TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizi	ng Official		Inter	cept		Auth	orized Le	ngth
							Orig-	Num-	
		Attornov	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	Length (Days)
ALABAMA, NORTH	ERN				-				
1	SMITH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/2002	30	-	30
2	SMITH	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	08/26/2002	30	1	60
3	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/21/2002	30	-	30
ALASKA									
1	HOLLAND	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2002	30	1	60
2	HOLLAND	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS	В	02/14/2002	30	-	30
3	SINGLETON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2002	30	-	30
4	SINGLETON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2002	30	-	30
5	SINGLETON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2002	30	-	30
6	SEDWICK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/16/2002	30	-	30
ARIZONA									
1	BROWNING	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2002	30	-	30
2	MARQUEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	-	30
3	MARTONE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2002	30	-	30
4	MARTONE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2002	30	-	30
5	MARQUEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2002	30	-	30
6	MARTONE	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2002	30	-	30
7	MARTONE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	06/11/2002	30	-	30
10*	MARQUEZ	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/17/2001	30	-	30
11*	BROWNING	KEENEY	SMUGGLING	WS	В	04/02/2001	30	1	60
9**	MARQUEZ	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2000	30	1	60
10**	MARQUEZ	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/06/2000	30	-	30
CALIFORNIA, CENT	TRAL								
1	MANELLA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/23/2001	30	1	60
2	COOPER	WARREN	KIDNAPPING	WC	D	12/31/2001	30	-	30
3	REAL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2002	30	-	30
4	HATTER	SWARTZ	KIDNAPPING	WS,WC,EF	H,D	02/13/2002	30	-	30
5	COLLINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/22/2002	30	-	30
6	MANELLA	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2002	30	-	30
7	REAL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/06/2002	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

		Averege	Number of ⁵		Co	osts		N	umbe	r of			
	Number of Days in Oper- ation ⁴	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı Int	otions uppre ercep	ss ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
ALABAMA, NORTH	ERN												
1	30	21	42	624	55	62,191	4,463	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	51	37	45	1,880	194	278,509	28,090	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	11	38	2	422	-	18,326	2,000	-	=	-	-	-	-
ALASKA													
1	60	21	35	1,263	154	114,185	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	56	35	1,683	60	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	17	25	10	428	134	51,759	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	47	61	1,422	288	88,217	10,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	28	73	81	2,053	518	82,447	9,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	23	68	12	1,564	359	19,066	7,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA													
1	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	13	16	385	118	RELATED	TO NO. 5	RELATE	D TO NO. 5	i			
3	9	54	23	490	25	37,190	350	5	-	-	-	-	-
4	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	31	24	922	125	89,356	9,100	16	-	-	-	-	-
6	25	52	68	1,298	104	37,229	350	6	-	-	-	-	-
7	10	37	31	368	-	30,640	8,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
10*	30	116	71	3,466	501	37,440	960	-	-	-	-	-	-
11*	60	28	60	1,691	111	310,990	155,360	25	-	-	-	-	-
9**	60	88	71	5,261	2,508	74,606	1,646	-	-	-	-	-	-
10**	30	91	35	2,730	1,293	73,920	960	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, CENT	ΓRAL												
1	55	26	78	1,412	271	182,424	17,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	19	5	30	103	-	10,512	6,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	15	36	50	542	414	92,370	12,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
4	27	55	159	1,488	47	45,059	7,435	6	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	14	23	406	116	52,323	2,425	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	87	208	2,609	351	117,983	42,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	15	39	50	591	246	53,165	12,000	6	-	_	_	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizi	ng Official		Inter	cept		Auth	orized Le	ength
							Orig- inal	Num- ber of	Total
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	Order	Exten-	Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
CALIFORNIA, CENT	RAL (CONTINUED)								
8	PREGERSON	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	-	30
9	BAIRD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2002	30	-	30
10	LEW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2002	30	1	60
11	COLLINS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/2002	30	-	30
12	LEW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/2002	30	-	30
13	MATZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2002	30	2	90
14	COLLINS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2002	30	1	60
15	TEVRIZIAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/23/2002	30	2	90
16	LEW	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2002	30	-	30
17	MATZ	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/2002	30	1	60
18	BAIRD	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	06/14/2002	30	-	30
19	LEW	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/19/2002	30	-	30
20	MATZ	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2002	30	-	30
21	LEW	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2002	30	-	30
22	TAYLOR	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2002	30	1	60
23	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2002	30	2	90
24	CARTER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/06/2002	30	-	30
25	MORROW	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	09/06/2002	30	1	60
26	KING	SWARTZ	EXTORTION	WC,EF	H,D	09/11/2002	30	1	60
27	BAIRD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2002	30	-	30
28	TAYLOR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/2002	30	2	90
29	TAYLOR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/2002	30	-	30
30	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	-	30
31	MATZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2002	30	-	30
32	BAIRD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/23/2002	30	-	30
33	KING	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/06/2002	30	-	30
36*	MORROW	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/01/2001	30	-	30
37*	MORROW	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2001	30	1	60
43**	FEESS	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/11/2000	30	1	60
44**	FEESS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2000	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

		ar Average		Number of 5		Co	sts		N	umbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otions uppres tercep	ss	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
CALIFORNIA, CEN	TRAL (CONTI	NUED)											
8	30	4	15	116	10	35,600	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	14	58	50	814	294	33,443	6,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
10	60	17	882	1,024	101	70,480	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	14	80	51	1,123	1,123	1,864	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	45	34	1,363	192	68,000	7,000	10	-	-	-	-	2
13	90	33	63	3,000	1,300	133,750	23,750	10	-	-	-	-	1
14	60	12	35	715	133	70,169	7,270	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	74	59	174	4,394	666	80,117	9,725	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	30	8	18	237	104	26,840	3,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	52	13	64	688	196	298,161	35,300	9	-	-	-	-	-
18	5	-	-	-	-	5,012	2,452	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	30	677	24	20,316	88	RELATED 1	ΓΟ NO. 21	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	:1			
20	30	2	9	67	10	RELATED 1	TO NO. 16	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	30	17	24	516	184	68,200	7,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
22	38	85	114	3,245	416	RELATED 1	TO NO. 28	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	90	25	61	2,268	551	55,249	6,600	23	-	-	-	-	-
24	30	31	25	938	25	RELATED 1	TO NO. 28	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	60	41	36	2,483	381	108,585	14,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
26	59	87	344	5,124	2,470	65,997	9,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	30	1	7	23	-	25,012	2,452	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	90	97	187	8,697	754	267,034	4,560	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	30	13	20	404	112	45,100	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	30	11	23	338	86	27,700	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	30	31	520	932	235	42,140	4,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	21	8	5	171	3	18,244	2,452	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	30	27	75	809	288	18,416	2,200	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	3			
36*	30	93	93	2,788	631	RELATED 1	ΓΟ NO. 37*	RELATE	D TO NO. 3	7*			
37*	60	125	194	7,478	1,215	293,435	7,300	15	-	-	-	-	-
43**	60	17	25	1,036	450	53,974	18,000	15	-	-	-	-	1
44**	30	5	5	162	25	35,897	18,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 4	3**			

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

-	Authorizin	g Official		Interd	ept		Auth	orized Le	ngth
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	Orig- inal Order	Num- ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
CALIFORNIA, CENT									
45**	FEESS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2000	30	-	30
46**	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2000	30	-	30
CALIFORNIA, EAST	ERN								
1	KARLTON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2002	30	-	30
2	WANGER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2002	30	-	30
3	WANGER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2002	30	-	30
4	WANGER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2002	30	-	30
5	WANGER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2002	30	1	60
6	GARCIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/24/2002	30	-	30
7	GARCIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/07/2002	30	-	30
CALIFORNIA, NORT	THERN								
1	JENKINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2002	30	1	60
2	WHYTE	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	02/13/2002	30	-	30
3	HAMILTON	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/15/2002	30	1	60
4	WHYTE	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/12/2002	30	-	30
5	JENKINS	MALCOLM	MURDER	WC	D	09/26/2002	30	-	30
6	ALSUP	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/03/2002	30	1	60
CALIFORNIA, SOUT	HERN								
1	HUFF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/09/2002	30	1	60
2	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/18/2002	30	2	90
3	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/07/2002	30	-	30
4	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2002	30	2	90
5	HUFF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	03/25/2002	30	1	60
6	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2002	30	-	30
7	HUFF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2002	30	-	30
8	HUFF	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/23/2002	30	-	30
9	HUFF	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/05/2002	30	-	30
10	HUFF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/27/2002	30	-	30
17*	HUFF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2001	30	1	60
18*	HUFF	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS,WC,OM	H,B,D	04/13/2001	30	5	180

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

			Number of ⁵			Co	sts	Number of					
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otions uppre tercer	ss	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
CALIFORNIA, CENT	RAL (CONTI	NUED)											
45**	20	2	5	41	-	12,448	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
46**	20	2	3	42	5	21,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, EAST	ERN												
1	13	54	71	696	138	209,282	53,216	16	-	-	-	-	-
2	21	12	10	259	45	RELATED	TO NO. 5	RELATE	D TO NO. 5				
3	30	61	27	1,831	173	88,110	7,560	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	20	18	592	130	RELATED	TO NO. 5	RELATE	D TO NO. 5				
5	39	51	22	2,000	320	457,475	177,000	9	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	144	143	4,316	565	129,850	63,850	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	10	59	81	586	1	8,240	4,640	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, NOR	THERN												
1	60	66	350	3,970	187	86,669	4,350	2	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	16	60	486	113	RELATED	TO NO. 4	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	47	26	105	1,206	676	94,513	2,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
4	15	7	11	102	9	81,253	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	16	67	40	1,068	84	45,089	1,620	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	60	21	90	1,254	150	9,586	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, SOUT	THERN												
1	60	19	95	1,125	234	57,097	3,073	4	-	-	-	-	-
2	90	147	52	13,269	631	110,024	13,000	14	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	17	117	502	90	58,091	810	6	-	-	-	-	-
4	90	22	94	1,960	339	87,177	6,141	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
5	60	41	30	2,473	1,258	38,937	3,217	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	92	100	2,756	594	74,920	11,000	10	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	42	57	1,272	617	99,943	1,025	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	104	159	3,127	666	128,878	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	16	25	37	401	131	44,688	575	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	75	129	2,261	482	127,192	2,004	-	-	-	-	-	-
17*	46	66	72	3,052	2,055	114,520	1,200	28	-	-	1	-	12
18*	180	145	1,393	26,154	1,227	577,575	12,461	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizin	g Official	Intercept				Auth	orized Le	ength
							Orig-	Num-	T. (.)
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
CALIFORNIA, SOUT	HERN (CONTINUED)		,						
19*	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2001	30	1	60
20*	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2001	30	-	30
21*	HUFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/07/2001	30	1	60
22*	HUFF	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2001	30	-	30
23*	HUFF	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	07/24/2001	30	-	30
24*	HUFF	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2001	30	-	30
COLORADO									
1	KANE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	1	60
2	KANE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/15/2002	30	-	30
12**	KESSLER	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2000	30	1	60
CONNECTICUT									
1	COVELLO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	05/08/2002	30	-	30
2	HALL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2002	30	1	60
3	COVELLO	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/30/2002	30	-	30
4	UNDERHILL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/21/2002	30	-	30
5	HALL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2002	30	1	60
6	HALL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2002	30	-	30
7	COVELLO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	07/31/2002	30	1	60
8	HALL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/01/2002	30	1	60
13*	NEVAS	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS,WC,OM	B,D,O	02/18/2001	30	5	180
14*	NEVAS	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/26/2001	30	4	150
DISTRICT OF COLU	IMBIA								
1	KOLLAR-KOTELLY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2002	30	-	30
2	KOLLAR-KOTELLY	STEWART	RACKETEERING	ED	D	04/29/2002	30	-	30
3	KOLLAR-KOTELLY	STEWART	RACKETEERING	ED	D	05/03/2002	30	-	30
4	BATES	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2002	30	-	30
13*	ROBERTS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/30/2001	30	-	30
14*	KOLLAR-KOTELLY	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/17/2001	30	1	60
15*	ROBERTS	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2001	30	-	30
16*	ROBERTS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2001	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

		lumber Average		Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	ımbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper- ation ⁴	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otions uppre tercer	SS	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
CALIFORNIA, SOUT	THERN (CON	TINUED)											
19*	58	9	57	509	136	78,095	1,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
20*	30	-	3	6	4	31,128	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
21*	49	11	63	531	249	89,990	1,750	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	7*			
22*	17	5	13	81	45	52,431	575	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	7*			
23*	30	18	93	533	26	38,231	480	-	-	-	-	-	-
24*	15	4	6	53	3	18,157	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO													
1	60	24	18	1,436	132	39,000	9,000	9	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	48	17	1,436	133	70,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
12**	60	40	51	2,371	243	37,980	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT													
1	10	64	72	640	114	RELATED	TO NO. 3	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
2	60	136	98	8,189	1,023	233,557	6,735	1	-	-	-	-	-
3	26	29	38	763	203	22,436	1,078	26	-	-	-	-	1
4	5	-	-	-	-	4,659	2,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	17	36	1,020	378	195,385	2,800	RELATE	D TO NO. 8				
6	30	59	36	1,771	387	98,008	400	RELATE	D TO NO. 8				
7	57	56	41	3,205	543	16,775	3,128	7	-	-	-	-	-
8	39	38	51	1,483	110	43,902	3,325	30	-	-	-	-	-
13*	173	85	1,133	14,664	2,304	470,054	87,118	3	=	-	-	-	-
14*	127	14	79	1,749	646	RELATED 1	TO NO. 13*	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	3*			
DISTRICT OF COLU	JMBIA												
1	30	28	93	832	155	10,375	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	1	33	33	33	470	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	18	136	35	2,449	92	20,069	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
13*	30	100	138	2,998	298	20,059	1,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	6*			
14*	60	117	173	7,015	714	12,591	4,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
15*	30	76	108	2,272	302	28,563	2,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	6*			
16*	30	164	170	4,916	599	15,599	2,500	19	_	_	_	_	6

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizir	ng Official	Intercept				Auth	orized Le	ength
							Orig-	Num-	
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
DISTRICT OF COLU	MBIA (CONTINUED)			•	<u>'</u>				
17*	KOLLAR-KOTELLY	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/26/2001	30	-	30
18*	KOLLAR-KOTELLY	GALLAGHER	RACKETEERING	ED	D	10/29/2001	30	-	30
FLORIDA, MIDDLE									
1	PRESNELL	CREAM	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/11/2002	30	-	30
2	WHITTEMORE	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/12/2002	30	-	30
3	WHITTEMORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2002	30	-	30
4	CONWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2002	30	-	30
5	WHITTEMORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2002	30	-	30
6	WHITTEMORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2002	30	-	30
FLORIDA, NORTHEI	RN								
1	COLLIER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/08/2002	30	1	60
2	COLLIER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/31/2002	30	-	30
3	COLLIER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/23/2002	30	-	30
FLORIDA, SOUTHER	RN								
1	GOLD	WARREN	THEFT	WC	D	12/21/2001	30	1	60
2	SEITZ	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/07/2002	30	-	30
3	SEITZ	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WS	Н	01/15/2002	30	1	60
4	JORDAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/05/2002	30	1	60
5	JORDAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2002	29	1	59
6	RYSKAMP	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/13/2002	30	-	30
7	MIDDLEBROOKS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2002	30	-	30
8	JORDAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2002	30	-	30
9	MORENO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2002	30	-	30
10	GRAHAM	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/31/2002	30	-	30
11	MOORE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2002	30	-	30
12	HURLEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/26/2002	30	-	30
13	PAINE	MALCOLM	FRAUD	EE	В	07/01/2002	30	-	30
14	LENARD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	07/08/2002	30	1	60
15	GRAHAM	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2002	30	-	30
16	HURLEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2002	30	1	60

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

			Number of ⁵		Co	osts		N	umbe	r of			
	Number	Average					Other			М	otions	s to	
	of Days	Inter-	Persons		Incrim-	Total	Than				uppre		Persons
	in Oper-	cepts	Inter-		inating	Cost	Manpower				tercep		Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
DISTRICT OF COLU	IMBIA (CON	TINUED)											
17*	17	-	-	-	-	2,640	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
18*	16	NR	NR	NR	NR	880	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, MIDDLE													
1	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	1,889	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	163	70	4,892	367	222,880	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	20	5	7	99	4	21,369	10,363	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	11	140	44	1,541	95	91,460	8,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	238	151	7,139	528	225,637	6,757	28	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, NORTHE	RN												
1	48	90	25	4,300	2,100	51,200	1,200	14	2	-	-	-	13
2	12	18	2	221	2	52,300	2,300	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	6	40	4	240	43	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
FLORIDA, SOUTHE	RN												
1	60	10	18	602	43	76,963	4,407	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	45	56	1,348	439	13,162	1,073	13	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	179	187	10,760	9,684	84,982	6,000	12	-	-	-	-	3
4	31	14	16	438	247	44,303	600	3	-	-	-	-	3
5	59	27	NR	1,614	135	58,051	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	41	100	1,220	550	97,528	1,000	12	1	-	-	-	9
7	30	87	30	2,613	619	50,474	4,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	17	28	497	56	18,222	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	63	21	1,903	483	20,240	2,000	12	-	-	-	-	2
10	9	34	15	307	230	10,421	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	18	32	548	30	24,012	2,450	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	82	179	2,447	1,145	102,394	1,200	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	6			
13	30	9	36	257	35	5,723	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	60	46	39	2,782	29	78,400	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	12	109	20	1,304	978	13,894	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	57	64	236	3,622	1,734	195,344	4,400	27	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizi	ng Official		Inter	cept		Auth	orized Le	ength
							Orig-	Num-	Tatal
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
FLORIDA, SOUTHER	RN (CONTINUED)								
17	HURLEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2002	30	1	60
18	HUCK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/2002	30	-	30
19	GOLD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/24/2002	30	-	30
20	GRAHAM	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/03/2002	30	-	30
21	MIDDLEBROOKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/24/2002	30	-	30
22	JORDAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/25/2002	30	-	30
21*	MOORE	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2001	30	-	30
22*	JORDAN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2001	30	-	30
14**	GOLD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/21/2000	30	1	60
GEORGIA, NORTHE	RN								
1	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2002	30	-	30
2	CAMP	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2002	30	-	30
3	CAMP	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2002	30	-	30
4	CARNES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2002	30	-	30
5	THRASH	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	06/27/2002	30	1	60
6	PANNELL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/01/2002	30	-	30
7	PANNELL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2002	30	-	30
HAWAII									
1	MOLLWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/04/2001	30	-	30
2	MOLLWAY	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/14/2001	30	1	60
3	MOLLWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/27/2002	30	1	60
4	MOLLWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2002	30	-	30
5	GILLMOR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/06/2002	30	-	30
13*	EZRA	BRADY	NARCOTICS	ED	D	02/09/2001	30	-	30
14*	EZRA	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	06/12/2001	30	-	30
15*	EZRA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2001	30	-	30
ILLINOIS, NORTHER	RN								
1	ASPEN	KEENEY	GAMBLING	WS,WC	B,D	11/26/2001	30	1	60
2	ASPEN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/27/2001	30	-	30
3	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2002	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

Nombou				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nι	ımbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otions uppre tercer	ss	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
FLORIDA, SOUTHE	RN (CONTINU	JED)											
17	43	63	108	2,720	1,840	164,444	1,400	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	6			
18	30	22	25	650	142	30,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	30	112	47	3,361	295	71,533	214	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	17	70	20	1,194	896	19,684	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
21	24	86	1,073	2,060	279	28,585	1,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	14	50	22	707	11	36,803	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
21*	30	24	20	724	211	40,955	196	1	-	-	-	-	1
22*	30	28	20	825	327	33,010	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
14**	60	33	51	1,973	321	69,605	8,000	4	-	-	-	-	4
GEORGIA, NORTHE	RN												
1	29	17	34	500	167	40,400	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	8	22	48	177	22	RELATED	TO NO. 3	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
3	23	29	87	664	245	50,580	6,600	2	-	-	-	-	1
4	30	8	6	226	14	150,560	8,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	105	30	6,271	363	213,200	8,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	133	20	3,980	111	RELATED	TO NO. 7	RELATE	D TO NO. 7				
7	30	156	15	4,674	422	150,560	8,000	14	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII													
1	29	52	102	1,516	206	25,832	535	14	-	-	-	-	1
2	60	92	92	5,541	623	52,783	1,235	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	16	22	19	358	50	7,171	1,100	12	-	-	-	-	-
4	10	26	5	264	18	7,235	1,100	3	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	54	88	1,625	295	3,546	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13*	30	9	NR	277	NR	1,916	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	5*			
14*	30	99	113	2,979	284	28,429	200	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	5*			
15*	30	18	63	554	92	43,119	200	6	1	-	1	-	4
ILLINOIS, NORTHE	RN												
1	54	77	593	4,164	2,465	11,002	3,480	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	39	161	1,179	78	RELATED	TO NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
3	30	189	81	5,676	796	66,730	260	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*}This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authoriz	ing Official		Interd	cept		Auth	orized Le	ngth
							Orig-	Num-	
		A //	0"			Data of	inal	ber of	Total
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Order (Days)	Exten- sions	Length (Days)
ILLINOIS, NORTHER	RN (CONTINUED)	-			1	I	'		
4	KOCORAS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/31/2002	30	-	30
5	GETTLEMAN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2002	30	-	30
6	GETTLEMAN	KEENEY	OTHER	WC	D	02/01/2002	30	-	30
7	KOCORAS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/2002	30	-	30
8	ASPEN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2002	30	-	30
9	ZAGEL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2002	30	-	30
10	ZAGEL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2002	30	1	60
11	ASPEN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2002	30	1	60
12	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2002	30	-	30
13	ASPEN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/08/2002	30	-	30
14	ASPEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2002	30	-	30
15	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/29/2002	30	-	30
16	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/29/2002	30	1	60
17	ASPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2002	30	-	30
18	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2002	30	1	60
19	BUCKLO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2002	30	4	150
20	KOCORAS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2002	30	1	60
21	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2002	30	3	120
22	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/18/2002	30	-	30
23	KOCORAS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/18/2002	30	2	90
24	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2002	30	2	90
25	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2002	30	2	90
26	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2002	30	1	60
27	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2002	30	-	30
28	HOLDERMAN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/27/2002	30	-	30
29	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/06/2002	30	1	60
30	KOCORAS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2002	30	1	60
31	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D,O	09/13/2002	30	-	30
32	KOCORAS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/16/2002	30	-	30
33	KOCORAS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/18/2002	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nι	ımbe	r of		
	Number	Average				T .4.1	Other				otions		
	of Days in Oper-	Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Than Manpower				ippre ercer		Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation4	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	P	victed
ILLINOIS, NORTHER	RN (CONTINU	ED)								•	•		
4	23	30	82	687	136	202,000	7,000	13	-	-	4	-	-
5	17	8	15	130	12	28,230	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	5	38	14	188	14	2,218	305	1	-	-	-	-	-
7	8	19	7	150	24	6,093	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	91	20	2,722	213	33,369	850	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	28	60	849	213	RELATED	TO NO. 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	60	28	30	1,695	750	43,697	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	60	52	40	3,140	544	63,867	1,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	44	9	1,317	111	47,888	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	51	25	1,529	280	33,195	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	11	18	4	201	10	9,753	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	29	13	125	369	142	14,607	800	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	60	32	83	1,894	388	105,142	1,750	3	-	-	-	-	-
17	14	45	10	632	62	16,900	2,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	60	25	9	1,492	104	88,852	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	136	17	25	2,255	433	483,850	167,550	39	-	-	-	-	-
20	42	43	35	1,803	151	66,258	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	120	19	25	2,253	433	RELATED T	O NO. 19	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	9			
22	30	16	96	485	160	30,047	5,550	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	57	31	241	1,771	594	144,318	70,820	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	90	25	25	2,253	433	RELATED T	O NO. 19	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	9			
25	90	25	25	2,253	433	RELATED T	O NO. 19	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	9			
26	60	20	20	1,199	89	67,673	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	27	9	50	252	50	RELATED T	O NO. 23	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	60	38	25	2,253	433	RELATED T	O NO. 19	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	9			
30	60	72	65	4,327	808	168,546	80	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	1	-	-	-	-	RELATED T	O NO. 23	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	22	11	7	239	56	52,512	2,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
33	30	27	10	810	54	34,712	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizin	g Official	PORSUANT TO 18 C	Interc	ept			orized Le	ngth
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
ILLINOIS, NORTHER	RN (CONTINUED)				•				
34	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2002	30	-	30
35	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2002	30	-	30
36	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2002	30	-	30
37	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/19/2002	30	-	30
ILLINOIS, SOUTHER	RN								
1	MURPHY	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/05/2002	30	-	30
2	MURPHY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2002	30	3	120
3	MURPHY	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2002	30	-	30
INDIANA, NORTHER	RN								
1	MOODY	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/26/2002	30	-	30
INDIANA, SOUTHER	RN								
1	YOUNG	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/12/2002	30	-	30
2	YOUNG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/14/2002	30	-	30
3	MCKINNEY	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/10/2002	30	-	30
4	HAMILTON	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/2002	30	1	60
5	HAMILTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/18/2002	30	1	60
IOWA, NORTHERN									
1	MELLOY	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WS	В	03/21/2002	30	-	30
2	MELLOY	FISHER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/08/2002	30	-	30
KANSAS									
1	VANBEBBER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/17/2002	30	-	30
2	VANBEBBER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/27/2002	30	-	30
3	VANBEBBER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/19/2002	30	-	30
4	VANBEBBER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	OM	H,A	10/02/2002	30	-	30
5	VANBEBBER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/10/2002	30	-	30
KENTUCKY, EASTE	RN								
1	COFFMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2002	30	1	60
KENTUCKY, WESTE	RN								
1	HEYBURN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2002	30	2	90
2	HEYBURN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/08/2002	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Co	sts	Numb			r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otion: uppre terce	ess	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation4	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
ILLINOIS, NORTHEF	RN (CONTINU	IED)											
34	30	4	62	111	20	RELATED T	O NO. 36	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	30	75	25	2,253	432	RELATED T	O NO. 19	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	9			
36	18	10	118	172	13	23,220	1,650	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	20	164	69	3,271	296	84,779	60	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, SOUTHER	RN												
1	30	14	48	423	312	47,575	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
2	116	55	236	6,352	2,540	190,300	-	28	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	17	54	512	296	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
INDIANA, NORTHER	RN												
1	26	127	87	3,304	290	68,637	1,745	1	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA, SOUTHER	RN												
1	30	27	30	822	80	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
2	30	47	53	1,423	139	6,000	1,200	20	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	32	49	951	159	50,000	25,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	43	48	368	2,074	328	RELATED	TO NO. 5	RELATE	D TO NO. 5				
5	50	182	368	9,076	1,662	581,359	126,180	20	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA, NORTHERN													
1	29	34	26	980	918	27,796	175	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	29	7	22	201	139	20,117	175	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS													
1	24	44	60	1,055	560	12,153	4,572	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	21	27	32	562	241	8,380	799	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	39	129	1,174	229	43,086	1,837	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	19	125	250	2,372	1,444	39,929	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	28	29	70	808	213	59,350	25,800	1	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY, EASTE	RN												
1	60	88	45	5,299	633	256,626	144,309	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY, WESTE	RN												
1	72	7	55	529	231	64,568	11,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
2	28	25	15	687	58	80,000	65,000	5	-	_	_	_	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authoriz	ing Official		Inter	cept		Auth	orized Le	ngth
							Orig-	Num-	
		Attornov	Offense			Data of	inal Order	ber of	Total
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	(Days)	Exten- sions	Length (Days)
LOUISIANA, EASTE	RN				-		'		
1	MCNAMARA	KEENEY	CORRUPTION	WC,EF	H,B,D	08/27/2001	30	7	240
2	MCNAMARA	MALCOLM	CORRUPTION	WS,OM	В	12/31/2001	30	2	90
3	MCNAMARA	KEENEY	CORRUPTION	WC	D	01/31/2002	30	2	90
4	MCNAMARA	KEENEY	CORRUPTION	WS,OM	Н,В	03/01/2002	30	1	60
5	MCNAMARA	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	-	30
6	FALLON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	2	90
7	DAVIS	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	04/17/2002	30	-	30
8	MCNAMARA	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2002	30	-	30
9	FELDMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/03/2002	30	1	60
10	AFRICK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/23/2002	30	-	30
11	AFRICK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2002	30	1	60
12	BERRIGAN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2002	30	-	30
13	AFRICK	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	10/17/2002	30	-	30
LOUISIANA, MIDDL	E								
1	POLOZOLA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	01/14/2002	30	-	30
2	POLOZOLA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2002	30	1	60
3	POLOZOLA	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	06/12/2002	30	-	30
LOUISIANA, WESTE	RN								
1	WALTER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	06/04/2002	30	-	30
2	WALTER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	07/16/2002	30	-	30
MARYLAND									
1	CHASANOW	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/06/2002	30	-	30
MASSACHUSETTS									
1	STEARNS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2002	30	-	30
2	STEARNS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2002	30	-	30
3	STEARNS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/31/2002	30	-	30
4	YOUNG	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2002	30	1	52
5	YOUNG	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/08/2002	30	-	30
6	FREEDMAN	LEVCHUK	RACKETEERING	ED	D	07/16/2002	30	-	30
7	KEETON	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	B,D	09/19/2002	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Costs		Number of					
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim-	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otions uppre ercer	ss	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
LOUISIANA, EASTE	RN												
1	240	365	4,316	87,589	4,056	1,121,928	16,300	2	-	-	-	1	1
2	89	216	108	19,204	1,360	499,132	4,497	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	89	142	79	12,639	851	352,146	4,230	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	180	74	10,819	445	210,382	3,470	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	15	75	450	33	1,763	700	6	-	-	-	-	-
6	83	34	34	2,782	774	93,870	2,850	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	44	47	1,321	121	58,579	1,475	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	29	58	866	162	1,310	250	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	41	82	32	3,361	419	60,843	31,755	13	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	291	19	8,731	668	82,351	25,747	3	-	-	-	-	-
11	42	138	44	5,806	1,160	2,010	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	157	84	4,704	455	33,192	2,950	15	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	96	5	2,865	103	112,815	51,494	1	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, MIDDL	E												
1	30	271	293	8,127	62	15,440	3,798	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	51	133	3,052	487	4,350	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	68	120	2,044	159	2,976	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, WESTE	RN												
1	30	68	75	2,037	317	68,499	760	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	136	148	4,094	379	92,762	1,550	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND													
1	29	86	144	2,482	175	67,545	7,277	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS													
1	22	19	20	410	300	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
2	16	69	40	1,105	1,090	328,483	18,005	40	-	-	-	-	-
3	4	112	40	448	440	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
4	52	117	122	6,078	1,807	114,534	3,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 5				
5	30	29	38	874	344	45,790	1,500	24	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	27	159	810	400	6,142	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	24	25	60	600	63	40,806	2,555	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizin	g Official	OKOGANI TO TO	Inter	cept		Auth	orized Le	ngth
							Orig-	Num-	Tatal
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
MICHIGAN, EASTER	RN								
1	DUGGAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/21/2001	30	1	60
2	O'MEARA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	EE	Н	04/27/2002	30	1	60
3	COHN	SWARTZ	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	05/17/2002	30	1	60
4	O'MEARA	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	EE	Н	06/01/2002	30	1	60
5	GADOLA	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2002	30	-	30
6	COHN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2002	30	-	30
7	CLELAND	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	09/10/2002	30	-	30
MINNESOTA									
1	KYLE	SWARTZ	GAMBLING	WC	D	12/28/2001	30	-	30
MISSISSIPPI, NORT	HERN								
1	MILLS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2002	30	1	60
1*	BIGGERS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/13/2001	30	1	60
2*	BIGGERS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	06/01/2001	30	2	90
3*	BIGGERS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	09/17/2001	30	1	60
4*	BIGGERS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2001	30	-	30
MISSOURI, EASTER	RN								
1	SIPPEL	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/29/2002	30	1	60
2	SIPPEL	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2002	30	-	30
3	LIMBAUGH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/12/2002	30	-	30
4	STOHR	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2002	30	-	30
5	STOHR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2002	30	1	60
6	STOHR	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	1	60
7	SIPPEL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	-	30
8	LIMBAUGH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/30/2002	30	1	60
9	WEBBER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/2002	30	2	90
10	STOHR	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/2002	30	-	30
11	LIMBAUGH	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/19/2002	30	1	60
12	SIPPEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2002	30	-	30
13	WEBBER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/01/2002	30	-	30
14	SIPPEL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2002	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Co	sts				Number of			
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otions uppre terce	ss	Persons Con-	
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed	
MICHIGAN, EASTER	RN													
1	47	42	87	1,955	196	37,646	1,050	9	-	-	-	-	9	
2	60	2	15	119	107	RELATED	TO NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4					
3	60	208	150	12,473	669	72,866	1,200	11	-	-	-	-	-	
4	60	7	114	399	134	18,980	1,500	8	-	-	-	-	-	
5	28	64	126	1,786	47	47,500	2,500	1	-	-	-	-	1	
6	30	130	139	3,897	223	28,779	600	14	-	-	-	-	4	
7	30	41	34	1,231	431	44,298	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MINNESOTA														
1	30	30	104	908	623	59,508	2,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MISSISSIPPI, NORT	HERN													
1	34	59	50	2,001	190	189,040	34,000	4	-	-	-	-	-	
1*	60	34	150	2,022	93	113,328	1,950	RELATE	D TO NO. 3	+				
2*	89	48	284	4,288	500	159,899	20,590	RELATE	D TO NO. 3	+				
3*	60	33	82	1,985	120	99,966	17,860	27	1	-	-	-	9	
4*	7	3	6	20	2	9,897	88	RELATE	D TO NO. 3	*				
MISSOURI, EASTER	RN													
1	50	118	1,397	5,898	716	234,481	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	22	134	134	2,959	345	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	29	74	150	2,133	411	32,213	88	18	-	-	-	-	-	
4	29	117	709	3,401	191	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	50	25	39	1,273	320	79,030	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	
6	60	37	48	2,200	250	60,000	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	1				
7	28	101	441	2,817	254	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8	42	114	216	4,785	916	RELATED	TO NO. 3	RELATE	D TO NO. 3					
9	90	6	24	500	70	30,000	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	
10	15	49	34	729	231	24,735	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 5					
11	60	10	43	600	82	45,000	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	
12	1	-	-	-	-	3,436	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13	29	17	50	500	150	30,000	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	1				
14	14	243	246	3,401	278	10,890	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizin	g Official	PURSUANT TO 16 C	Intercept			Auth	orized Le	ength
		Attornov	Offense			Data of	Orig- inal Order	Num- ber of	Total
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	(Days)	Exten- sions	Length (Days)
MISSOURI, EASTER	RN (CONTINUED)			1	1	I			
15	SIPPEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/29/2002	30	1	60
6**	SIPPEL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/04/2000	30	-	30
7**	SIPPEL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2000	30	-	30
MISSOURI, WESTER	RN								
1	WHIPPLE	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/31/2001	30	1	60
NEVADA									
1	PRO	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	B,D	06/20/2001	30	11	360
2	PRO	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	07/27/2001	30	10	330
3	GEORGE	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	R	10/22/2001	30	3	120
4	MAHAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2002	30	1	60
5	MAHAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2002	30	1	60
6	PRO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/18/2002	30	1	60
7	PRO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2002	30	1	60
8	MAHAN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/22/2002	30	1	60
9	PRO	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/22/2002	30	4	150
10	DAWSON	SWARTZ	EXTORTION	WC	D	08/28/2002	30	2	90
NEW JERSEY									
1	BISSELL	WARREN	CONSPIRACY	OM	В	11/05/2001	30	2	90
2	GREENAWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2002	30	1	60
3	GREENAWAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2002	30	2	90
4	COOPER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2002	30	1	60
5	GREENAWAY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2002	30	-	30
6	PISANO	WARREN	THEFT	EF	В	03/14/2002	30	1	60
7	GREENAWAY	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	1	60
8	BISSELL	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	В	03/28/2002	30	-	30
9	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2002	30	1	60
10	GREENAWAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/10/2002	30	1	60
11	GREENAWAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2002	30	-	30
12	IRENAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2002	30	1	60
13	BISSELL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/05/2002	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nu	ımbe			
	Number	Average	_				Other				otions		
	of Days in Oper-	Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Than Manpower				ippre ercep		Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation4	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	P	victed
MISSOURI, EASTER	RN (CONTINU	ED)		•			•						
15	51	53	426	2,697	386	25,813	8,000	4	-	-	-	-	-
6**	30	68	550	2,038	556	19,019	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
7**	26	80	279	2,077	526	16,616	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, WESTER	RN												
1	49	5	25	234	83	62,142	3,094	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA													
1	360	28	800	10,000	95	246,523	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	330	4	37	1,400	52	230,124	11,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	8	14	4	108	14	106,690	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	31	8	12	263	42	46,019	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	59	103	41	6,079	400	80,523	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	60	43	27	2,600	227	RELATED	TO NO. 7	RELATE	D TO NO. 7				
7	60	43	28	2,600	232	204,143	1,624	8	-	-	-	-	-
8	60	41	18	2,470	289	79,846	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	143	169	45	24,167	518	140,198	2,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	87	57	50	4,922	1,075	8,123	3,075	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY													
1	90	21	20	1,889	105	196,504	25,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	49	33	150	1,618	217	139,358	28,075	19	1	-	-	-	4
3	90	56	60	5,000	2,000	120,000	5,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	5	42	307	123	58,840	5,320	15	-	-	-	-	15
5	29	6	20	178	12	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
6	60	117	NR	7,000	3,005	37,138	12,913	1	-	-	-	-	-
7	60	25	250	1,500	400	68,000	3,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	12	20	357	60	82,820	8,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	60	36	63	2,172	501	166,005	5,445	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
10	35	34	151	1,184	444	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
11	10	11	27	109	46	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
12	54	81	420	4,377	939	276,713	1,750	10	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	16	190	465	92	51,674	9,987	-	-	-	-	-	-

 $^{^4\}mbox{NI}$ indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*}This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authoriz	zing Official		Inte	rcept		Auth	orized Le	ength
					Ī		Orig-	Num-	
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
NEW JERSEY (CON	ITINUED)								
14	BISSELL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	11/29/2002	30	-	30
15	BISSELL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/29/2002	30	-	30
26**	ORLOFSKY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	H,B	11/24/1999	30	4	150
27**	ORLOFSKY	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/2000	30	-	30
28**	ORLOFSKY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	B,D	02/29/2000	30	1	60
29**	ORLOFSKY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2000	30	-	30
690**	ORLOFSKY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	10/20/1999	30	1	60
NEW MEXICO									
1	CONWAY	BRAUN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	01/30/2002	30	-	30
2	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/01/2002	30	-	30
3	HANSEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2002	30	1	60
4	BLACK	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/21/2002	30	-	30
5	CONWAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2002	30	-	30
6	HANSEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2002	30	-	30
7	CONWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/05/2002	30	-	30
8	JOHNSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2002	30	1	60
9	HANSEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2002	30	-	30
10	CONWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,EF	В	09/05/2002	30	-	30
11	HANSEN	BRAUN	NARCOTICS	ED	D	09/20/2002	30	-	30
12	HANSEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/07/2002	30	-	30
NEW YORK, EASTE	RN								
1	ROSS	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	12/11/2001	30	1	60
2	DEARIE	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	12/17/2001	30	5	180
3	ROSS	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS	В	01/15/2002	30	1	60
4	GLASSER	FISHER	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/24/2002	30	1	60
5	GLASSER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC,ED	D	01/29/2002	30	4	150
6	RAGGI	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/2002	30	2	90
7	ROSS	NOTOPOULOS	RACKETEERING	ED	D	02/05/2002	30	-	30
8	RAGGI	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/05/2002	30	-	30
9	GARAUFIS	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/07/2002	30	-	30

 $^{^{1}}$ The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Co	osts	Number of					
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			St Int	otions uppres tercep	ss ts ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
NEW JERSEY (CO	NTINUED)												
14	28	55	100	1,537	67	RELATED 1	ΓΟ NO. 13	-	-	-	-	-	=
15	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	=
26**	127	66	1,379	8,359	561	1,035,872	20,000	13	-	-	-	-	9
27**	29	54	190	1,576	252	RELATED 1	ΓΟ NO. 26**	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	:6**			
28**	43	63	583	2,711	38	RELATED 1	ΓO NO. 26**	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	:6**			
29**	30	24	79	708	44	RELATED 1	TO NO. 26**	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	:6**			
690**	55	35	324	1,941	161	363,160	5,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	:6**			
NEW MEXICO													
1	30	3	NR	81	NR	1,805	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	15	44	444	158	85,197	3,780	4	-	-	-	-	-
3	58	49	20	2,850	339	97,137	9,614	4	-	-	-	-	=
4	30	5	49	161	11	RELATED	TO NO. 3	RELATE	D TO NO. 3	;			
5	30	41	48	1,227	264	28,511	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	25	22	111	562	51	48,267	3,688	RELATE	D TO NO. 3	;			
7	30	43	51	1,289	169	29,044	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	51	157	95	7,984	1,000	288,736	8,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	17	24	68	409	14	33,316	3,187	RELATE	D TO NO. 3	}			
10	30	65	190	1,937	80	29,546	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	=
11	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	1,817	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	60	49	1,808	1,212	29,149	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, EASTE	RN												
1	42	45	68	1,898	371	42,938	6,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	180	28	56	4,991	462	30,730	16,553	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	54	62	116	3,322	237	55,534	5,704	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	14	7	417	-	31,784	2,350	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	150	45	61	6,809	1,634	115,141	5,550	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	86	25	274	2,171	776	161,881	31,161	19	-	-	-	-	=
7	30	1	NR	36	NR	2,967	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	26	25	44	640	244	52,715	13,195	-	-	_	-	-	-
9	30	97	25	2,924	500	23,615	8,800	20	_	_	_	_	_

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authoriz	zing Official		Inte	rcept		Auth	orized Le	ength
							Orig-	Num-	T.4.1
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
NEW YORK EASTER	RN (CONTINUED)				•				
10	HURLEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/08/2002	30	1	60
11	GERSHON	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	04/12/2002	30	-	30
12	SEYBERT	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/17/2002	30	-	30
13	GARAUFIS	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	04/18/2002	30	-	30
14	RAGGI	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2002	30	1	60
15	ROSS	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	OM	В	05/02/2002	30	2	90
16	GLASSER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/08/2002	30	-	30
17	ROSS	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC,OM	B,D	05/13/2002	30	3	120
18	GLASSER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/16/2002	30	1	60
19	GLASSER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	R	05/21/2002	30	-	30
20	GLASSER	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/14/2002	30	-	30
21	GLASSER	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/18/2002	30	-	30
22	SEYBERT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2002	30	-	30
23	GERSHON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2002	30	2	90
24	RAGGI	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2002	30	-	30
25	GARAUFIS	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/05/2002	30	-	30
26	SIFTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/16/2002	30	1	60
27	SIFTON	SWARTZ	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	08/23/2002	30	-	30
28	WEINSTEIN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	09/05/2002	30	-	30
29	GLEASON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	09/11/2002	30	-	30
30	SIFTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/26/2002	30	-	30
31	SIFTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/11/2002	30	-	30
20*	KORMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2001	30	1	60
21*	RAGGI	SWARTZ	FIREARMS	WS,WC	H,D	03/25/2001	30	-	30
22*	HURLEY	WARREN	RACKETEERING	OM	H,O	03/30/2001	30	1	60
23*	HURLEY	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/30/2001	30	1	60
24*	GERSHON	SWARTZ	EXTORTION	WC	D	05/23/2001	30	2	90
25*	ROSS	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WS	Н	06/26/2001	30	2	90
26*	GLASSER	DOUGALL	NARCOTICS	ED	D	06/28/2001	30	-	30
27*	TRAGER	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/30/2001	30	2	90

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nι	ımbe			
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı Int	otions uppre ercep	ss ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
NEW YORK EASTER	RN (CONTINU	ED)											
10	54	53	390	2,880	266	184,451	3,875	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	19	17	14	327	20	16,258	2,350	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	22	17	12	372	193	57,993	2,750	5	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	75	15	2,258	300	20,354	5,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	53	9	56	473	165	98,857	18,297	19	-	-	-	-	-
15	56	25	19	1,380	64	45,866	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	1	-	-	-	-	2,350	2,350	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	90	93	103	8,397	1,220	134,955	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	52	47	103	2,427	405	86,248	5,350	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	30	37	41	1,118	59	26,138	6,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	5	-	-	-	-	5,454	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	12	37	17	447	18	7,610	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	30	48	60	1,448	21	27,002	522	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	90	2	15	200	100	124,700	4,700	19	-	-	-	-	-
24	8	10	19	76	5	15,710	3,550	19	-	-	-	-	-
25	17	27	30	460	31	18,452	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	54	10	35	524	421	48,399	1,453	7	-	-	-	-	-
27	30	4	10	117	30	38,404	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	6	69	8	414	12	3,172	265	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	30	25	20	746	15	26,450	7,950	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	15	-	-	-	-	7,184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	10	13	35	132	81	25,508	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	6			
20*	60	98	18	5,858	568	47,487	2,400	10	1	-	-	-	8
21*	5	447	6	2,233	62	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-
22*	27	1	6	25	4	5,598	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
23*	60	10	18	592	41	108,866	10,000	4	-	-	-	-	4
24*	73	8	25	575	450	16,760	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
25*	78	44	27	3,432	707	43,955	3,361	-	-	-	-	-	-
26*	27	3	NR	88	NR	13,513	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
27*	74	5	66	361	61	72,139	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authoriz	ing Official		Inter	cept		Auth	orized Le	ength
							Orig-	Num-	
		Attornov	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
NEW YORK, NORTH	ERN	-		1					
1	MCAVOY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/29/2002	30	1	60
2	MCAVOY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/19/2002	30	1	60
3	MCAVOY	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/06/2002	30	-	30
4	MCAVOY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	OM	Н	03/29/2002	30	3	120
5	MORDUE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2002	30	1	60
6	MCAVOY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	06/29/2002	30	1	60
7	MCAVOY	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WS,EE	В	09/03/2002	30	1	60
8	HURD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	В	10/09/2002	30	-	30
9	MCAVOY	MALCOLM	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	10/10/2002	30	-	30
10	SCULLIN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	-	30
11	SCULLIN	FLETCHER	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/28/2002	30	-	30
12	MCAVOY	MALCOLM	\$LAUNDERING	WS	В	10/28/2002	30	-	30
NEW YORK, SOUTH	ERN								
1	SCHEINDLIN	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	11/21/2001	30	3	120
2	COTE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2001	30	1	60
3	BUCHWALD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/03/2002	30	-	30
4	BUCHWALD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/11/2002	30	-	30
5	OWEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2002	30	-	30
6	OWEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/22/2002	30	1	60
7	OWEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2002	30	1	60
8	KNAPP	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2002	30	-	30
9	POLLACK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2002	30	-	30
10	KNAPP	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2002	30	-	30
11	WOOD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	02/15/2002	30	-	30
12	WOOD	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2002	30	1	60
13	WOOD	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/21/2002	30	-	30
14	WOOD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/22/2002	30	-	30
15	SAND	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2002	30	2	90
16	SAND	KEENEY	FRAUD	OM	В	03/01/2002	30	3	120
17	SAND	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	OM	В	03/07/2002	30	2	90

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

Numbe		umber Average		Number of 5		Co	sts		Nu	ımbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			St Int	otions uppre terce	ss	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
NEW YORK, NORTH	IERN												
1	49	20	20	1,000	200	96,240	10,000	4	-	-	-	-	-
2	53	40	20	2,100	500	103,280	10,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	30	11	10	330	50	57,800	5,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
4	3	5	7	15	10	24,228	6,000	6	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	230	1,400	13,800	11,000	294,370	16,600	4	-	-	-	-	-
6	33	31	15	1,038	25	114,576	-	11	-	-	-	-	-
7	50	34	159	1,686	319	177,188	29,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	82	10	2,474	49	40,635	2,966	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	20	8	12	161	83	RELATED	TO NO. 7	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	30	21	893	278	77,854	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	2,924	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	8	8	9	67	25	RELATED	TO NO. 7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, SOUTH	IERN												
1	112	53	60	5,915	160	47,070	3,150	2	-	-	-	-	2
2	60	11	53	657	606	27,898	1,750	1	-	-	-	-	1
3	4	4	1	17	2	20,110	2,200	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
4	19	6	4	109	87	84,794	2,350	2	-	-	-	-	2
5	30	6	133	190	179	11,342	1,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
6	30	72	107	2,153	874	330,000	3,000	9	-	-	-	-	-
7	50	30	25	1,509	134	48,425	6,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	14	6	9	78	13	6,045	1,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
9	20	9	6	173	138	83,139	2,350	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
10	28	5	1	144	-	9,200	4,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	16	49	468	45	27,380	2,765	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	36	34	39	1,229	241	RELATED	TO NO. 6	RELATE	D TO NO. 6				
13	30	-	-	-	-	110,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	15	16	4	234	27	2,400	-	5	-	-	-	-	5
15	90	9	3	793	146	37,416	2,650	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	87	NR	19	NR	NR	47,933	2,500	4	-	-	-	-	-
17	67	20	NR	1,325	346	132,563	4,075	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizir	ng Official		Interd	cept		Auth	orized Le	ength
							Orig-	Num-	Total
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
NEW YORK, SOUTH	ERN (CONTINUED)								
18	SAND	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2002	30	-	30
19	HAIGHT	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2002	30	-	30
20	HAIGHT	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2002	30	1	60
21	HAIGHT	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/12/2002	30	1	60
22	HARRIS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/21/2002	30	-	30
23	GRIESA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/27/2002	30	-	30
24	HAIGHT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	OM	Н	03/27/2002	30	-	30
25	GRIESA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2002	30	-	30
26	GRIESA	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/03/2002	30	4	150
27	GRIESA	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/03/2002	30	-	30
28	MARRERO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2002	30	1	60
29	SWEET	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2002	30	1	60
30	SWEET	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/19/2002	30	-	30
31	WARD	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2002	30	-	30
32	WARD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/24/2002	30	-	30
33	WARD	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/24/2002	30	-	30
34	WARD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2002	30	-	30
35	WARD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/26/2002	30	-	30
36	MARRERO	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/07/2002	30	3	120
37	MARRERO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2002	30	1	60
38	MARRERO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/17/2002	30	-	30
39	CASEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/20/2002	30	3	120
40	CHIN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/04/2002	30	-	30
41	BATTS	WARREN	BRIBERY	WS	H,B	06/18/2002	30	2	90
42	BATTS	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	06/24/2002	30	1	60
43	CASEY	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2002	30	-	30
44	LYNCH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	OM	Н	08/02/2002	30	-	30
45	LYNCH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/02/2002	30	-	30
46	SWAIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/13/2002	30	-	30
47	SWAIN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2002	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nu	mbe			
	Number	Average					Other				otions		
	of Days	Inter-	Persons		Incrim-	Total	Than				ıppre		Persons
A.O. Number	in Oper-	cepts	Inter-	Intercente	inating	Cost	Manpower	Aurooto	Triala	Int	erce _l	ots ^o	Con-
	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	ט	r	victed
NEW YORK, SOUTH													
18	20	13	4	255	204	88,138	2,350	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
19	27	9	4	250	123	4,320	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 14	1			
20	60	42	5	2,504	112	30,361	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	58	38	51	2,185	342	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	5	31	8	154	30	3,831	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	30	32	52	956	203	55,700	500	2	-	-	-	-	-
24	6	-	-	-	-	5,188	265	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	30	49	86	1,465	190	72,549	2,200	11	-	-	-	-	-
26	150	31	NR	4,672	344	68,621	3,950	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	19	17	31	317	54	4,000	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 14	1			
28	52	24	51	1,234	960	70,632	7,400	21	-	-	-	-	-
29	45	26	23	1,179	150	151,420	35,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	19	9	4	177	141	84,361	2,350	4	-	-	-	-	4
31	30	20	20	604	25	102,780	25,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	10,365	1,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	22	9	33	208	68	3,520	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 14	1			
34	13	43	3	555	444	RELATED 1	ΓΟ NO. 30	RELATE	D TO NO. 30)			
35	28	70	13	1,967	5	27,265	1,265	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	117	73	103	8,522	1,157	247,909	1,260	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	52	33	15	1,715	950	44,850	7,850	-	-	-	-	-	-
38	1	-	-	-	-	2,967	2,350	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	100	148	30	14,814	2,095	112,308	6,600	11	-	-	-	_	-
40	9	2	6	22	8	22,250	4,550	11	-	-	_	_	-
41	89	309	229	27,536	393	180,101	-	-	-	-	_	_	-
42	58	20	29	1,131	12	76,540	2,500	-	-	-	-	_	-
43	30	178	20	5,347	378	36,083	1,000	11	-	_	-	_	-
44	30	8	2	225	-	107,780	30,500	-	-	_	_	_	-
45	6	64	15	381	160	20,350	1,850	6	_	_	-	_	-
46	12	20	33	241	85	20,000	-,000	-	_	_	_	_	_
47	30	24	18	734	226	34,750	2,750	_	_	_	-	_	_
41	30	24	10	104	220	34,730	2,700	-	-	•	•		

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizing	g Official	PURSUANT TO 18	Intercept			Authorized Length		
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	Orig- inal Order	Num- ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
NEW YORK, SOUTH	ERN (CONTINUED)								
48	SWAIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2002	30	1	60
49	CASEY	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/05/2002	30	1	60
50	PATTERSON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2002	30	-	30
51	BAER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/12/2002	30	-	30
17*	KNAPP	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/19/2001	30	-	30
18*	KNAPP	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	01/25/2001	30	3	120
19*	OWEN	REED	SMUGGLING	WC	D	01/30/2001	30	-	30
20*	OWEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	OM,EO	H,D	02/05/2001	30	-	30
21*	GRIESA	MENDELSOHN	THEFT	WC,OM	D,O	03/08/2001	30	2	90
22*	OWEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	OM	Н	04/05/2001	30	-	30
23*	WARD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2001	30	-	30
24*	SWEET	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	05/03/2001	30	-	30
25*	WOOD	SWARTZ	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	05/07/2001	30	2	90
26*	SWEET	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	06/07/2001	30	-	30
27*	SCHEINDLIN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/20/2001	30	-	30
31**	CASEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2000	30	-	30
NEW YORK, WESTE	RN								
1	ARCARA	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/28/2002	30	1	60
2	CURTIN	FISHER	OTHER	WS	В	08/27/2002	30	2	90
3	CURTIN	KEENEY	OTHER	OM	В	09/26/2002	30	-	30
4	SKRETNY	WARREN	OTHER	EF	В	10/21/2002	30	1	60
5	ARCARA	WARREN	OTHER	WC	D	11/07/2002	30	-	30
7*	SKRETNY	DUSZKIEWICZ	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/12/2000	30	5	180
NORTH CAROLINA,	WESTERN								
1	MULLEN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/06/2001	30	2	90
2	MULLEN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/06/2001	30	1	60
3	MULLEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/10/2002	30	1	60
4	MULLEN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2002	30	1	60
5	THORNBURG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/28/2002	30	1	60
6	MULLEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2002	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

			Number of ⁵			Co	osts		N	umbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			S	otion: uppre terce	ess pts ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	P	victed
NEW YORK, SOUTH	IERN (CONTII	NUED)											
48	31	71	33	2,199	368	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	60	130	30	7,824	1,110	110,108	4,400	RELATE	D TO NO. 4	13			
50	18	18	5	320	12	10,311	2,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
51	30	3	10	87	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17*	5	15	13	77	2	12,514	1,795	2	-	-	-	-	1
18*	120	102	59	12,191	649	33,269	2,500	4	-	-	-	-	-
19*	20	33	111	661	142	99,234	33,061	1	-	-	-	-	-
20*	1	-	-	-	-	3,592	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
21*	87	91	13	7,958	1,678	149,019	10,750	3	-	-	-	-	-
22*	1	1	2	1	1	19,793	1,300	6	-	-	-	-	-
23*	30	14	5	415	104	28,500	1,500	3	-	-	-	-	3
24*	20	NR	2	NR	2	106,753	67,205	2	-	-	-	-	-
25*	90	46	17	4,152	508	237,167	37,682	3	-	-	-	-	-
26*	14	7	8	92	16	RELATED 1	ΓΟ NO. 24*	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	24*			
27*	30	4	4	111	89	129,997	2,200	RELATE	D TO NO. 4	1			
31**	30	31	108	940	221	31,868	2,500	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, WESTE	RN												
1	60	84	9	5,069	380	80,188	11,860	8	-	-	-	-	-
2	90	60	NR	5,410	673	528,250	23,250	3	-	-	-	-	-
3	22	NR	NR	NR	2	16,500	1,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	2			
4	55	9	NR	498	47	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	2			
5	18	31	NR	560	77	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	2			
7*	124	18	24	2,279	2,000	79,360	-	2	-	-	-	1	-
NORTH CAROLINA	, WESTERN												
1	84	12	148	1,045	223	RELATED	TO NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4	1			
2	60	17	126	1,041	182	RELATED	TO NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4	1			
3	60	29	205	1,728	554	RELATED			D TO NO. 4				
4	33	28	136	933	297	921,000	230,000	36	_	-	_	-	-
5	56	23	28	1,306	287	72,236	3,214	8	_	_	-	-	8
6	11	_	_	, -	-	4,985	3,200	-	_	_	_	_	-
-						.,	-,						

 $^{^4\}mbox{NI}$ indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizing	g Official	PORSUANT TO 18 C	Intercept			Auth	orized Le	ength
		A44	0#****			Data of	Orig- inal	Num- ber of	Total
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Order (Days)	Exten- sions	Length (Days)
NORTH CAROLINA,	WESTERN (CONTINUED)		-						
7	MULLEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/06/2002	30	1	60
8	MULLEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/28/2002	30	1	60
9	MULLEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	-	30
OHIO, NORTHERN									
1	WELLS	WARREN	GAMBLING	WC	D	12/14/2001	30	-	30
2	NUGENT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/04/2002	30	2	90
3	POLSTER	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/26/2002	30	1	60
4	NUGENT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	B,D	04/10/2002	30	1	60
5	OLIVER	PINJUH	NARCOTICS	ED	D	04/11/2002	30	1	60
6	CARR	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2002	30	2	90
7	GAUGHAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/06/2002	30	2	90
8	O'MALLEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2002	30	-	30
9	GAUGHAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	1	60
10	CARR	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/30/2002	30	1	60
11	GAUGHAN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2002	30	-	30
12	O'MALLEY	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/26/2002	30	-	30
15*	POLSTER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/09/2001	30	-	30
16*	NUGENT	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/05/2001	30	2	90
17*	NUGENT	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	Α	08/02/2001	30	-	30
OREGON									
1	HAGGERTY	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WS,WC,EF	H,D	10/02/2002	30	-	30
2	HAGGERTY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/07/2002	30	-	30
3	KING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2002	30	-	30
PENNSYLVANIA, EA	ASTERN								
1	BARTLE	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/29/2002	30	-	30
2	YOHN	THOMPSON	KIDNAPPING	WC	D	07/23/2002	2	-	2
3	PADOVA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	08/20/2002	30	-	30
4	DUBOIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	09/18/2002	30	-	30
5	DUBOIS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/15/2002	30	1	60
5*	BUCKWALTER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/16/2001	30	3	120

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nu	ımbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otions uppre ercep	SS	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
NORTH CAROLINA,	, WESTERN (CONTINUED)											
7	50	90	25	4,493	231	122,445	7,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	50	19	18	960	137	103,030	5,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	14	13	6	180	13	39,135	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO, NORTHERN													
1	30	43	72	1,291	588	72,293	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	87	72	333	6,246	2,408	RELATED	TO NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
3	58	66	111	3,838	968	20,975	1,061	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	48	60	224	2,904	1,287	304,220	1,032	50	-	-	-	-	-
5	58	6	49	328	187	6,014	240	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	79	24	66	1,869	315	121,529	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	82	92	268	7,578	1,645	32,501	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	58	53	1,728	203	10,648	83	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	59	96	138	5,661	683	20,915	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	51	26	77	1,325	220	45,825	8,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	101	104	3,034	828	19,102	83	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	19	19	27	354	98	9,538	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
15*	30	18	34	530	160	25,453	908	16	-	-	-	-	-
16*	69	44	64	3,043	692	51,621	525	-	-	-	-	-	-
17*	12	20	2	240	7	7,984	120	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON													
1	30	61	112	1,826	1,631	77,863	3,317	5	-	-	-	-	-
2	19	12	16	228	38	38,119	17,288	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	29	63	249	1,813	819	87,679	17,069	30	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, EA	ASTERN												
1	30	6	29	189	41	75,210	2,250	1	-	-	-	-	-
2	1	-	-	-	-	1,449	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	23	78	31	1,791	601	34,789	160	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	26	77	50	2,006	297	262,788	20,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	174	200	10,448	1,822	693,360	30,000	6	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizing	g Official		Interd	ept		Auth	orized Le	ength
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	Orig- inal Order	Num- ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
	STERN (CONTINUED)								
6*	ROBRENO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2001	30	-	30
7*	ROBRENO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2001	30	1	60
8*	KAUFMAN	STARK	NARCOTICS	ED	D	09/21/2001	30	1	60
9*	VAN ANTWERPEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2001	30	-	30
PENNSYLVANIA, WE	STERN								
1	LANCASTER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/06/2002	30	1	60
2	LANCASTER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	-	30
3	MCLAUGHLIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2002	30	-	30
5*	LEE	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	WS	Н	11/10/2001	30	-	30
PUERTO RICO									
1	DOMINGUEZ	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/27/2002	30	-	30
2	DOMINGUEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2002	30	-	30
3	PEREZ-GIMENEZ	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	04/15/2002	30	-	30
4	GARCIA-GREGORY	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/20/2002	30	-	30
5	PEREZ-GIMENEZ	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/27/2002	30	-	30
6	PEREZ-GIMENEZ	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/31/2002	30	-	30
7	FUSTE	FISHER	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	09/27/2002	30	-	30
12*	LAFFITTE	KEENEY	MURDER	OM	0	03/07/2001	1	-	1
12**	CASTELLANOS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2000	30	-	30
13**	CEREZO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/30/2000	30	-	30
RHODE ISLAND									
1	TORRES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2002	27	-	27
SOUTH CAROLINA									
1	BLATT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2002	30	-	30
2	BLATT	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	-	30
3	ANDERSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/21/2002	30	1	60
SOUTH DAKOTA									
1	PIERSOL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/07/2002	30	-	30
TENNESSEE, EASTE	:RN								
1	JORDAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,OM	Н	07/31/2002	30	1	60

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

 $ED = Digital\ Pager\ (Electronic),\ EE = Computer\ or\ E-Mail\ (Electronic),\ EF = Fax\ Machine\ (Electronic),\ EO = Other\ (Electronic).$

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	ımbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			S	otion: uppre terce	ess	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
PENNSYLVANIA, EA	ASTERN (COM	NTINUED)											
6*	22	47	12	1,032	99	6,690	88	-	-	-	-	-	-
7*	53	9	19	469	106	35,900	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
8*	60	26	NR	1,590	NR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9*	3	14	3	43	1	2,227	1,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, WI	ESTERN												
1	59	66	298	3,887	439	638,661	59,541	14	-	-	-	11	8
2	30	46	114	1,383	256	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	17	9	24	151	120	35,132	1,986	23	-	-	-	-	-
5*	26	57	76	1,475	5	121,927	300	1	=	-	-	-	-
PUERTO RICO													
1	30	5	8	157	77	23,693	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	27	14	32	369	117	22,194	871	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	7	72	57	506	130	24,073	1,950	-	=	-	-	-	-
4	18	-	-	-	-	14,216	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	60	128	1,803	394	59,568	1,950	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	15	65	46	975	133	29,943	1,950	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	7	14	15	96	56	12,783	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
12*	1	1	7	1	-	2,277	20	-	-	-	-	-	-
12**	5	20	14	100	12	4,000	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	3**			
13**	15	30	22	450	35	12,000	-	14	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND													
1	27	35	98	950	130	42,275	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA													
1	30	126	20	3,794	403	154,620	3,000	11	-	-	-	-	-
2	17	107	20	1,815	156	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	54	43	67	2,345	937	203,528	21,938	43	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA													
1	30	34	76	1,014	104	42,643	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, EAST	ERN												
1	60	10	57	582	403	2,513	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizing	g Official	PURSUANT TO TO U	Interc	ept		Auth	orized Le	ength
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	Orig- inal Order	Num- ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
TENNESSEE, EAST	ERN (CONTINUED)								
2	JORDAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2002	30	-	30
3	JORDAN	JENNINGS	NARCOTICS	ED	D	10/17/2002	30	-	30
6*	JORDAN	WARLOW	RACKETEERING	OM	Н	06/21/2001	30	4	150
TENNESSEE, WEST	ERN								
3**	GIBBONS	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2000	30	1	60
4**	GIBBONS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2000	30	-	30
5**	GIBBONS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2000	30	-	30
TEXAS, EASTERN									
1	STEGER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/23/2002	30	-	30
2	BROWN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/15/2002	30	-	30
3	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	04/03/2002	30	1	60
4	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2002	30	1	60
5	STEGER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	08/21/2002	30	1	60
6	SCHELL	MALCOLM	RACKETEERING	OM	0	08/22/2002	30	-	30
7	SCHELL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2002	30	-	30
TEXAS, NORTHERN	l								
1	MEANS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/06/2001	30	-	30
2	ROBINSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2002	30	-	30
3	SANDERS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2002	30	-	30
4	LINDSEY	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2002	30	2	90
5	LYNN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/11/2002	30	-	30
6*	LYNN	WARLOW	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	08/01/2001	30	-	30
TEXAS, SOUTHERN									
1	HOYT	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2002	30	-	30
2	LAKE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2002	30	1	60
3	HOYT	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2002	30	-	30
4	LAKE	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/22/2002	30	-	30
5	WERLEIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2002	30	2	90
6	WERLEIN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2002	30	-	30
7	KAZAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2002	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

			Number of ⁵			Costs		Number of					
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			St Int	otions uppre tercer	ss ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
TENNESSEE, EAST													
2	15	1	2	11	-	2,513	1,050	-	=	-	-	-	-
3	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	904	400	-	=	-	-	-	-
6*	16	NR	NR	NR	NR	5,680	780	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, WEST	ERN												
3**	57	66	70	3,786	290	321,800	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
4**	30	8	10	229	9	RELATED 1	TO NO. 3**	-	-	-	-	-	-
5**	30	41	20	1,237	63	71,600	1,100	-	=	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, EASTERN													
1	23	7	83	166	70	33,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	15	40	439	120	18,240	-	7	-	-	-	-	6
3	60	63	100	3,775	413	31,896	-	10	1	-	-	-	6
4	60	27	40	1,633	23	RELATED	TO NO. 3	RELATE	D TO NO. 3	;			
5	59	34	304	2,026	466	62,280	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	2	1	4	2	2	1,605	160	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	50	150	1,501	381	73,547	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, NORTHERN	N												
1	30	53	100	1,596	194	35,923	-	28	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	147	170	4,397	615	25,300	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	15	65	10	976	147	12,563	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
4	88	17	17	1,537	452	217,726	44,103	3	-	-	-	-	-
5	24	4	31	108	-	32,960	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
6*	30	91	134	2,725	900	92,027	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, SOUTHERN	1												
1	26	15	24	399	138	37,522	3,611	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	36	40	136	1,442	NR	128,707	3,348	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	18	13	17	232	101	34,018	1,200	-	-	_	_	_	-
4	8	10	25	76	7	4,900	900	-	-	_	_	_	-
5	90	35	32	3,184	2,300	295,013	93,600	9	-	_	_	_	-
6	30	31	52	933	43	86,731	30,491		_	_	_	_	_
7	30	25	25	751	176	59,770	700	_	_	_	_	_	_
ı	30	20	20	131	170	59,770	700	-	-	-	-	-	-

 $^{^4\}mbox{NI}$ indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizing Official			Interd	ept		Authorized Length			
							Orig-	Num-		
		Attornov	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total Length	
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)	
TEXAS, SOUTHERN	(CONTINUED)			-			'			
8	HARMON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2002	30	-	30	
9	HARMON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	EE	Α	03/28/2002	30	-	30	
10	WERLEIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/03/2002	30	-	30	
11	WERLEIN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	04/15/2002	30	-	30	
12	WERLEIN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/16/2002	30	1	60	
13	WERLEIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2002	30	-	30	
14	ROSENTHAL	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2002	30	-	30	
15	GILMORE	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2002	30	-	30	
16	GILMORE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/25/2002	30	1	60	
17	CRANE	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2002	30	1	60	
18	ATLAS	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/03/2002	30	2	90	
19	CRANE	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/21/2002	30	-	30	
20	HOYT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/07/2002	30	-	30	
13*	HARMON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2001	30	-	30	
14*	HARMON	WARLOW	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2001	30	-	30	
15*	ROSENTHAL	KISER	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	08/09/2001	30	-	30	
16*	ROSENTHAL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2001	30	2	90	
17*	HITTNER	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/06/2001	30	-	30	
38**	GILMORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/03/2000	30	3	120	
39**	GILMORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2000	30	-	30	
40**	HINOJOSA	GERSHEL	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/04/2000	30	-	30	
41**	ATLAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2000	30	2	90	
42**	HINOJOSA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/17/2000	30	-	30	
TEXAS, WESTERN										
1	GARCIA	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/19/2001	30	2	90	
2	BRIONES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/19/2002	30	1	60	
3	MARTINEZ	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/20/2002	30	1	60	
4	MARTINEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2002	30	1	60	
5	BRIONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/23/2002	30	1	60	
6	MARTINEZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2002	30	-	30	

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

		ays Inter- per- cepts		Number of 5		Co	osts	Number of						
	Number of Days in Oper-		Persons Inter-	r-	Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower		Triala	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁶			Persons Con-	
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	P	victed	
TEXAS, SOUTHER	N (CONTINUE	D)												
8	26	12	60	325	60	45,000	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	30	1	3	41	-	15,322	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10	2	14	9	29	14	14,580	625	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11	30	101	143	3,043	287	56,326	324	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	60	45	19	2,699	189	93,412	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13	30	1	180	23	158	94,781	3,850	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14	6	18	17	108	-	10,320	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15	14	32	18	446	3	15,637	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	
16	60	33	17	1,993	583	177,563	7,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17	60	12	50	730	95	160,512	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
18	90	117	90	10,498	1,033	165,442	5,568	3	-	-	-	-	-	
19	30	13	50	394	136	RELATED	ΓΟ NO. 17	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	30	51	65	1,518	761	100,549	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13*	24	26	87	628	217	28,236	900	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14*	28	31	26	867	198	44,060	1,010	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	6*				
15*	30	31	104	919	52	20,006	900	-	-	-	-	-	-	
16*	70	81	50	5,649	1,229	176,892	51,100	25	-	-	-	-	-	
17*	10	34	27	341	4	30,866	11,350	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	6*				
38**	119	33	80	3,945	171	78,343	1,800	9	-	-	-	-	-	
39**	20	-	4	2	NR	22,656	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	
40**	6	40	14	242	41	85,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	
41**	79	18	50	1,452	185	RELATED	ΓΟ NO. 38**	RELATE	D TO NO. 3	88**				
42**	30	69	33	2,068	56	RELATED	ΓΟ NO. 40**	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TEXAS, WESTERN														
1	81	11	35	860	211	80,945	950	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	56	27	35	1,497	800	351,942	2,950	6	-	-	-	-	6	
3	60	137	35	8,230	218	153,936	22,000	5	-	-	-	-	-	
4	60	33	7	1,960	308	41,323	1,500	5	-	-	-	-	-	
5	37	58	30	2,137	1,420	119,114	250	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	2				
6	30	48	35	1,452	113	60,552	5,000	-	-	_	-	_	-	
				•		•	•							

 $^{^4\}mbox{NI}$ indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizing Official			Interd	ept		Authorized Length			
							Orig-	Num-		
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	inal Order	ber of Exten-	Total Length	
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)	
TEXAS, WESTERN (CONTINUED)					1				
7	MARTINEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2002	30	1	60	
8	BRIONES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2002	30	-	30	
9	BRIONES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	07/02/2002	30	-	30	
10	MARTINEZ	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/07/2002	30	2	90	
11	GARCIA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/08/2002	30	2	90	
12	BIERY	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/07/2002	30	-	30	
13	MARTINEZ	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/14/2002	30	1	60	
14	MARTINEZ	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/22/2002	30	1	60	
15	MARTINEZ	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	09/11/2002	30	2	90	
16	MARTINEZ	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2002	30	-	30	
UTAH										
1	BENSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/22/2002	30	1	60	
2	BENSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2002	30	-	30	
VIRGINIA, EASTER	N									
1	SMITH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/25/2002	30	1	60	
2	HILTON	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/06/2002	30	1	60	
3	WILLIAMS	THOMPSON	EXTORTION	EE	0	10/22/2002	30	-	30	
2**	WILLIAMS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/25/2000	30	-	30	
3**	SPENCER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/2000	30	-	30	
WASHINGTON, WES	STERN									
1	PECHMAN	SWARTZ	MURDER	OM	0	05/10/2002	30	-	30	
2	PECHMAN	SWARTZ	MURDER	OM	0	05/22/2002	30	-	30	
3	PECHMAN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2002	30	-	30	
4	PECHMAN	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/24/2002	30	2	90	
5	PECHMAN	SWARTZ	SMUGGLING	WS	Н	07/02/2002	30	-	30	
6	PECHMAN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/09/2002	30	-	30	
7	PECHMAN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2002	30	-	30	
8	PECHMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/09/2002	30	-	30	
9	PECHMAN	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/14/2002	30	-	30	
10	PECHMAN	CHERTOFF	NARCOTICS	WC	R	08/27/2002	30	-	30	

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

 $ED = Digital\ Pager\ (Electronic),\ EE = Computer\ or\ E-Mail\ (Electronic),\ EF = Fax\ Machine\ (Electronic),\ EO = Other\ (Electronic).$

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Costs		Number of						
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otions uppre tercer	ss	Persons Con-	
A.O. Number	ation4	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed	
TEXAS, WESTERN	(CONTINUED)													
7	60	22	34	1,323	182	270,256	10,002	6	-	1	-	-	-	
8	30	25	33	739	162	102,444	300	1	-	-	-	-	-	
9	30	2	7	49	10	47,116	300	2	-	-	-	-	2	
10	90	223	320	20,112	2,597	189,792	27,132	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11	81	5	15	423	89	132,985	4,320	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	30	-	-	-	-	33,175	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13	49	33	137	1,619	204	RELATED T	O NO. 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14	60	92	246	5,526	907	RELATED T	O NO. 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15	90	110	367	9,879	1,074	RELATED T	O NO. 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
16	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
UTAH														
1	60	61	136	3,638	175	332,800	8,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	30	31	167	929	38	166,400	4,400	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VIRGINIA, EASTER	N													
1	60	153	77	9,188	716	38,234	30,777	26	-	-	-	1	-	
2	58	80	138	4,637	822	190,630	138,662	21	-	-	-	-	12	
3	7	-	NR	2	5	7,825	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2**	30	68	83	2,037	126	105,040	2,000	23	-	-	-	-	-	
3**	30	28	46	839	118	35,600	2,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	**				
WASHINGTON, WES	STERN													
1	6	NR	NR	NR	NR	26,852	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	4	NR	NR	NR	NR	15,763	3,100	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	15	39	88	582	192	RELATED T	O NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	0				
4	86	67	900	5,742	3,769	281,278	2,000	22	-	-	-	-	8	
5	25	18	285	460	236	RELATED T	O NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4					
6	29	39	108	1,123	349	RELATED T	O NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	0				
7	27	18	48	479	71	RELATED T	O NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	0				
8	30	68	255	2,029	662	RELATED T	O NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	0				
9	30	14	10	408	110	RELATED T	O NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4					
10	30	11	75	344	104	1,248,375	635,394	28	-	-	-	-	-	

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}\,{\rm NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

	Authorizi	ng Official		Inte	rcept		Authorized Length			
							Orig- inal	Num- ber of	Total	
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Order (Days)	Exten- sions	Length (Days)	
WASHINGTON, WES	STERN (CONTINUED)									
11	PECHMAN	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/08/2002	30	-	30	
12	PECHMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/20/2002	30	-	30	
13	PECHMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2002	30	1	60	
14	PECHMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/17/2002	30	-	30	
15	PECHMAN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/24/2002	30	-	30	
16	PECHMAN	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WS,WC	B,D	11/09/2002	30	1	60	
17	PECHMAN	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WS	Н	11/20/2002	30	-	30	
18	TANNER	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	EF	Н	11/29/2002	30	-	30	
WEST VIRGINIA, NO	ORTHERN									
1	STAMP	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	02/19/2002	30	-	30	
2	STAMP	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2002	30	-	30	
WEST VIRGINIA, SO	OUTHERN									
1	HADEN	FISHER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2002	30	1	60	
WISCONSIN, EASTE	ERN									
1	ADELMAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2002	30	-	30	
2	RANDA	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/01/2002	30	1	60	
3	RANDA	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/28/2002	30	-	30	
4	CURRAN	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	11/01/2002	30	-	30	
5**	CLEVERT	DIGREGORY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/30/2000	30	-	30	
533**	EVANS	SCHNEIDER	RACKETEERING	OM	Н	12/21/1994	30	3	120	

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

TABLE A-1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nu		er of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			S In	otion uppre terce	ess epts ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	P	victed
WASHINGTON, WES	STERN (CONT	ΓINUED)											
11	30	12	80	355	69	RELATED 1	O NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 10)			
12	14	69	80	962	254	RELATED 1	O NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 10)			
13	60	86	114	5,180	1,352	122,358	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	20	63	100	1,268	379	RELATED 1	O NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 10)			
15	13	84	140	1,092	242	RELATED 1	O NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 10)			
16	41	38	254	1,547	297	423,098	75,500	6	-	-	-	-	-
17	30	30	278	905	138	RELATED 1	O NO. 16	RELATE	D TO NO. 16	i			
18	21	22	115	461	56	RELATED 1	O NO. 16	RELATE	D TO NO. 16	i			
WEST VIRGINIA, NO	ORTHERN												
1	12	97	31	1,161	118	7,923	1,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	26	77	46	2,010	288	19,388	8,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, SO	OUTHERN												
1	43	109	181	4,687	263	49,203	23,747	5	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN, EAST	ERN												
1	30	200	50	6,000	2,800	33,500	20,000	38	-	-	2	-	-
2	54	155	65	8,374	1,351	RELATED	TO NO. 3	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
3	27	136	26	3,682	627	61,428	6,140	11	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	49	60	1,472	249	61,002	14,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
5**	15	27	30	406	53	31,662	17,089	-	-	-	-	-	-
533**	120	140	10	16,787	41	55,168	5,168	22	-	-	-	-	22

 $^{^4\}mbox{NI}$ indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2001, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2000 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.