193	8,459	NR	157,872	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
30*	1	1	3	1	1	2,133	-	3	-	-	-	-	
INDIANA, NORTHERN													
1	87	122	424	10,591	1,099	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
2	60	95	130	5,683	328	310,426	22,350	22	-	-	-	-	
3	9	31	25	277	17	RELATED TO NO. 2		RELATED TO NO. 2					
INDIANA, SOUTHERN													
1	79	48	188	3,811	1,230	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
2	58	118	303	6,818	1,141	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
3	16	61	49	983	69	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
4	58	123	296	7,133	693	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
5	57	72	130	4,124	789	173,519	8,565	43	-	-	-	-	
6	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	244,002	5,861	31	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
INDIANA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
7	YOUNG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2001	30	-	30
8	YOUNG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2001	30	-	30
9	TINDER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	OM	B	11/16/2001	30	-	30
KANSAS									
1	VANBEBBER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/15/2001	30	-	30
2	MARTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/2001	30	-	30
3	VANBEBBER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/27/2001	30	1	60
4	MARTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/30/2001	30	1	60
5	MARTEN	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/13/2001	30	2	90
6	MARTEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2001	30	2	90
7	MARTEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/23/2001	30	1	60
KENTUCKY, EASTERN									
1	FORESTER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/20/2001	30	2	90
2	FORESTER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2001	30	-	30
KENTUCKY, WESTERN									
1*	SIMPSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/01/2000	30	-	30
LOUISIANA, EASTERN									
1	CLEMENT	WARREN	FRAUD	WS	B	12/19/2000	30	2	90
2	CLEMENT	WARREN	FRAUD	EF	B	02/22/2001	30	-	30
3	BARBIER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2001	30	-	30
4	PORTEOUS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2001	30	-	30
5	BARBIER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/24/2001	30	-	30
6	PORTEOUS	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/2001	30	-	30
7	LEMELLE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2001	30	-	30
8	FALLON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2001	30	2	90
9	LEMELLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	1	60
10	FALLON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/20/2001	30	-	30
11	BARBIER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/30/2001	30	1	60
12	DUVAL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/21/2001	30	1	60

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
INDIANA, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
7	30	40	30	1,188	750	5,800	1,000	12	-	-	-	-	-
8	20	87	45	1,741	900	3,000	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	2	2	2	4	4	3,304	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS													
1	8	16	22	130	8	29,654	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	-	-	-	-	18,979	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	59	42	121	2,489	544	73,474	3,183	3	-	-	-	-	-
4	59	43	16	2,531	1,530	149,711	8,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
5	86	34	13	2,912	1,647	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
6	88	37	18	3,214	1,522	229,578	20,000	6	-	-	-	-	-
7	59	9	7	549	128	129,718	10,000	RELATED TO NO. 6					
KENTUCKY, EASTERN													
1	65	92	548	5,986	1,189	108,276	18,046	21	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	24	64	731	44	18,137	1,189	5	-	-	-	-	4
KENTUCKY, WESTERN													
1*	15	59	135	883	96	54,291	1,395	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, EASTERN													
1	88	116	813	10,164	100	60,429	11,545	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	RELATED TO NO. 1		-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	29	18	866	111	32,958	1,540	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	69	126	2,066	371	8,311	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	131	49	3,934	1,336	1,540	1,540	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	10	12	10	117	16	24,774	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	17	21	10	358	49	22,472	560	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	85	120	523	10,158	2,061	146,260	1,770	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	60	107	147	6,400	565	110,383	1,475	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	27	110	64	2,978	49	7,067	350	4	-	-	-	-	-
11	29	79	60	2,285	187	8,660	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	58	41	288	2,396	592	88,666	3,965	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
LOUISIANA, EASTERN (CONTINUED)									
12*	LEMELLE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/2000	30	-	30
13*	FELDMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2000	30	1	60
LOUISIANA, WESTERN									
1	STAGG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/04/2000	30	-	30
2	STAGG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/2001	30	-	30
3	STAGG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/31/2001	30	-	30
4	JAMES	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2001	30	-	30
5	JAMES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/09/2001	30	-	30
688**	HAIK	KEENEY	HOSTAGE TAKING	WS	O	12/16/1999	30	-	30
689**	HAIK	KEENEY	HOSTAGE TAKING	OM	O	12/16/1999	30	-	30
MARYLAND									
1	NICKERSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	OM	O	02/07/2001	30	1	60
2	DAVIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2001	30	2	90
3	DAVIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2001	30	1	60
4	WILLIAMS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2001	30	-	30
5	WILLIAMS	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2001	30	-	30
6	CHASANOW	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/21/2001	30	1	60
7	BLAKE	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2001	30	1	60
8	CHASANOW	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2001	30	2	90
9	CHASANOW	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/2001	30	1	60
6*	SMALKIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,ED	H,D	10/02/2000	30	1	60
7*	CHASANOW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/22/2000	30	-	30
MASSACHUSETTS									
1	HARRINGTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,ED	H,D	02/16/2001	30	1	60
2	HARRINGTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	03/01/2001	30	1	60
3	HARRINGTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/21/2001	30	-	30
4	STEARNS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2001	30	-	30
5	HARRINGTON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/24/2001	30	-	30
6	STEARNS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/22/2001	30	1	60

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
LOUISIANA, EASTERN (CONTINUED)													
12*	13	113	69	1,466	234	18,565	1,240	2	-	-	-	-	-
13*	50	89	380	4,452	108	69,794	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, WESTERN													
1	30	10	10	292	2	23,171	2,850	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	180	95	5,412	449	76,083	1,700	20	-	1	-	-	5
3	29	85	71	2,478	307	70,496	1,700	4	-	-	-	-	-
4	24	125	126	3,006	349	47,540	1,689	9	-	-	-	-	3
5	12	35	4	421	43	23,625	1,595	-	-	-	-	-	-
688**	3	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 689		RELATED TO NO. 689					
689**	3	201	NR	603	29	14,044	8,330	9	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND													
1	6	6	11	33	-	72,083	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	88	25	145	2,192	1,105	219,197	11,380	5	-	-	-	-	-
3	58	30	64	1,763	452	147,270	10,300	2	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	23	56	699	204	214,108	950	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	9	16	17	146	107	61,256	225	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	60	15	253	880	186	194,040	6,480	26	-	-	-	-	-
7	58	131	720	7,611	839	115,750	3,000	20	-	-	-	-	-
8	59	22	248	1,308	726	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
9	37	20	137	729	126	RELATED TO NO. 6		RELATED TO NO. 6					
6*	60	22	19	1,327	72	295,500	2,500	3	-	-	-	-	-
7*	30	28	22	855	238	23,608	1,500	8	-	-	-	1	4
MASSACHUSETTS													
1	46	150	104	6,883	1,754	263,832	65,700	9	-	-	-	-	-
2	55	77	40	4,245	4,200	125,000	5,000	6	-	-	-	-	-
3	19	-	-	-	-	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	24	22	33	517	159	336,671	4,588	12	-	-	-	-	-
5	20	11	15	212	195	8,500	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	56	53	26	2,973	239	777,371	2,510	12	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MASSACHUSETTS (CONTINUED)									
7	HARRINGTON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/14/2001	30	-	30
8	ZOBEL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/23/2001	30	-	30
9	TAURO	FISHER	MURDER	EO	O	09/18/2001	30	-	30
MICHIGAN, EASTERN									
1	DUGGAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/17/2000	30	2	90
2	EDMUNDS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2001	30	1	60
3	TARNOW	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WS,WC	B,D	03/23/2001	30	1	60
4	CLELAND	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	OM	B	03/28/2001	30	2	90
5	CLELAND	ASHCROFT	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	03/28/2001	30	-	30
6	CLELAND	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WS,WC,EF	H,B,D	03/28/2001	30	3	120
7	EDMUNDS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2001	30	1	60
8	O'MEARA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/07/2001	30	2	90
9	CLELAND	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2001	30	2	90
10	HOOD	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/27/2001	30	1	60
MINNESOTA									
1	MONTGOMERY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2001	30	-	30
2	MONTGOMERY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2001	30	-	30
3	KYLE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2001	30	-	30
4	KYLE	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/06/2001	30	2	90
5	KYLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/07/2001	30	1	60
6	KYLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/24/2001	30	1	60
7	KYLE	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2001	30	-	30
MISSOURI, EASTERN									
1	LIMBAUGH	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	01/26/2001	30	-	30
2	STOHR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/29/2001	30	1	60
3	SHAW	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	03/08/2001	30	2	90
4	SHAW	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2001	30	1	60
5	STOHR	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/23/2001	30	-	30
6	STOHR	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/10/2001	30	-	30
7	PERRY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/18/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MASSACHUSETTS (CONTINUED)													
7	30	26	40	792	645	27,100	2,100	6	-	-	-	-	-
8	17	28	7	472	209	31,386	500	12	-	-	-	-	-
9	1	14	1	14	12	704	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN, EASTERN													
1	90	29	50	2,570	505	65,936	1,550	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	52	73	18	3,804	366	39,724	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	58	109	40	6,343	1,226	103,818	1,150	1	-	-	-	-	-
4	67	1	11	51	5	RELATED TO NO. 6		-	-	-	-	-	-
5	29	18	25	528	30	RELATED TO NO. 6		-	-	-	-	-	-
6	120	35	762	4,167	224	290,356	12,610	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	57	38	66	2,140	290	44,217	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	86	77	379	6,609	776	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	90	139	214	12,473	2,241	67,548	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	53	65	146	3,449	344	77,675	1,000	15	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA													
1	30	8	35	238	128	88,279	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	15	8	8	113	68	43,298	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	18	12	21	212	100	20,115	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	90	158	810	14,200	469	521,369	28,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	45	8	18	373	123	84,613	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	36	58	229	2,098	213	RELATED TO NO. 4		-	-	-	-	-	-
7	23	19	48	429	51	RELATED TO NO. 4		-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, EASTERN													
1	7	56	28	391	26	9,890	240	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	26	230	1,558	211	1,750	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	90	38	150	3,416	517	43,200	2,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	48	64	88	3,051	208	86,887	70	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	29	183	863	65	235	235	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	31	200	936	183	227,886	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	1	5	24	1	15,600	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
MISSOURI, EASTERN (CONTINUED)									
8	PERRY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2001	30	-	30
9	WEBBER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2001	30	-	30
10	WEBBER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2001	30	1	60
11	LIMBAUGH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/2001	30	-	30
12	LIMBAUGH	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	-	30
13	JACKSON	WARREN	FRAUD	WS,WC	B,D	09/14/2001	30	1	60
14	JACKSON	WARREN	FRAUD	WC	D	11/02/2001	30	-	30
MISSOURI, WESTERN									
1	LAUGHREY	WARREN	FRAUD	WS,WC,EF	H,B,D	03/01/2001	30	1	60
2	GAITAN	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	O	03/21/2001	30	2	90
3	GAITAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	06/01/2001	30	-	30
4	FENNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/2001	30	-	30
NEBRASKA									
1	KOPF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	01/31/2001	30	1	60
2	KOPF	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/09/2001	30	-	30
NEVADA									
1	DAWSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/17/2000	30	3	120
2	DAWSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/27/2000	30	1	60
3	GEORGE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/02/2001	30	-	30
4	PRO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/04/2001	30	-	30
5	DAWSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/17/2001	30	-	30
6	GEORGE	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	07/05/2001	30	-	30
7	HUNT	SWARTZ	FRAUD	OM	B	09/06/2001	30	2	90
14*	HUNT	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/06/2000	30	1	60
NEW JERSEY									
1	LIFLAND	DIGREGORY	FRAUD	OM	B	03/03/2000	30	11	360
2	BISSELL	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/23/2000	30	2	90
3	BISSELL	DIGREGORY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/25/2000	30	2	90
4	LIFLAND	WARREN	FRAUD	WS	H	12/26/2000	30	3	120
5	LECHNER	KEENEY	FIREARMS	OM	O	02/02/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
MISSOURI, EASTERN (CONTINUED)													
8	30	8	24	230	10	15,000	-	3	-	-	-	-	
9	30	35	150	1,044	186	15,600	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	
10	58	43	1,800	2,468	360	15,300	-	14	-	-	-	-	
11	30	27	450	808	150	RELATED TO NO. 10		RELATED TO NO. 10					
12	30	4	8	106	4	75,000	-	RELATED TO NO. 10					
13	60	525	NR	31,477	2,479	179,720	1,080	-	-	-	-	-	
14	25	85	NR	2,114	118	RELATED TO NO. 13		-	-	-	-	-	
MISSOURI, WESTERN													
1	56	129	1,612	7,201	3,653	104,938	3,547	-	-	-	-	-	
2	10	NR	3	NR	NR	36,872	2,531	-	-	-	-	-	
3	29	38	58	1,096	166	96,142	1,044	15	-	-	-	-	
4	I	-	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
NEBRASKA													
1	58	60	40	3,500	475	137,923	3,000	13	-	1	16	11	
2	27	42	22	1,135	244	36,177	10,000	5	-	-	-	-	
NEVADA													
1	112	165	75	18,500	1,200	69,537	536	2	-	-	-	-	
2	46	33	39	1,504	361	10,740	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	
3	30	9	10	261	32	20,019	2,900	1	-	-	-	-	
4	16	8	23	129	-	13,258	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
5	30	36	45	1,076	306	45,420	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	
6	15	96	22	1,447	184	7,696	5,636	-	-	-	-	-	
7	90	25	24	2,227	486	73,474	23,500	-	-	-	-	-	
14*	45	44	29	1,991	365	10,491	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW JERSEY													
1	300	75	150	22,614	1,952	77,838	5,340	-	-	-	-	-	
2	60	41	82	2,481	585	20,220	3,700	-	-	-	-	-	
3	90	38	100	3,439	835	113,955	6,800	-	-	-	-	-	
4	117	35	65	4,046	403	8,816	1,348	-	-	-	-	-	
5	2	130	4	260	14	15,854	1,864	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)									
6	LECHNER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/14/2001	30	2	90
7	CAVANAUGH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2001	30	-	30
8	CAVANAUGH	WARREN	FIREARMS	OM	O	03/02/2001	30	-	30
9	IRENAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2001	30	-	30
10	LIFLAND	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WS	H	03/21/2001	30	1	60
11	LECHNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/23/2001	30	-	30
12	LIFLAND	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2001	30	-	30
13	SIMANDLE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2001	30	-	30
14	IRENAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/23/2001	30	-	30
15	POLITAN	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	07/30/2001	30	-	30
16	BASSLER	FISHER	TERRORISM	WC	D	09/26/2001	30	-	30
NEW MEXICO									
1	HANSEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/27/2000	30	-	30
2	CONWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2001	30	1	60
NEW YORK, EASTERN									
1	JOHNSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/24/2000	30	4	150
2	TRAGER	DIGREGORY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/31/2000	30	5	180
3	HURLEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2000	30	3	120
4	MUKASEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/07/2000	30	1	60
5	TRAGER	WARREN	THEFT	WC	D	01/29/2001	30	-	30
6	SPATT	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	02/12/2001	30	1	60
7	BLOCK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2001	30	2	90
8	LECHNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/21/2001	30	-	30
9	TRAGER	KEENEY	THEFT	WC	D	02/22/2001	30	-	30
10	TRAGER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS,OM	B	02/24/2001	30	1	60
11	GERSHON	WARREN	FIREARMS	OM	H	03/01/2001	30	-	30
12	GLEESON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/09/2001	30	2	90
13	BLOCK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2001	30	2	90
14	BLOCK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2001	30	1	60
15	DEARIE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/15/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW JERSEY (CONTINUED)													
6	80	62	7	4,960	92	62,500	8,500	15	-	-	-	-	-
7	25	11	22	271	85	12,304	1,500	5	-	-	-	-	2
8	2	138	3	277	9	15,909	1,864	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	28	65	133	1,820	238	114,000	7,600	RELATED TO NO. 13					
10	57	148	130	8,451	6,053	11,290	4,985	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	23	95	3	2,195	96	55,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	36	52	1,088	110	78,301	5,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	114	164	3,419	725	137,750	8,550	22	-	-	-	-	11
14	14	38	31	525	61	56,746	3,546	RELATED TO NO. 13					
15	30	44	142	1,333	124	144,948	36,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	15	-	2	2	-	4,844	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO													
1	28	43	24	1,204	20	18,430	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	46	117	2,781	105	23,293	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, EASTERN													
1	140	29	20	4,037	485	128,332	11,666	16	-	-	-	-	10
2	133	16	101	2,112	425	131,899	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	120	59	40	7,051	2,347	380,000	220,000	15	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	22	10	1,321	97	55,000	5,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	67	26	2,006	118	5,895	1,000	10	-	-	-	-	-
6	60	36	26	2,156	149	56,018	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	87	101	60	8,769	2,089	4,500	4,500	RELATED TO NO. 13					
8	30	17	25	515	43	21,986	8,465	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	55	9	1,646	146	RELATED TO NO. 5		RELATED TO NO. 5					
10	40	37	32	1,497	95	26,661	400	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	26	71	3	1,834	111	163,213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	77	62	25	4,800	185	88,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	90	26	120	2,314	730	9,000	9,000	10	-	-	-	-	-
14	60	40	60	2,400	600	3,000	3,000	RELATED TO NO. 13					
15	30	34	69	1,035	33	81,599	1,400	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, EASTERN (CONTINUED)									
16	TRAGER	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	07/05/2001	30	1	60
17	PRESKA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/24/2001	30	-	30
18	KOELTL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/09/2001	30	-	30
19	GERSHON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/11/2001	30	-	30
22*	MISHLER	KEENEY	EXTORTION	OM	B	07/07/2000	30	5	180
23*	JOHNSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2000	30	-	30
24*	JOHNSON	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2000	30	-	30
25*	GLEESON	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/07/2000	30	-	30
NEW YORK, NORTHERN									
1	SCULLIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2001	30	-	30
2	SCULLIN	KELLY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2001	30	1	60
3	KAHN	WARLOW	\$LAUNDERING	WS,WC	H,D	05/10/2001	30	2	90
4	HOMER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/30/2001	30	-	30
5	HURD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2001	30	-	30
9*	KAHN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/27/2000	30	-	30
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN									
1	MCMAHON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/10/2000	30	2	90
2	JONES	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	11/08/2000	30	4	150
3	KNAPP	SWARTZ	FIREARMS	OM	O	01/19/2001	30	-	30
4	CASEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	02/02/2001	30	1	60
5	MCKENNA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2001	30	-	30
6	KOELTL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/16/2001	30	-	30
7	CEDARBAUM	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	B,D	03/13/2001	30	2	90
8	WARD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2001	30	-	30
9	WOOD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/15/2001	30	1	60
10	SWEET	SWARTZ	TRANSPORT	WC,OM	D,O	05/23/2001	30	-	30
11	PARKER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2001	30	1	60
12	SCHWARTZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2001	30	1	60
13	WOOD	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/04/2001	30	-	30
14	CHIN	UDELL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/10/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW YORK, EASTERN (CONTINUED)													
16	59	48	25	2,815	671	86,161	4,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	1	-	-	-	-	2,440	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	7	5	6	32	19	32,125	2,875	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	2	23	10	46	17	4,300	1,100	15	-	-	-	-	-
22*	180	-	2	4	2	21,513	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
23*	15	42	38	626	250	30,750	750	2	-	-	-	-	-
24*	30	48	28	1,442	500	50,750	750	-	-	-	-	-	-
25*	30	20	30	600	50	34,500	-	10	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, NORTHERN													
1	29	114	73	3,318	508	77,412	9,119	15	-	-	-	-	-
2	44	75	298	3,284	3,123	323,838	90,110	14	-	-	-	-	-
3	89	72	229	6,446	176	74,025	15,288	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	101	175	3,034	208	35,000	1,500	11	-	-	-	-	-
5	17	90	45	1,527	128	35,734	7,500	16	-	-	-	-	-
9*	30	1	7	21	19	50,596	17,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN													
1	90	34	45	3,052	870	52,330	1,500	13	-	-	-	-	7
2	113	41	100	4,600	1,500	236,399	8,525	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	3	18	3	55	9	11,120	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	41	200	2,487	181	51,981	2,500	8	-	-	-	-	-
5	10	64	36	641	153	12,349	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
6	14	20	25	275	100	93,144	2,800	30	-	-	-	-	-
7	88	104	38	9,127	802	44,088	2,808	6	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	34	2	1,023	52	11,697	1,000	6	-	-	-	-	1
9	60	35	26	2,080	113	34,270	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	14	345	8	4,833	200	58,070	2,000	7	-	-	-	-	-
11	58	52	50	3,001	1,298	75,618	2,766	18	-	-	-	-	-
12	51	40	56	2,031	620	36,000	4,000	13	-	-	-	-	-
13	12	14	17	169	50	8,836	1,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
14	13	49	14	639	45	18,829	2,500	RELATED TO NO. 11					

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
15	BERMAN	UDELL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2001	30	-	30
16	MUKASEY	JOHNSON	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/01/2001	30	-	30
26*	SAND	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2000	30	-	30
27*	BRIEANT	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	B	09/06/2000	30	1	60
28*	PAULEY	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/06/2000	30	2	90
29*	MCMAHON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/10/2000	30	-	30
30*	LEISURE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/13/2000	30	-	30
NEW YORK, WESTERN									
1	SKRETNY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/08/2001	30	-	30
2	SKRETNY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2001	30	2	90
3	SKRETNY	KEENEY	FIREARMS	EE	O	03/14/2001	30	-	30
4	SKRETNY	KNAPP	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/22/2001	30	4	150
5	SKRETNY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2001	30	1	60
6	SKRETNY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/29/2001	30	-	30
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN									
1	MULLEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2001	30	1	60
2	MULLEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2001	30	-	30
3	MULLEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2001	30	-	30
4	VOORHEES	KEENEY	ROBBERY	WS,OM	H,O	05/30/2001	30	-	30
5	VOORHEES	FISHER	ROBBERY	WC,OM	D,O	06/05/2001	30	2	90
6	VOORHEES	WARLOW	ROBBERY	OM	H	07/19/2001	30	-	30
3*	MULLEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2000	30	-	30
OHIO, NORTHERN									
1	POLSTER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/2001	30	1	60
2	WELLS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/28/2001	30	3	120
3	WELLS	BAMBERGER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/28/2001	30	3	120
4	WELLS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/07/2001	30	1	60
5	ECONOMUS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2001	30	1	60
6	ECONOMUS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/11/2001	30	1	60
7	ECONOMUS	LONG	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/11/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
15	6	59	20	355	135	8,436	900	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	30	16	25	480	15	46,296	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
26*	27	36	115	982	188	44,591	500	3	-	-	-	-	-
27*	49	92	205	4,506	352	59,080	2,500	14	-	-	-	-	8
28*	62	7	40	430	186	99,553	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
29*	30	7	27	218	43	20,296	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
30*	10	13	7	132	29	3,923	-	RELATED TO NO. 29*					
NEW YORK, WESTERN													
1	27	14	22	387	57	16,416	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	70	29	24	2,027	479	46,777	1,770	16	-	-	-	-	-
3	12	2	3	24	24	23,054	11,860	3	-	-	-	-	-
4	150	NR	NR	NR	NR	8,725	178	2	-	-	-	-	-
5	35	26	13	899	104	22,826	1,395	15	-	-	-	-	-
6	24	50	45	1,203	94	6,237	520	RELATED TO NO. 4					
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN													
1	35	58	29	2,037	400	117,831	34,719	18	-	-	-	-	-
2	11	35	29	390	56	36,729	10,608	RELATED TO NO. 1					
3	4	53	19	212	50	43,851	3,857	RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	30	89	24	2,673	33	129,285	45,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	90	94	42	8,491	360	193,474	89,890	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	55,797	25,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
3*	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO, NORTHERN													
1	45	22	52	995	305	49,343	866	16	-	-	-	-	-
2	113	47	125	5,352	1,336	77,310	1,105	RELATED TO NO. 3					
3	116	13	NR	1,476	NR	6,770	20	15	-	-	-	-	-
4	33	18	310	597	35	54,619	1,849	2	-	-	-	-	2
5	55	28	21	1,547	104	40,000	5,000	5	1	-	2	-	5
6	57	29	142	1,644	182	RELATED TO NO. 9		14	-	-	-	-	-
7	29	NR	NR	NR	NR	1,004	100	1	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
OHIO, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)									
8	DOWD	BAKEMAN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/26/2001	30	-	30
9	ECONOMUS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2001	30	1	60
10	NUGENT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/15/2001	30	-	30
11	DOWD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2001	30	1	60
12	CARR	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2001	30	1	60
13	NUGENT	LONG	NARCOTICS	ED	D	07/24/2001	30	-	30
14	WELLS	KEENEY	GAMBLING	WC	D	11/08/2001	30	-	30
OHIO, SOUTHERN									
1	RICE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2001	30	-	30
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN									
1	CAUTHRON	KAKISH	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/23/2001	30	1	60
2	THOMPSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	05/18/2001	30	-	30
3	RUSSELL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/07/2001	30	1	60
10*	WEST	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2000	30	-	30
11*	WEST	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/07/2000	30	-	30
12*	WEST	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2000	30	-	30
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN									
1	ROBRENO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/26/2000	30	4	150
2	ROBRENO	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/06/2000	30	5	180
3	DALZELL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	12/08/2000	30	-	30
4	HUTTON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/13/2001	30	1	60
6*	HUTTON	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	05/10/2000	30	-	30
7*	HUTTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/22/2000	30	1	60
8*	HUTTON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	07/20/2000	30	-	30
9*	BRODY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	08/23/2000	30	-	30
10*	HUTTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/21/2000	30	-	30
11*	DALZELL	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	10/19/2000	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roaming (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of						
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted	
										G	D	P		
OHIO, NORTHERN (CONTINUED)														
8	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	1,807	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	58	20	31	1,155	334	47,476	900	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	146	218	4,371	439	40,389	1,029	17	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	42	13	43	527	249	19,103	150	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	46	31	162	1,412	424	14,338	4,580	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	20	2	NR	47	NR	1,363	20	RELATED TO NO. 10						
14	30	111	105	3,343	3,286	26,223	450	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO, SOUTHERN														
1	30	-	6	14	-	2,429	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN														
1	43	NR	NR	NR	NR	6,880	400	18	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	272	25	8,168	1,052	36,942	1,000	19	-	-	-	-	-	2
3	57	51	42	2,909	612	37,012	6,897	18	-	-	-	-	-	2
10*	18	12	34	215	23	30,000	-	RELATED TO NO. 11*						
11*	9	21	50	187	65	12,000	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	16
12*	7	72	55	506	75	15,000	-	RELATED TO NO. 11*						
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN														
1	149	37	78	5,560	2,122	191,374	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	173	29	84	4,991	1,249	103,387	1,760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	70	25	2,100	100	27,546	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	160	400	9,589	1,091	220,728	15,000	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
6*	30	33	33	979	343	RELATED TO NO. 7*		RELATED TO NO. 7*						
7*	59	17	40	1,020	248	190,380	-	48	-	-	-	-	-	-
8*	21	20	44	411	106	RELATED TO NO. 7*		RELATED TO NO. 7*						
9*	21	8	17	177	36	RELATED TO NO. 7*		RELATED TO NO. 7*						
10*	30	22	56	649	148	RELATED TO NO. 7*		RELATED TO NO. 7*						
11*	30	8	24	237	66	RELATED TO NO. 7*		RELATED TO NO. 7*						

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE									
1	CAPUTO	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	07/11/2001	30	-	30
2	CAPUTO	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2001	30	-	30
3	KOSIK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2001	30	-	30
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN									
1	AMBROSE	KEENEY	GAMBLING	WC	D	11/09/2000	30	1	60
2	AMBROSE	KEENEY	GAMBLING	WC	D	11/30/2000	30	1	60
3	AMBROSE	KEENEY	GAMBLING	WC,EF	D,O	12/18/2000	30	-	30
4	SMITH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	OM	H,O	05/29/2001	30	-	30
4*	AMBROSE	GERSHEL	GAMBLING	WC	D	10/04/2000	30	-	30
PUERTO RICO									
1	CASELLAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	12/26/2000	30	1	60
2	DOMINGUEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2001	30	1	60
3	CASELLAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2001	30	1	60
4	DOMINGUEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2001	30	1	60
5	CASELLAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2001	30	1	60
6	CASELLAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/26/2001	30	-	30
7	CASELLAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/10/2001	30	-	30
8	DOMINGUEZ	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/10/2001	30	1	60
9	DOMINGUEZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2001	30	-	30
10	DOMINGUEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	11/07/2001	30	-	30
11	GARCIA-GREGORY	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	11/15/2001	30	-	30
11*	PEREZ-GIMENEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2000	30	-	30
RHODE ISLAND									
1*	ROGERS	WHITEHOUSE	EXTORTION	WC	D	11/28/2000	30	-	30
2*	ROGERS	WHITEHOUSE	EXTORTION	WC	D	11/28/2000	30	-	30
SOUTH CAROLINA									
1	HERLONG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/02/2001	30	-	30
2	HERLONG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	01/29/2001	30	1	60
3	ANDERSON	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/20/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE													
1	25	49	24	1,219	127	25,174	574	10	-	-	-	-	-
2	11	50	11	554	81	12,600	600	10	-	-	-	-	-
3	21	39	20	816	129	83,750	20,000	7	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN													
1	60	15	31	913	805	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
2	58	28	129	1,634	1,036	116,311	10,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	8	11	232	230	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	-
4	5	NR	6	NR	NR	16,587	6,600	12	-	-	-	-	12
4*	17	-	4	6	2	7,948	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUERTO RICO													
1	60	85	522	5,074	465	32,496	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	16	15	960	273	109,150	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	20	136	1,228	94	30,509	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	48	15	2,889	679	109,150	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	45	145	2,699	249	30,509	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	32	125	974	8	15,000	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	25	34	185	846	12	15,000	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	17	62	15	1,047	116	109,150	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	14	6	7	86	-	19,217	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	20	46	8	920	1	6,025	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	10	28	38	282	87	31,890	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
11*	4	-	-	-	-	11,240	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND													
1*	14	19	5	272	-	15,788	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2*	12	13	13	153	-	RELATED TO NO. 1*		-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA													
1	30	131	25	3,925	223	80,865	865	RELATED TO NO. 2					
2	38	37	20	1,391	350	82,600	2,600	9	-	-	-	-	-
3	15	9	14	135	62	5,665	773	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
SOUTH CAROLINA (CONTINUED)									
4	ANDERSON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2001	30	-	30
5*	BLATT	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2000	30	-	30
TENNESSEE, EASTERN									
1	JARVIS	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WS	H	12/11/2000	30	2	90
2	JARVIS	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WS	H	12/11/2000	30	2	90
3	JARVIS	COOK	NARCOTICS	ED	D	03/16/2001	30	-	30
4	HULL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/30/2001	30	2	90
5	JORDAN	FOLMAR	NARCOTICS	ED	D	08/08/2001	30	-	30
1*	JARVIS	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2000	30	-	30
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE									
1	ECHOLS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/08/2001	30	-	30
3*	ECHOLS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	03/28/2000	30	2	90
TEXAS, EASTERN									
1	BROWN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/09/2001	30	-	30
2	WARD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2001	30	-	30
TEXAS, NORTHERN									
1	SANDERS	GUESS	NARCOTICS	ED	D	12/07/2000	30	1	60
2	MALONEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/10/2001	30	1	60
3	MEANS	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/16/2001	30	1	60
4	FISH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/09/2001	30	1	60
5	MEANS	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/2001	30	-	30
10*	FISH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/27/2000	30	-	30
11*	FITZWATER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/19/2000	30	1	60
12*	FITZWATER	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2000	30	-	30
13*	LINDSAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/18/2000	30	-	30
TEXAS, SOUTHERN									
1	ATLAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2001	30	1	60
2	TAGLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2001	30	-	30
3	HOYT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2001	30	1	60
4	HARMON	HIPPARD	NARCOTICS	ED	D	05/24/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
SOUTH CAROLINA (CONTINUED)													
4	4	9	3	35	10	RELATED TO NO. 3		-	-	-	-	-	
5*	29	38	80	1,106	185	34,202	-	3	-	-	-	1	
TENNESSEE, EASTERN													
1	51	4	39	229	82	RELATED TO NO. 2		-	-	-	-	-	
2	51	7	64	348	87	15,500	15,500	-	-	-	-	-	
3	29	NR	NR	NR	NR	21,412	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	
4	75	68	100	5,130	1,042	198,601	46,500	3	-	-	-	3	
5	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	5,306	50	-	-	-	-	-	
1*	30	22	46	656	368	31,435	135	-	-	-	-	-	
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE													
1	30	90	233	2,690	138	70,720	16,000	-	-	-	-	-	
3*	90	100	75	8,966	1,000	44,000	12,000	35	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS, EASTERN													
1	28	43	35	1,211	52	50,943	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	
2	19	29	20	543	48	25,104	2,000	53	-	-	-	46	
TEXAS, NORTHERN													
1	55	NR	NR	NR	NR	4,288	25	-	-	-	-	-	
2	44	80	173	3,505	797	143,624	42,612	24	2	-	2	19	
3	59	118	322	6,960	552	162,610	3,945	1	-	-	-	-	
4	42	51	12	2,140	235	137,800	5,000	3	-	-	-	-	
5	14	26	61	364	36	29,345	4,400	-	-	-	-	-	
10*	30	80	20	2,391	193	240,000	15,000	27	-	-	-	27	
11*	60	84	30	5,042	878	RELATED TO NO. 10*		RELATED TO NO. 10*					
12*	24	99	50	2,374	645	RELATED TO NO. 10*		RELATED TO NO. 10*					
13*	27	54	30	1,450	478	RELATED TO NO. 10*		RELATED TO NO. 10*					
TEXAS, SOUTHERN													
1	60	41	41	2,452	531	108,989	3,300	-	-	-	-	-	
2	20	84	26	1,680	90	22,662	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
3	60	27	210	1,610	280	60,388	1,900	2	-	-	-	-	
4	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	1,886	-	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TEXAS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)									
5	HARMON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2001	30	-	30
6	LAKE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/19/2001	30	-	30
7	WERLEIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2001	30	2	90
8	WERLEIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2001	30	3	120
9	ROSENTHAL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2001	30	-	30
10	ROSENTHAL	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/09/2001	30	-	30
11	ATLAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2001	30	-	30
12	HUGHES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/21/2001	30	-	30
33*	HINOJOSA	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/25/2000	30	1	60
34*	HINOJOSA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/17/2000	30	-	30
35*	KAZEN	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2000	30	-	30
36*	HINOJOSA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2000	30	-	30
37*	HINOJOSA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/11/2000	30	-	30
TEXAS, WESTERN									
1	NOWLIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H	10/17/2000	30	3	120
2	PRADO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/16/2000	30	3	120
3	NOWLIN	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/04/2000	30	1	60
4	BIERY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	12/05/2000	30	1	60
5	PRADO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/08/2000	30	2	90
6	BIERY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/11/2000	30	-	30
7	PRADO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/14/2000	30	2	90
8	PRADO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2001	30	1	60
9	BIERY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/27/2001	30	-	30
10	GARCIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2001	30	-	30
11	NOWLIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2001	30	-	30
12	SPARKS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2001	30	-	30
13	PRADO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2001	30	-	30
14	PRADO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2001	30	2	90
15	HUDSPETH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2001	30	1	60
16	SPARKS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2001	30	1	60

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
TEXAS, SOUTHERN (CONTINUED)													
5	26	22	65	561	151	23,739	500	-	-	-	-	-	
6	29	42	19	1,204	115	52,369	1,661	-	-	-	-	-	
7	65	33	29	2,114	991	110,587	4,702	-	-	-	-	-	
8	120	13	122	1,585	419	105,462	3,000	2	-	-	-	-	
9	24	85	163	2,033	622	23,500	500	2	-	-	-	-	
10	30	31	104	919	52	20,006	900	-	-	-	-	-	
11	19	20	35	377	199	24,230	1,703	-	-	-	-	-	
12	18	40	34	714	146	26,860	1,674	-	-	-	-	-	
33*	20	35	123	697	16	14,446	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
34*	20	-	-	-	-	3,273	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	
35*	30	17	8	508	89	71,738	6,074	2	-	-	-	-	
36*	29	27	15	771	77	320,814	175,350	2	-	-	-	-	
37*	30	36	47	1,075	296	70,500	34,500	14	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS, WESTERN													
1	120	54	103	6,477	236	488,418	26,556	14	-	-	-	14	
2	105	39	922	4,088	381	493,756	143,052	RELATED TO NO. 9*					
3	60	8	105	472	82	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	60	30	14	1,820	175	302,094	8,000	11	1	-	1	11	
5	79	74	748	5,820	134	36,846	-	RELATED TO NO. 9*					
6	30	36	62	1,081	35	27,460	3,280	-	-	-	-	-	
7	77	23	569	1,743	99	35,913	-	RELATED TO NO. 9*					
8	59	14	318	806	89	239,086	82,426	RELATED TO NO. 9*					
9	11	43	2	476	56	RELATED TO NO. 4		RELATED TO NO. 4					
10	15	10	7	155	57	62,577	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	
11	29	12	63	357	82	RELATED TO NO. 1		3	-	-	-	3	
12	9	17	54	149	29	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
13	15	6	5	94	18	RELATED TO NO. 10		-	-	-	-	-	
14	79	28	327	2,215	105	381,528	131,026	4	-	-	-	-	
15	38	55	9	2,107	285	10,454	1,800	12	-	-	-	-	
16	39	15	84	587	144	RELATED TO NO. 1		2	2	-	-	2	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 2001

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
TEXAS, WESTERN (CONTINUED)									
17	FURGESON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	H	04/12/2001	30	-	30
18	PRADO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2001	30	1	60
19	BRIONES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/24/2001	30	3	120
20	BRIONES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2001	30	1	60
21	BIERY	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2001	30	2	90
22	BRIONES	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2001	30	-	30
23	BRIONES	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2001	30	-	30
24	PRADO	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WS	H	08/03/2001	30	1	60
25	BRIONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2001	30	-	30
26	BIERY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/16/2001	30	2	90
27	PRADO	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS	H	09/24/2001	30	1	60
8*	NOWLIN	COTTINGHAM	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/16/2000	30	-	30
9*	PRADO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	H	08/03/2000	30	3	120
10*	PRADO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/18/2000	30	-	30
11*	PRADO	STRAUSS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/2000	30	1	60
12*	FURGESON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/22/2000	30	-	30
UTAH									
1	PEULER	YOCOM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2001	30	1	60
2	PEULER	YOCOM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2001	30	-	30
3	PEULER	YOCOM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2001	30	1	60
4	PEULER	YOCOM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/10/2001	30	-	30
5	PEULER	YOCOM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2001	30	-	30
VIRGIN ISLANDS									
1	FINCH	WARREN	CONSPIRACY	WS	H,B	03/08/2001	30	-	30
VIRGINIA, EASTERN									
1	HILTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/21/2001	30	-	30
2	SPENCER	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	06/25/2001	30	-	30
3	WILLIAMS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2001	30	-	30
4	SPENCER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
TEXAS, WESTERN (CONTINUED)													
17	20	37	10	748	44	26,964	200	-	-	-	-	-	
18	59	41	295	2,390	87	405,761	155,895	2	-	-	-	-	
19	120	50	29	5,973	1,467	29,720	2,500	12	-	-	-	-	
20	40	5	11	200	52	47,472	10,972	-	-	-	-	-	
21	60	20	50	1,171	285	186,000	6,000	4	-	-	-	-	
22	27	10	3	276	77	7,761	1,550	RELATED TO NO. 23					
23	30	20	11	613	46	9,007	1,550	12	-	-	-	-	
24	60	161	209	9,669	261	54,930	500	-	-	-	-	-	
25	30	54	33	1,619	457	104,325	49,626	7	-	-	-	-	
26	90	21	100	1,906	220	158,000	8,000	4	-	-	-	-	
27	60	68	30	4,070	387	61,215	650	-	-	-	-	-	
8*	22	10	63	222	20	2,646	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	
9*	116	61	2,310	7,123	406	483,074	133,051	4	-	-	-	2	
10*	30	20	99	591	-	13,992	-	RELATED TO NO. 9*					
11*	60	59	1,436	3,544	670	72,290	-	RELATED TO NO. 9*					
12*	29	39	NR	1,122	49	15,239	7,967	2	1	-	-	2	
UTAH													
1	59	117	48	6,890	2,979	105,594	2,430	16	-	-	-	5	
2	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	48	51	16	2,462	825	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
4	9	31	7	275	15	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
5	24	30	8	726	118	RELATED TO NO. 1		RELATED TO NO. 1					
VIRGIN ISLANDS													
1	19	106	72	2,005	86	41,662	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA, EASTERN													
1	30	12	35	350	40	24,149	-	9	-	-	-	7	
2	30	163	204	4,902	620	40,900	900	9	-	-	-	-	
3	23	139	191	3,192	574	30,500	500	9	-	-	-	-	
4	30	66	46	1,972	542	61,513	1,135	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Authorizing Official		Offense Specified	Intercept		Date of Application	Authorized Length		
	Judge	Attorney General ¹		Type ²	Location ³		Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)
VIRGINIA, EASTERN (CONTINUED)									
5	WILLIAMS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2001	30	-	30
6	SPENCER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/30/2001	30	-	30
WASHINGTON, WESTERN									
1	PECHMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2000	30	3	120
1*	LASNIK	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/08/2000	30	2	90
WISCONSIN, EASTERN									
1	CLEVERT	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	04/17/2001	30	-	30

¹ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number	Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Intercepts per Day	Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Convicted
										G	D	P	
VIRGINIA, EASTERN (CONTINUED)													
5	7	40	24	281	45	10,225	225	RELATED TO NO. 2					
6	30	69	65	2,066	757	72,693	2,270	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON, WESTERN													
1	120	37	930	4,420	525	167,394	3,500	18	-	-	-	-	3
1*	88	19	223	1,644	583	408,443	110,000	92	3	-	-	-	41
WISCONSIN, EASTERN													
1	30	33	42	980	378	31,190	1,964	8	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2000, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

** This wiretap was terminated during 1999 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.