REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authori	zing Official		Inte	rcept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
ARIZONA		·	·		l				
1	MARTONE	NAHMIAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2004	30	1	60
2	TEILBORG	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/04/2005	30	-	30
3	TEILBORG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2005	30	-	30
4	MCNAMEE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/11/2005	30	-	30
5	ZAPATA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2005	30	2	90
6	BROWNING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2005	30	1	60
7	CAMPBELL	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2005	30	-	30
8	ZAPATA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2005	30	1	60
9	CARROLL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/23/2005	30	-	30
10	CARROLL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/31/2005	30	1	60
11	TEILBORG	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/2005	30	1	60
12	WAKE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	04/22/2005	30	1	60
13	BROWNING	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2005	30	-	30
14	MCNAMEE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2005	30	-	30
15	MCNAMEE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2005	30	1	60
16	BROWNING	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	06/06/2005	30	-	30
17	BROWNING	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	06/06/2005	30	-	30
18	CARROLL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/2005	30	2	90
19	TEILBORG	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/07/2005	30	1	60
20	CAMPBELL	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2005	30	-	30
21	CARROLL	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/2005	30	-	30
22	CARROLL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/17/2005	30	-	30
23	TEILBORG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2005	30	1	60
24	WAKE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/22/2005	30	-	30
25	CAMPBELL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/22/2005	30	1	60
26	COLLINS	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WS	Н	10/27/2005	30	-	30
27	COLLINS	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	10/27/2005	30	-	30
28	COLLINS	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	10/27/2005	30	-	30
18*	BOLTON	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/01/2004	30	-	30
19*	ZAPATA	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/15/2004	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	ımbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			S	lotion uppre terce	ss	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
ARIZONA													
1	60	33	114	2,006	122	76,995	2,350	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	57	34	1,697	500	33,295	3,500	3	-	-	-	-	-
3	20	123	40	2,463	536	23,364	3,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
4	29	28	12	812	20	31,458	2,200	3	-	-	-	-	-
5	56	7	96	392	287	73,447	5,287	11	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	15	37	463	101	43,000	22,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	2	2	59	-	14,950	3,950	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	34	31	74	1,038	308	RELATED	TO NO. 5	RELATE	D TO NO. 5				
9	10	18	36	183	48	15,120	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	41	99	47	4,079	450	77,515	7,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
11	59	95	27	5,591	1,413	62,097	3,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
12	59	68	149	4,019	453	84,175	1,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
13	16	34	6	544	107	18,520	2,200	10	-	-	-	-	-
14	5	132	3	660	6	14,328	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	38	42	6	1,589	165	75,328	3,500	2	-	-	-	-	-
16	30	134	288	4,007	472	75,857	4,035	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	22	21	90	472	66	. RELATED) TO NO.16	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	80	55	150	4,371	1,513	155,560	11,400	11	-	-	-	-	-
19	47	107	74	5,044	671	50,179	3,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
20	12	10	4	125	51	52,864	3,500	2	-	-	-	-	-
21	10	20	23	197	76	15,120	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	30	155	160	4,642	941	121,500	9,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	59	80	98	4,735	685	62,097	3,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
24	20	48	43	964	170	RELATED	TO NO. 22	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	59	66	203	3,895	672	RELATED	TO NO. 22	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	30	39	178	1,169	36	115,662	3,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	30	4	32	135	21	RELATED	TO NO. 26	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	30	18	72	551	36	RELATED	TO NO. 26	-	-	-	-	-	-
18*	30	9	15	273	2	44,214	2,525	-	-	-	-	-	-
19*	26	35	129	915	172	45,373	11,645	1	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authori	zing Official		Inte	rcept		1	orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
ARKANSAS, EAS	TERN						1	<u> </u>	
1	HOLMES	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/19/2005	30	1	60
2	HOWARD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/19/2005	30	-	30
3	HOLMES	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2005	30	-	30
4	HOLMES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2005	30	-	30
CALIFORNIA, CE	NTRAL								
1	CARNEY	NAHMIAS	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/18/2004	30	4	150
2	FEESS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	10/26/2004	30	2	90
3	FEESS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/06/2004	30	1	60
4	ANDERSON	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	12/09/2004	30	3	120
5	CARNEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/10/2005	30	1	60
6	FEESS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2005	30	1	60
7	KLAUSNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2005	30	1	60
8	MANELLA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/2005	30	-	30
9	MANELLA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/2005	30	-	30
10	SELNA	KEENEY	SMUGGLING	WC	D	02/24/2005	30	4	150
11	SELNA	KEENEY	SMUGGLING	WC	D	02/24/2005	30	4	150
12	SCHIAVELLI	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/09/2005	30	-	30
13	FEESS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2005	30	-	30
14	KLAUSNER	BIANCO	CONSPIRACY	WS	Н	03/17/2005	30	-	30
15	SELNA	KEENEY	SMUGGLING	WC	D	03/17/2005	30	3	120
16	WALTER	WARREN	BRIBERY	WC	D	03/18/2005	30	1	60
17	SELNA	KEENEY	SMUGGLING	WC	D	04/16/2005	30	2	90
18	FEESS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/03/2005	30	-	30
19	CARNEY	PARSKY	SMUGGLING	WC	D	05/19/2005	30	5	180
20	COLLINS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/01/2005	30	-	30
21	OTERO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/2005	30	1	60
22	SCHIAVELLI	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/09/2005	30	2	90
23	OTERO	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2005	30	2	90
24	LEW	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	06/21/2005	30	-	30
25	LEW	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/21/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		N	umbe	r of		
A.O. Number	Number of Days in Oper- ation ⁴	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter- cepted	Intercente	Incrim- inating	Total Cost in \$	Other Than Manpower in \$	Arroto	Trials	S	lotion uppre tercel D	ss	Persons Con- victed
		per Day	cepteu	Intercepts	Intercepts	ΠŢΦ	ШŞ	Arrests	111015	u		F	Victed
ARKANSAS, EAS													
1	60	122	191	7,348	29	93,187	5,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	132	200	3,970	847	92,385	17,160	8	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	5	26	157	10	88,160	4,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	20	68	614	78	RELATED	TO NO. 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, CEI													
1	150	74	298	11,092	2,624	253,574	26,500	31	1	1	-	-	10
2	75	15	28	1,095	222	RELATED	TO NO. 3		D TO NO. 3	}			
3	45	16	14	710	209	87,000	12,000	13	-	-	2	-	11
4	105	56	296	5,853	1,064	175,612	7,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	15	37	928	271	105,928	4,000	19	-	-	-	-	-
6	58	139	70	8,073	2,043	36,382	3,550	4	-	-	-	-	-
7	60	383	1,578	22,957	87	116,500	6,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	134	225	4,015	401	127,850	3,250	37	-	-	-	-	-
9	13	1	10	16	-	53,205	1,825	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	135	217	1,725	29,273	218	546,365	20,900	20	-	-	-	-	-
11	135	232	9,144	31,359	1,866	RELATED 1	TO NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	0			
12	16	12	10	200	8	66,360	3,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
13	29	157	65	4,555	914	19,416	3,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 6	6			
14	9	3	10	31	2	16,584	70	4	-	-	-	-	2
15	120	15	304	1,763	161	RELATED 1	TO NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	0			
16	44	23	111	1,019	568	85,656	9,683	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	90	90	1,439	8,096	1,336	RELATED 1	TO NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	0			
18	29	50	65	1,450	144	20,315	3,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 6	;			
19	148	96	14	14,217	3,248	169,168	15,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	30	18	212	549	131	183,001	16,796	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	60	26	349	1,537	175	142,700	10,600	9	-	-	-	-	-
22	90	83	84	7,457	1,724	137,400	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	90	122	678	10,956	448	104,055	10,983	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	30	1	-	30	-	26,550	1,775	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	15	3	7	39	10	9,208	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizin	g Official		Inter	rcept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, CE	NTRAL (CONTINUED)			1		1			1
26	COLLINS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	07/14/2005	30	2	90
27	SCHIAVELLI	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2005	30	1	60
28	MORROW	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/20/2005	30	-	30
29	MATZ	BIANCO	CONSPIRACY	OM	0	07/21/2005	30	-	30
30	LEW	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	07/21/2005	30	-	30
31	CARNEY	WARREN	SMUGGLING	WC	D	07/25/2005	30	3	120
32	OTERO	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2005	30	-	30
33	LEW	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2005	30	1	60
34	OTERO	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/2005	30	-	30
35	PREGERSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/22/2005	30	-	30
36	COLLINS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/2005	30	-	30
37	COLLINS	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/12/2005	30	1	60
45*	CARNEY	NAHMIAS	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/20/2004	30	4	150
46*	CARNEY	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/12/2004	30	5	180
47*	COLLINS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2004	30	-	30
48*	PREGERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/08/2004	30	-	30
49*	COLLINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/19/2004	30	-	30
48**	WALTER	MALCOLM	CIVIL RIGHTS	OM	В	04/17/2003	30	-	30
49**	COLLINS	WARREN	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	06/12/2003	30	-	30
50**	COLLINS	KEENEY	MURDER	WC	D	11/05/2003	30	-	30
CALIFORNIA, EA	STERN								
1	ISHII	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/03/2004	30	1	60
2	WANGER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/04/2005	30	1	60
3	COYLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2005	30	3	120
4	KARLTON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/17/2005	30	-	30
5	COYLE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2005	30	-	30
6	KARLTON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2005	30	-	30
7	KARLTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2005	30	-	30
8	WANGER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2005	30	-	30
9	SHUBB	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	04/07/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nu	mbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			M Su	otion uppre	ss	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
CALIFORNIA, CE	NTRAL (COI	NTINUED)											
26	86	15	230	1,273	78	RELATED	TO NO. 20	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	60	284	34	17,016	2,884	140,400	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	1	2	1	2	-	1,547	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	30	35	2	1,056	283	79,229	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	30	1	-	26	-	26,550	1,775	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	112	30	13	3,372	485	RELATED	TO NO. 19	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	14	-	2	1	-	RELATED	TO NO. 6	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	60	20	107	1,170	796	36,308	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	30	12	16	373	20	RELATED	TO NO. 23	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	30	1	9	17	17	19,276	2,860	2	-	-	-	-	-
36	4	-	-	-	-	4,500	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	37	9	309	327	56	RELATED	TO NO. 20	-	-	-	-	-	-
45*	150	19	115	2,842	827	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
46*	180	47	339	8,535	1,158	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
47*	24	8	80	182	101	16,740	3,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
48*	30	25	90	760	177	19,800	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
49*	10	-	3	3	3	13,700	8,100	4	-	-	-	-	-
48**	29	4	4	125	30	9,672	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
49**	21	2	14	33	33	10,869	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
50**	12	11	2	137	-	4,864	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, EA	STERN												
1	60	12	61	721	421	185,940	4,500	15	-	-	-	-	-
2	50	76	170	3,807	481	123,646	23,000	2	-	-	-	-	1
3	102	99	25	10,062	852	7,771	-	9	-	-	-	-	-
4	21	17	69	364	84	97,420	-	8	-	-	-	-	-
5	20	4	9	78	14	7,528	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
6	6	23	37	139	45	9,824	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
7	23	40	48	930	108	44,889	2,200	6	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	29	84	880	100	51,812	12,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
9	30	14	106	409	43	67,494	19,615	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizing	g Official		Inte	rcept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, EA	STERN (CONTINUED)	I				1	1		1
10	KARLTON	BIANCO	MURDER	WC	D	04/14/2005	30	-	30
11	ISHII	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2005	30	-	30
12	SHUBB	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2005	30	-	30
13	ISHII	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2005	30	-	30
14	SHUBB	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/11/2005	30	-	30
15	LEVI	PARSKY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	07/07/2005	30	-	30
16	WANGER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2005	30	-	30
18*	ISHII	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2004	30	-	30
CALIFORNIA, NO	RTHERN								
1	CHESNEY	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/19/2005	30	-	30
2	WHITE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2005	30	-	30
3	WARE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2005	30	-	30
4	PATEL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2005	30	2	90
5	PATEL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2005	30	1	60
6	PATEL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/31/2005	30	-	30
7	WARE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/31/2005	30	-	30
8	JENKINS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2005	30	1	60
9	ARMSTRONG	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/12/2005	30	-	30
10	FOGEL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/19/2005	30	-	30
11	ALSUP	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/23/2005	30	-	30
12	HAMILTON	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2005	30	-	30
9*	WALKER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/2004	30	-	30
10*	JENKINS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/21/2004	30	-	30
CALIFORNIA, SO	UTHERN								
1	JONES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/19/2005	30	4	150
2	GONZALEZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2005	30	2	90
3	HOUSTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/18/2005	30	3	120
4	HOUSTON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/18/2005	30	3	120
5	JONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2005	30	2	90
6	JONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2005	30	2	90

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of ⁵	SUANT TO 18	Costs Number of							
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			N S In	lotion uppre terce	ess pts ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
CALIFORNIA, EA	STERN (COI	NTINUED)											
10	30	61	144	1,829	250	31,022	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	20	-	1	1	NR	25,000	10,000	13	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	31	53	931	198	60,561	19,365	6	-	-	-	-	-
13	10	3	8	31	9	127,000	7,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	1			
14	25	30	139	742	169	77,624	21,966	2	-	-	-	-	-
15	30	59	126	1,771	181	61,660	8,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	30	20	57	613	117	146,000	21,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
18*	30	18	17	549	67	63,160	3,550	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, NO	RTHERN												
1	30	36	20	1,086	326	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	47	128	1,408	195	67,406	32,180	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	14	8	431	198	38,377	1,175	11	-	-	-	-	-
4	67	343	421	23,012	4,392	139,286	4,500	15	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	53	27	3,187	918	282,600	3,550	21	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	191	142	5,742	1,192	39,085	1,980	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
7	30	36	8	1,066	158	50,976	1,600	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
8	60	138	75	8,290	2,206	152,476	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	3	3	5	9	1	1,128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	25	115	738	46	33,580	3,500	2	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	25	46	763	318	24,320	6,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
12	25	43	30	1,070	117	78,601	16,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
9*	30	183	250	5,500	500	58,000	8,000	7	-	-	-	-	-
10*	30	70	180	2,100	178	RELATED	TO NO. 9*	RELATE	D TO NO. 9	*			
CALIFORNIA, SO	UTHERN												
1	140	28	27	3,972	956	402,888	76,632	2	2	-	-	-	2
2	90	116	24	10,469	798	96,586	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	110	21	29	2,293	355	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	110	18	14	2,032	636	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	70	48	28	3,386	1,476	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	70	18	15	1,277	286	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizir	ng Official		Inter	rcept		Auth	orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
CALIFORNIA, SO	UTHERN (CONTINUED))	·		·				
7	JONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2005	30	-	30
8	JONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2005	30	-	30
9	JONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2005	30	1	60
10	JONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2005	30	-	30
11	JONES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2005	30	-	30
12	JONES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/16/2005	30	-	30
13	JONES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2005	30	3	120
14	BENITEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2005	30	1	60
15	GONZALEZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2005	30	-	30
16	GONZALEZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/2005	30	-	30
17	BENITEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2005	30	-	30
18	MILLER	WARREN	CONSPIRACY	WC,OO	D	07/19/2005	30	1	60
19	LORENZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2005	30	-	30
20	WHELAN	KEENEY	BRIBERY	WC	D	08/02/2005	30	1	60
COLORADO									
1	WEINSHIENK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/07/2004	30	-	30
2	BABCOCK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2005	30	1	60
3	BABCOCK	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2005	30	-	30
4	WEINSHIENK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2005	30	-	30
5	WEINSHIENK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2005	30	-	30
6	WEINSHIENK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/18/2005	30	-	30
7	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2005	30	2	90
8	KANE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/09/2005	30	1	60
9	KANE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/09/2005	30	-	30
10	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2005	30	1	60
11	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2005	30	2	90
12	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/2005	30	-	30
13	BABCOCK	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2005	30	-	30
14	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/18/2005	30	2	90
15	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/27/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nu	ımbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			M Si	otion uppre	ss	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
CALIFORNIA, SO	UTHERN (C	ONTINUED)											
7	30	22	8	646	190	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	27	20	12	534	51	200,000	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	40	9	14	351	68	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	40	29	1,210	106	RELATED	TO NO. 8	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	20	2	6	41	9	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	20	6	7	116	38	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	109	63	25	6,866	455	RELATED	TO NO. 8	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	60	17	98	993	123	68,571	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	29	19	41	539	4	30,118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	30	19	37	580	66	39,175	3,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	24	2	273	37	78	22,857	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	59	152	39	8,990	730	110,812	3,500	6	-	-	-	-	-
19	28	9	25	262	55	29,080	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	55	53	104	2,934	84	89,464	5,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO													
1	28	79	47	2,203	85	52,648	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	111	147	6,633	1,900	53,264	3,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
3	27	111	148	3,002	135	58,218	33,184	12	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	21	26	626	136	57,820	3,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	127	98	3,799	1,220	26,882	1,750	26	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	56	71	1,680	363	RELATED	TO NO. 5	RELATE	D TO NO. 5				
7	90	24	150	2,175	855	55,312	7,550	12	-	-	-	-	1
8	49	54	187	2,657	193	83,126	45,614	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
9	21	41	65	866	41	32,127	18,060	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
10	60	8	45	457	43	RELATED	TO NO. 7	RELATE	D TO NO. 7				
11	76	243	133	18,474	3,719	400,614	13,500	49	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	11	54	332	35	40,326	4,500	1	-	-	-	-	-
13	9	144	61	1,296	273	RELATED	TO NO. 11	RELATE	D TO NO. 1'	1			
14	90	9	67	767	180	71,835	3,072	11	-	-	-	-	11
15	29	184	69	5,346	1,521	RELATED	TO NO. 11	RELATE	D TO NO. 1'	1			

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 ${}^{\scriptscriptstyle 5}\text{NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

	Authorizi	ng Official		Inte	rcept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
COLORADO (COM	NTINUED)				I				•
25*	KANE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2004	30	1	60
26*	DOWNES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/08/2004	30	-	30
27*	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2004	30	1	60
28*	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/23/2004	30	2	90
29*	WEINSHIENK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/08/2004	30	1	60
30*	WEINSHIENK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/20/2004	30	1	60
31*	WEINSHIENK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/27/2004	30	1	60
32*	WEINSHIENK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/04/2004	30	1	60
33*	WEINSHIENK	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/03/2004	30	-	30
CONNECTICUT									
1	ARTERTON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	10/29/2004	30	2	90
2	HALL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/28/2004	30	1	60
3	HALL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2005	30	-	30
4	DRONEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2005	30	1	60
5	DRONEY	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/21/2005	30	-	30
6	DRONEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2005	30	1	60
7	NEVAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2005	30	2	90
8	NEVAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/06/2005	30	-	30
9	NEVAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/2005	30	-	30
DISTRICT OF CO	LUMBIA								
1	LEON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2004	30	2	90
2	FRIEDMAN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/02/2005	30	1	60
3	KOLLAR-KOTELLY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2005	30	-	30
8**	KESSLER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/15/2002	30	-	30
9**	KESSLER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/31/2002	30	1	48
10**	KESSLER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/30/2003	30	1	35
11**	SULLIVAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/01/2003	30	1	60
FLORIDA, MIDDL	E								
1	CONWAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/26/2004	30	2	90
2	CONWAY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/23/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	imbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si In	lotion uppre terce	ess pts ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
COLORADO (CO	NTINUED)												
25*	48	30	53	1,442	450	88,904	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
26*	30	34	81	1,023	100	58,949	53,873	-	-	-	-	-	-
27*	60	52	93	3,134	1,040	179,160	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
28*	85	19	53	1,603	206	364,800	28,000	22	-	-	-	-	-
29*	35	66	75	2,300	534	85,627	3,167	-	-	-	-	-	-
30*	58	159	79	9,219	1,900	RELATED	TO NO. 28*	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	3*			
31*	51	95	42	4,845	391	RELATED	TO NO. 28*	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	3*			
32*	37	69	22	2,543	335	RELATED	TO NO. 28*	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	3*			
33*	10	53	14	529	24	RELATED	TO NO. 28*	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	3*			
CONNECTICUT													
1	90	69	153	6,247	2,003	50,259	2,987	29	-	-	-	-	-
2	59	115	37	6,800	1,097	60,473	10,800	30	-	-	-	-	20
3	28	59	37	1,646	505	14,887	3,100	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
4	56	78	59	4,396	951	134,489	9,551	27	-	-	-	-	10
5	30	96	34	2,871	363	RELATED	TO NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
6	54	8	33	446	372	RELATED	TO NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
7	90	87	53	7,813	4,053	155,736	8,400	41	-	-	-	-	-
8	11	-	2	3	-	17,957	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	29	106	5	3,080	1,424	51,728	4,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 7				
DISTRICT OF CO	LUMBIA												
1	90	64	118	5,794	312	20,350	4,750	7	-	-	-	-	-
2	53	127	211	6,754	1,029	25,080	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	259	105	7,784	564	138,041	2,700	-	-	-	-	-	-
8**	29	100	39	2,892	147	10,971	3,500	6	-	-	-	-	6
9**	48	51	34	2,440	482	11,986	1,700	RELATE	D TO NO. 8	*			
10**	35	44	47	1,546	478	9,603	1,700	RELATE	D TO NO. 8	**			
11**	60	115	301	6,917	4,479	16,939	8,900	38	-	-	-	-	16
FLORIDA, MIDDL	E												
1	90	55	62	4,941	749	332,724	13,641	12	-	1	-	-	5
2	30	70	32	2,096	302	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizi	ng Official		Inte	rcept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
FLORIDA, MIDDLI	E (CONTINUED)	·		1			· · · · · ·		
3	STEELE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2005	30	1	60
4	SCHLESINGER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2005	30	-	30
5	SCHLESINGER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2005	30	-	30
6	MERRYDAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2005	30	1	60
7	FAWSETT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/09/2005	30	-	30
8	COVINGTON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/14/2005	30	-	30
9	MERRYDAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/02/2005	30	-	30
10	WHITTEMORE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2005	30	-	30
11	CORRIGAN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2005	30	3	120
12	WHITTEMORE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2005	30	-	30
13	MERRYDAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,EO	D	08/17/2005	30	1	60
14	MERRYDAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/07/2005	30	-	30
15	MERRYDAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/2005	30	1	60
3*	STEELE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2004	30	-	30
FLORIDA, NORTH	IERN								
1	RODGERS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2005	30	-	30
2	RODGERS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2005	30	1	60
3	RODGERS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2005	30	3	120
4	RODGERS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2005	30	-	30
5	VINSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2005	30	1	60
6	RODGERS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/23/2005	30	-	30
FLORIDA, SOUTH	IERN								
1	RYSKAMP	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2004	30	2	90
2	MARTINEZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/21/2004	30	1	60
3	MARTINEZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/10/2005	30	-	30
4	MIDDLEBROOKS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/11/2005	30	-	30
5	MORENO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2005	30	-	30
6	HUCK	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/01/2005	30	-	30
7	PAINE	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/10/2005	30	1	60

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

A.O. Number FLORIDA, MIDDLE 3 4	Number of Days in Oper- ation ⁴ (CONTINU 52 5	Average Inter- cepts per Day ED) 201	Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than				otion uppre	ss	Persons
FLORIDA, MIDDLE	(CONTINU 52	ED)	cepted	Intercepts			Manpower	_			erce		Con-
3	52	-			Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	P	victed
		201											
4	5		70	10,464	519	274,100	8,700	28	-	-	-	-	-
		-	-	-	-	21,919	4,480	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	12	169	20	2,024	236	117,556	33,851	15	-	-	-	-	-
6	60	195	198	11,715	260	204,384	63,117	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	19	30	573	170	40,686	40,686	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	40	25	1,189	309	101,581	4,125	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	146	141	4,380	86	RELATED	TO NO. 6	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	9	2	263	35	43,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	120	55	407	6,605	784	269,429	78,843	18	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	9	1	259	11	43,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	60	36	56	2,139	173	406,770	18,000	29	-	-	-	-	-
14	30	216	326	6,478	250	RELATED	TO NO. 6	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	51	37	22	1,872	103	251,080	7,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 13	}			
3*	26	100	142	2,611	155	76,821	23,376	6	-	-	-	-	2
FLORIDA, NORTHE	RN												
1	29	49	167	1,429	367	67,249	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	98	42	5,900	371	591,354	168,938	40	-	-	-	-	14
3	111	128	42	14,246	778	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
4	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	51	12	42	616	190	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATEI	D TO NO. 2				
6	22	162	42	3,554	212	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
FLORIDA, SOUTHE	RN												
1	90	8	15	728	500	82,053	3,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	31	6	3	175	71	27,124	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
3	12	18	6	216	132	5,950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	18	8	2	143	25	56,044	1,850	9	-	-	-	-	6
5	30	200	65	5,997	327	89,992	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	51	23	1,526	-	31,018	6,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	60	81	150	4,857	1,800	128,945	3,900	-	-	-		-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizin	ig Official		Inte	rcept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
FLORIDA, SOUTH	IERN (CONTINUED)			·	·	·			
8	GRAHAM	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/25/2005	30	-	30
9	GRAHAM	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2005	30	-	30
10	DIMITROULEAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2005	30	2	90
11	SEITZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/18/2005	30	1	60
12	SEITZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2005	30	-	30
13	HURLEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2005	30	-	30
14	GOLD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/06/2005	30	1	60
15	UNGARO-BENAGES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/07/2005	30	-	30
16	DIMITROULEAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/2005	30	1	60
17	JORDAN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2005	30	-	30
18	DIMITROULEAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/23/2005	30	-	30
19	HUCK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/23/2005	30	1	60
20	DIMITROULEAS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/28/2005	30	-	30
14*	HUCK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2004	30	1	60
15*	HUCK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/17/2004	30	-	30
16*	MARTINEZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/22/2004	30	-	30
GEORGIA, MIDDL	E								
1*	SANDS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/02/2004	30	-	30
GEORGIA, NORT	HERN								
1	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/19/2004	30	1	60
2	DUFFEY	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/15/2005	30	2	90
3	CAMP	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/25/2005	30	1	60
4	CARNES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2005	30	-	30
5	CARNES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2005	30	-	30
6	COOPER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2005	30	1	60
7	HUNT	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2005	30	-	30
8	HUNT	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2005	30	-	30
9	HUNT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/08/2005	30	1	60
10	HUNT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2005	30	-	30
11	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2005	30	2	90

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	umbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si Int	lotion uppre tercer	ss ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
FLORIDA, SOUTH	IERN (CONT	(INUED)											
8	23	20	27	454	21	21,647	3,000	6	-	-	1	-	2
9	10	83	50	832	125	9,622	1,500	6	-	-	-	-	-
10	90	65	10	5,889	1,043	112,717	6,500	2	-	-	-	-	2
11	26	240	100	6,243	344	93,368	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	30	68	4	2,046	220	12,000	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	17	15	506	25	75,007	3,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	57	133	104	7,597	2,348	1,535,144	3,190	12	-	-	-	-	7
15	22	40	48	869	193	35,193	1,925	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	60	103	30	6,189	2,301	269,400	-	32	-	-	-	-	-
17	30	368	200	11,034	886	96,534	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	30	99	45	2,982	564	RELATED	TO NO. 16	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	6			
19	50	48	13	2,423	418	69,163	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
20	30	134	65	4,026	843	RELATED	TO NO. 16	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	6			
14*	60	56	44	3,376	333	91,001	1,200	13	-	-	-	-	12
15*	23	54	33	1,236	158	36,541	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
16*	30	101	31	3,023	812	103,598	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA, MIDDL	.E												
1*	12	117	6	1,400	385	43,296	3,900	5	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA, NORT	HERN												
1	50	38	50	1,909	469	69,392	6,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	90	17	40	1,500	1,000	62,700	44,700	2	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	123	73	7,394	1,357	287,760	3,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	2	30	10	60	45	28,080	17,880	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	36	53	1,094	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	44	59	126	2,608	344	211,984	3,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	16	114	41	1,830	299	79,376	3,600	28	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	135	40	4,048	815	59,400	41,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	60	237	209	14,207	3,533	294,960	10,800	RELATE	D TO NO. 7				
10	30	14	42	420	54				D TO NO. 7				
11	60	81	143	4,846	597	80,704	14,400	RELATE	D TO NO. 7				

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizin	g Official		Interc	ept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
	HERN (CONTINUED)			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
12	PANNELL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/30/2005	30	-	30
13	PANNELL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/02/2005	30	-	30
14	CARNES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/19/2005	30	2	90
15	MARTIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2005	30	1	60
16	MARTIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2005	30	1	60
17	MARTIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2005	30	1	60
18*	PANNELL	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/17/2004	30	-	30
HAWAII									
1	EZRA	NAHMIAS	EXTORTION	WC	D	08/18/2004	30	3	120
2	GILLMOR	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	09/21/2004	30	5	180
3	MOLLWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	В	11/15/2004	30	4	150
4	MOLLWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	В	11/15/2004	30	4	150
5	EZRA	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	12/06/2004	30	3	120
6	EZRA	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	01/24/2005	30	2	90
7	GILLMOR	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/2005	30	-	30
8	GILLMOR	SWARTZ	OTHER	EE	Н	07/08/2005	30	3	120
7*	GILLMOR	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/2004	30	3	120
8*	EZRA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/2004	30	4	150
9*	EZRA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/2004	30	4	150
ILLINOIS, CENTR	AL								
1	SCOTT	KEENEY	FRAUD	WS,WC	H,D	07/28/2005	30	-	30
2	SCOTT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/2005	30	1	60
ILLINOIS, NORTH	ERN								
1	KOCORAS	NAHMIAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2004	30	1	60
2	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/02/2004	30	-	30
3	KOCORAS	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/13/2004	30	1	60
4	KOCORAS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/20/2005	30	-	30
5	KOCORAS	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	02/04/2005	30	-	30
6	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		N	umbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si In	lotion uppre	ess pts ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
GEORGIA, NORTI	HERN (CON	TINUED)											
12	30	2	2	65	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	30	176	107	5,273	1,262	145,680	3,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	89	37	148	3,290	956	80,707	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	45	39	83	1,747	197	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	45	35	65	1,582	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	45	273	346	12,291	1,476	227,520	14,400	RELATE	D TO NO. 7				
18*	15	80	62	1,199	163	56,040	3,600	4	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII													
1	117	11	78	1,302	258	140,527	5,009	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	159	157	219	24,914	1,294	220,639	21,516	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	146	89	63	13,065	557	75,000	70,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	146	22	63	3,145	71	15,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	99	271	105	26,835	611	137,928	14,344	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	69	62	21	4,306	283	99,424	10,758	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	20	28	607	113	98,134	3,500	4	-	-	-	-	-
8	97	13	1	1,269	320	28,584	300	1	-	-	-	-	-
7*	118	47	65	5,495	186	158,563	14,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
8*	146	34	53	4,924	715	98,225	6,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
9*	146	37	72	5,397	997	6,500	6,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
LLINOIS, CENTR	AL												
1	28	81	62	2,258	276	47,553	6,497	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	47	122	173	5,744	475	51,057	1,425	-	-	-	-	-	-
LLINOIS, NORTH	ERN												
1	60	113	70	6,785	1,490	45,509	800	12	-	-	-	-	2
2	30	104	53	3,110	518	39,300	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	59	339	72	19,987	1,442	44,663	6,000	23	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	100	6	3,000	450	40,000	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	13	13	17	172	42	14,908	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	67	75	2,015	687	32,448	1,000	-	_	_	-		-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizin	g Official		Inter	cept			orized Le	ngth
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
ILLINOIS, NORTH	ERN (CONTINUED)								
7	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2005	30	2	90
8	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/22/2005	30	2	90
9	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2005	30	-	30
10	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/01/2005	30	-	30
11	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2005	30	-	30
12	KOCORAS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2005	30	1	60
13	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2005	30	3	120
14	HOLDERMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/04/2005	30	-	30
15	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/12/2005	30	-	30
16	KOCORAS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2005	30	-	30
17	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2005	30	-	30
18	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/23/2005	30	2	90
19	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/01/2005	30	-	30
20	KOCORAS	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2005	30	-	30
21	KOCORAS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	07/07/2005	30	1	60
22	ZAGEL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2005	30	1	60
23	ZAGEL	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2005	30	1	60
24	NORGLE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/29/2005	30	-	30
25	REINHART	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	08/09/2005	30	1	60
26	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/23/2005	30	1	60
27	KOCORAS	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2005	30	2	90
28	ZAGEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/16/2005	30	-	30
29	KOCORAS	PARSKY	OTHER	WS,WC	B,D	09/20/2005	30	-	30
30	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/21/2005	30	-	30
31	KOCORAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/26/2005	30	-	30
32	KOCORAS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2005	30	1	60
33	KOCORAS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/14/2005	30	-	30
34	KOCORAS	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/21/2005	30	-	30
60*	REINHART	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/18/2004	30	5	180

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	imbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si Int	otion uppre terce	ess ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
ILLINOIS, NORTH	ERN (CONT	INUED)											
7	83	100	100	8,300	2,500	23,470	7,500	11	-	-	-	-	5
8	90	28	14	2,500	300	44,910	5,300	3	-	-	-	-	-
9	30	5	21	139	45	48,269	14,112	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	15	59	20	880	80	13,542	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	30	86	50	2,576	358	43,095	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	50	60	107	2,991	679	92,360	2,500	9	-	-	-	-	-
13	102	31	67	3,203	422	104,000	4,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
14	30	52	20	1,561	162	44,656	35	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	18	59	15	1,060	99	14,936	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	24	66	84	1,593	135	46,771	3,400	9	-	-	-	-	-
17	30	56	20	1,669	25	35,000	15,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	87	40	133	3,478	199	38,388	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	30	22	50	661	300	34,000	4,000	14	-	-	-	-	-
20	30	52	32	1,549	154	32,932	90	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	28	37	36	1,026	54	31,142	750	4	-	-	-	-	-
22	60	196	364	11,739	3,789	140,654	200	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	34	95	25	3,246	300	23,245	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	20	38	53	759	131	14,160	2,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 2 [.]	1			
25	43	70	30	3,000	2,000	199,260	20,982	13	-	-	-	-	-
26	58	62	15	3,619	396	49,147	4,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	90	12	48	1,065	208	9,928	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	30	158	20	4,749	350	17,997	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	30	71	379	2,118	105	67,571	750	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	30	-	2	1	1	6,558	6,558	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	30	10	11	291	131	38,980	2,500	7	-	-	-	-	-
32	60	9	13	545	139	89,224	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	29	70	121	2,030	246	51,986	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	29	206	98	5,975	1,132	36,736	280	-	-	-	-	-	-
60*	154	88	1,407	13,559	1,473	486,775	96,600	15	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizin	g Official		Intere	cept		Auth	orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
ILLINOIS, NORTH			-						
61*	HIBBLER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	EF	Н	07/01/2004	30	-	30
62*	KOCORAS	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WS,WC	H,D	07/07/2004	30	1	60
63*	KOCORAS	WRAY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/09/2004	30	-	30
ILLINOIS, SOUTH	ERN								
1	GILBERT	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2005	30	-	30
INDIANA, NORTH	ERN								
1	MOODY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/25/2005	30	1	60
2	MOODY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/2005	30	-	30
3	MOODY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/21/2005	30	-	30
INDIANA, SOUTH	ERN								
1	HAMILTON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/07/2005	30	-	30
2	MCKINNEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2005	30	-	30
3	TINDER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/18/2005	30	-	30
4	MCKINNEY	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/11/2005	30	-	30
5	HAMILTON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/07/2005	30	1	60
IOWA, NORTHER	N								
1	BENNETT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2005	30	-	30
2	BENNETT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2005	30	-	30
IOWA, SOUTHER	N								
1	LONGSTAFF	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2005	30	-	30
KANSAS									
1	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2005	30	2	90
2	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/2005	30	-	30
3	BROWN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/29/2005	30	-	30
4	BROWN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2005	30	-	30
5	BROWN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2005	30	-	30
6	VANBEBBER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2005	30	-	30
7	VANBEBBER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/2005	30	2	90
8	BROWN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/05/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	imbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si Int	otion uppre terce	ess ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	P	victed
ILLINOIS, NORTH	IERN (CONT	INUED)											
61*	30	50	20	1,500	600	21,891	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
62*	57	214	382	12,180	1,925	12,749	856	-	-	-	-	-	-
63*	30	34	38	1,030	338	20,654	4,200	16	-	-	-	-	1
ILLINOIS, SOUTH	IERN												
1	30	60	12	1,800	500	98,620	57,738	7	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA, NORTH	ERN												
1	43	157	76	6,754	120	43,733	1,500	2	-	-	-	-	-
2	24	154	53	3,688	59	24,452	1,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
3	4	52	11	208	9	11,988	120	2	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA, SOUTH	ERN												
1	30	20	15	590	47	26,540	3,500	13	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	112	56	3,371	1,012	127,272	69,000	10	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	241	137	7,241	1,513	200,494	2,283	10	-	-	-	-	1
4	30	58	72	1,727	285	RELATED	TO NO. 3	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
5	57	121	93	6,910	1,770	RELATED	TO NO. 3	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
IOWA, NORTHER	N												
1	10	3	10	34	1	9,867	2,930	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	33	110	984	19	23,742	2,930	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA, SOUTHER	N												
1	27	97	6	2,608	843	19,659	4,850	6	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS													
1	90	112	50	10,061	2,201	96,463	26,463	35	-	-	-	-	-
2	12	50	40	603	102	20,458	10,458	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	22	19	15	424	82	20,211	10,211	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
4	30	60	40	1,803	891	35,430	19,430	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	42	15	1,251	403	44,429	19,429	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
6	30	150	173	4,515	256	49,750	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	82	22	39	1,802	426	122,217	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	96	20	2,895	524	42,643	12,643	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authori	zing Official		Inte	rcept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
KANSAS (CONTIN	NUED)					·			
9	MURGUIA	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC,EO	D	06/10/2005	30	-	30
10	LUNGSTRUM	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/28/2005	30	-	30
11	ROGERS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2005	30	1	60
6*	VANBEBBER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2004	30	-	30
KENTUCKY, EAS	TERN								
1	REEVES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	В	03/07/2005	30	1	60
2	FORESTER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/2005	30	1	60
3	FORESTER	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/26/2005	30	-	30
4	FORESTER	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2005	20	-	20
5	BUNNING	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/25/2005	30	1	60
KENTUCKY, WES	TERN								
1	HEYBURN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/24/2005	30	2	90
2	HEYBURN	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC,EO	D	07/12/2005	30	-	30
3	SIMPSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2005	30	1	60
LOUISIANA, EAS	TERN								
1	FELDMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/13/2005	30	-	30
2	FELDMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2005	30	-	30
3	BERRIGAN	PARSKY	COUNTERFEITING	WS,WC	H,D	03/31/2005	30	-	30
4	VANCE	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2005	30	1	60
5	LEMMON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2005	30	-	30
6	VANCE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2005	30	-	30
7	VANCE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2005	30	-	30
8	AFRICK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2005	30	-	30
LOUISIANA, WES	TERN								
1	HICKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/29/2005	30	-	30
2	MINALDI	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/17/2005	30	-	30
3	MELANCON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	OM	Н	04/13/2005	30	-	30
4	HICKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2005	30	-	30
5	HICKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/23/2005	30	-	30
6	HAIK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	umbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si Int	otion uppre terce	ess ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
KANSAS (CONTI	NUED)												
9	30	9	7	272	30	RELATED	TO NO. 7	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	99	22	2,960	44	48,950	950	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	43	51	58	2,213	274	37,900	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
6*	30	58	51	1,741	224	97,770	25,770	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY, EAS	TERN												
1	49	61	183	3,012	389	75,556	3,248	25	1	-	2	-	5
2	60	151	22	9,086	855	55,840	1,400	3	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	71	5	2,133	38	55,840	1,400	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
4	20	7	80	147	17	25,273	800	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	60	221	183	13,238	1,106	69,048	1,950	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY, WES	TERN												
1	80	4	9	284	122	115,165	8,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	10	37	307	11	52,102	5,600	1	-	-	-	-	-
3	36	45	151	1,615	84	62,303	6,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, EAS	TERN												
1	21	241	47	5,060	561	67,604	2,000	10	-	-	-	-	-
2	6	58	40	350	25	20,514	1,200	2	-	-	-	-	-
3	21	172	30	3,608	-	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	187	280	11,236	583	174,409	34,902	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	12	26	362	60	32,965	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	272	326	8,174	344	87,205	17,451	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	123	263	3,684	380	87,205	17,451	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	17	90	46	1,523	251	51,652	18,850	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, WES	TERN												
1	30	45	37	1,358	61	35,539	1,210	9	-	-	-	-	-
2	15	12	12	187	39	15,828	1,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	10	39	9	386	19	141,381	3,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	2	220	30	439	48	2,866	1,210	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
5	30	67	49	2,005	144	82,097	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	26	52	46	1,344	459	141,181	3,100	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizin	g Official		Inter	cept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
	TERN (CONTINUED)		•						
7	HAIK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2005	30	-	30
8	STAGG	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2005	30	-	30
4*	HICKS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/05/2004	30	-	30
MAINE					-				
1*	HORNBY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/26/2004	30	1	60
MARYLAND									
1	WILLIAMS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	02/01/2005	30	2	90
2	GARBIS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/17/2005	30	2	90
3	BLAKE	KEENEY	EXTORTION	WC	D	04/01/2005	30	-	30
4	GARBIS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	OM,EO	0	04/05/2005	30	-	30
5	MOTZ	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/2005	30	1	60
6	DAVIS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/23/2005	30	-	30
7	MOTZ	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2005	30	-	30
8	MOTZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/28/2005	30	-	30
MASSACHUSETT	S								
1	STEARNS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/28/2005	30	1	60
14*	TAURO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2004	30	1	60
15*	TAURO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/04/2004	30	-	30
MICHIGAN, EAST	ERN								
1	CLELAND	SWARTZ	FRAUD	WC	D	12/13/2004	30	-	30
2	ROBERTS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/27/2005	30	-	30
3	O' MEARA	BIANCO	CORRUPTION	WC	D	02/24/2005	30	1	60
4	HOOD	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/02/2005	30	-	30
5	STEEH	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2005	30	1	60
6	TARNOW	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	06/27/2005	30	1	60
7	FRIEDMAN	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2005	30	-	30
8	FRIEDMAN	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/08/2005	30	1	60
9	FRIEDMAN	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/28/2005	30	1	60
10	STEEH	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/13/2005	30	-	30
11	BATTANI	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/04/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		N	umbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si In	lotion uppre terce	ess ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
LOUISIANA, WES	TERN (CON	TINUED)											
7	26	10	9	269	55	RELATED	TO NO. 6	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	36	40	1,069	150	84,332	1,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
4*	20	1	8	19	-	8,570	1,210	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE													
1*	60	86	47	5,170	325	191,800	115,000	10	-	-	3	-	-
MARYLAND													
1	87	161	225	14,000	4,000	35,000	18,000	19	-	-	-	-	4
2	84	134	126	11,262	861	273,430	10,750	3	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	27	74	815	232	135,272	1,775	1	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	18	17	538	58	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
5	60	59	500	3,530	376	34,000	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
6	18	16	25	295	50	50,000	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	21	110	623	34	34,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	30	66	133	1,983	257	34,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETT	S												
1	37	533	459	19,721	1,384	137,973	5,000	20	-	-	-	-	-
14*	50	18	103	878	651	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15*	21	5	19	115	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN, EAST	ERN												
1	30	109	76	3,280	442	37,254	874	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	10	14	31	136	52	12,021	847	16	-	-	-	-	
3	56	98	222	5,463	85	92,664	1,048	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	17	52	509	85	162,858	30,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	59	31	73	1,842	667	92,040	1,694	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	58	136	319	7,870	1,182	96,293	1,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	41	174	1,218	238	33,622	874	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	60	53	98	3,174	243	66,586	994	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	60	65	56	3,900	796	66,586	994	-	-	-	-	-	
10	30	219	13	6,571	168	33,860	874	-	-	-	-	-	
11	30	60	41	1,800	174	33,783	847	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

	Authoriz	ing Official		Inter	cept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
MINNESOTA			-	71			())		()
1	DAVIS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/16/2005	30	-	30
2	DAVIS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/2005	30	-	30
3	ROSENBAUM	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2005	30	-	30
4	DOTY	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2005	30	1	60
5	ROSENBAUM	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/24/2005	30	-	30
6	DOTY	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2005	30	-	30
7	DOTY	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2005	30	-	30
IISSISSIPPI, NOF	RTHERN								
1	DAVIS	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2005	30	-	30
IISSISSIPPI, SOL	JTHERN								
1	GUIROLA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/19/2005	30	1	60
ISSOURI, EAST	ERN								
1	LIMBAUGH	KEENEY	ROBBERY	WS,WC	H,D	12/20/2004	30	1	60
2	LIMBAUGH	KEENEY	ROBBERY	WC	D	12/23/2004	30	1	60
3	LIMBAUGH	KEENEY	ROBBERY	WC	D	01/06/2005	30	-	30
4	PERRY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/01/2005	30	1	60
5	PERRY	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	03/07/2005	30	-	30
6	LIMBAUGH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/27/2005	30	-	30
7	WEBBER	PARSKY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/30/2005	30	-	30
8	SIPPEL	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/20/2005	30	1	60
9	LIMBAUGH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/24/2005	30	2	90
10	LIMBAUGH	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/08/2005	30	-	30
11	LIMBAUGH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/19/2005	30	1	60
12	JACKSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/19/2005	30	-	30
ISSOURI, WEST	ERN								
1	DORR	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/10/2005	30	-	30
2	LAUGHREY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	05/25/2005	30	1	60
EBRASKA									
1	KOPF	NAHMIAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/23/2004	30	4	150
2	KOPF	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	В	10/14/2004	30	5	180

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		N	umbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si In	otion uppre tercer	ss ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
MINNESOTA													
1	30	38	35	1,130	173	182,272	400	9	-	-	1	-	7
2	30	9	9	269	34	RELATED	TO NO.1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	30	16	21	494	189	32,708	8,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	1	25	64	20	57,116	8,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	25	61	747	109	32,708	8,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	10	45	307	157	19,154	8,300	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	11	53	335	99	RELATED	TO NO. 6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI, NOF	RTHERN												
1	18	147	100	2,641	1,950	18,710	11,604	37	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI, SOL	JTHERN												
1	41	20	6	800	210	46,959	8,012	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, EAST	ERN												
1	51	138	600	7,021	526	112,985	300	7	-	-	-	-	-
2	47	42	130	1,977	229	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	11	21	34	230	4	RELATED	TO NO. 11	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
4	59	40	205	2,361	348	70,776	53,652	7	-	-	3	-	-
5	30	82	65	2,466	780	7,850	5,550	11	-	-	-	-	-
6	24	42	23	1,015	62	70,778	53,653	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
7	30	95	230	2,842	220	38,373	180	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	60	217	150	13,022	1,008	8,750	5,550	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	90	140	100	12,560	850	36,600	15,000	3	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	95	50	2,858	80	12,200	5,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
11	60	159	101	9,556	1,132	115,337	320	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	10	42	7	423	74		TO NO. 11		-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, WEST													
1	28	105	134	2,943	521	36,500	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	60	361	3,579	141	120,352	11,500	4	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA						-,	,						
1	128	53	189	6,761	529	112,314	5,000	3	-	-	-	-	3
2	166	53	279	8,845	393	198,470	5,672) TO NO. 1				ũ

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authori	zing Official		Inte	rcept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
NEBRASKA (CON	ITINUED)	·	·				· · · · · ·		•
3	KOPF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	OM	В	12/16/2004	30	1	60
4	KOPF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/2005	30	1	60
NEVADA									
1	PRO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/08/2004	30	1	60
2	JONES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/07/2005	30	-	30
3	PRO	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2005	30	1	60
4	DAWSON	KEENEY	CORRUPTION	WS,WC	B,D	07/29/2005	30	3	120
5	HICKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/29/2005	30	-	30
6	MCKIBBEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2005	30	-	30
7	HUNT	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/01/2005	30	-	30
NEW HAMPSHIRE									
1	DICLERICO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2005	30	-	30
2	DICLERICO	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/10/2005	30	1	60
3	MCAULIFFE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2005	30	1	60
4	MCAULIFFE	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2005	30	-	30
NEW JERSEY									
1	WOLFSON	NAHMIAS	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/15/2004	30	6	210
2	KUGLER	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	02/16/2005	30	2	90
3	DEBEVOISE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/18/2005	30	-	30
4	HOCHBERG	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/18/2005	30	-	30
5	GREENAWAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2005	30	-	30
6	GREENAWAY	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/25/2005	30	-	30
7	MARTINI	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/06/2005	30	-	30
8	GREENAWAY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2005	30	-	30
9	PISANO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/13/2005	30	-	30
10	PISANO	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2005	30	1	60
11	PISANO	SWARTZ	FRAUD	WC	D	04/28/2005	30	1	60
12	WOLFSON	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/13/2005	30	1	60
13	HAYDEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/20/2005	30	1	60
14	BASSLER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	05/20/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	mbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si In	lotion uppre terce	ess pts ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
NEBRASKA (CON	ITINUED)												
3	51	15	1	779	5	71,130	19,050	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
4	59	76	240	4,513	313	9,500	9,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
NEVADA													
1	23	69	172	1,588	282	36,755	30,505	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	119	13	3,565	675	47,003	65	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	58	79	380	4,607	756	16,671	10,421	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	119	41	311	4,932	387	260,132	31,742	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	19	80	245	1,527	258	71,618	2,375	8	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	40	314	1,203	124	118,061	31,810	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	15	5	28	72	65	18,441	50	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	E												
1	28	43	25	1,216	229	90,000	10,000	24	-	-	-	-	-
2	40	141	25	5,635	806	125,000	10,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	46	239	73	10,979	1,134	198,615	38,609	24	-	-	-	-	-
4	15	49	42	732	99	RELATED	TO NO. 3	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
NEW JERSEY													
1	200	44	67	8,757	2,883	327,888	28,200	47	-	-	-	-	-
2	90	NR	NR	NR	NR	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	8	4	225	28	40,612	23,110	3	-	-	-	-	-
4	17	18	12	303	14	RELATED	TO NO. 3	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
5	30	44	5	1,328	50	27,000	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	24	29	27	700	405	38,980	2,500	35	-	-	-	-	-
7	8	70	25	561	16	7,212	3,500	5	-	-	-	-	-
8	20	20	3	400	80	38,980	2,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 6				
9	28	-	4	12	-	32,068	8,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	60	18	253	1,077	811	151,258	9,950	10	-	-	-	-	-
11	60	17	225	1,015	384		TO NO. 10	RELATE	D TO NO. 10)			
12	59	23	4	1,360	236	39,032	5,300	1	-	-	-	-	-
13	50	2	2	75	17	31,300	2,500		D TO NO. 6				
14	28	- 28	24	786	66	29,231	2,750	9	-	-	_	_	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authoria	zing Official		Inte	rcept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
NEW JERSEY (CO				71			()		
15	HAYDEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2005	30	1	60
16	SWARTZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/07/2005	30	1	60
17	HAYDEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2005	30	-	30
18	CAVANAUGH	BIANCO	FRAUD	WC	D	06/14/2005	30	1	60
19	CAVANAUGH	PARSKY	CIVIL RIGHTS	WS	D	06/17/2005	30	1	60
20	CAVANAUGH	WARREN	FRAUD	WC	D	06/29/2005	30	2	90
21	GREENAWAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/30/2005	30	-	30
22	HAYDEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2005	30	-	30
23	GREENAWAY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2005	30	-	30
24	CAVANAUGH	SWARTZ	CIVIL RIGHTS	WS	D	07/18/2005	30	-	30
25	MARTINI	WARREN	FRAUD	WC	D	07/29/2005	30	-	30
26	PISANO	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2005	30	1	60
27	KUGLER	KEENEY	OTHER	WC	D	08/05/2005	30	3	120
28	CAVANAUGH	WARREN	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	08/16/2005	30	1	60
29	ACKERMAN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2005	30	-	30
30	LIFLAND	SWARTZ	CIVIL RIGHTS	WC	D	08/25/2005	30	-	30
31	LIFLAND	SWARTZ	CIVIL RIGHTS	WC	D	08/26/2005	30	1	60
32	HAYDEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2005	30	-	30
33	PISANO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2005	30	-	30
34	PISANO	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/27/2005	30	-	30
35	CAVANAUGH	WARREN	CIVIL RIGHTS	WS	D	10/08/2005	30	-	30
27*	HAYDEN	SWARTZ	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	09/22/2004	30	-	30
28*	PISANO	NAHMIAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2004	30	1	60
29*	MARTINI	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	11/05/2004	30	-	30
NEW MEXICO									
1	BLACK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/22/2004	30	2	90
2	BROWNING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/03/2005	30	-	30
3	CONWAY	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2005	30	-	30
4	BROWNING	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nu	imbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si Int	otion uppre	ess ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	P	victed
NEW JERSEY (CO	ONTINUED)												
15	60	6	12	355	96	31,300	2,500		D TO NO. 6				
16	42	235	16	9,885	1,147	29,231	2,750	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	4			
17	30	7	2	205	65	31,300	2,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 6				
18	56	9	73	531	149	72,053	7,785	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	60	26	332	1,580	263	77,646	7,895	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	87	133	726	11,586	1,989	163,959	20,250	8	-	-	-	-	-
21	20	2	2	50	25	31,300	2,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 6				
22	21	75	10	1,577	309	29,231	2,750	6	-	-	-	-	-
23	30	9	3	275	98	31,300	2,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 6				
24	23	19	107	433	46	26,073	6,746	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	30	20	51	591	158	13,250	3,250	1	-	-	-	-	-
26	59	16	132	955	256	99,993	6,074	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	109	335	71	36,465	4,224	92,255	11,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	60	24	334	1,434	111	72,461	4,019	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	30	39	10	1,167	57	29,231	2,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	30	33	71	995	304	41,027	1,625	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	59	57	245	3,341	1,316	136,890	4,625	1	-	-	-	-	-
32	6	86	15	517	81	19,440	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
33	30	11	24	335	1	RELATED	TO NO. 26	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	30	-	7	13	9	RELATED	TO NO. 26	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	30	70	247	2,097	884	37,507	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
27*	29	21	79	603	172	23,880	3,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
28*	59	48	42	2,851	569	106,078	4,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
29*	26	22	57	573	195	24,817	3,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO													
1	90	123	257	11,101	1,793	22,868	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	194	89	5,805	788	9,085	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	20	197	587	96	21,546	2,200	16	-	-	-	-	-
4	22	588	611	12,937	10,072	112,764	2,200		D TO NO. 3				

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^5\,\mathrm{NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation. ** This wiretap was terminated during 2003 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authori	zing Official		Inte	rcept		Auth	orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, EAS	TERN	I							
1	SEYBERT	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/26/2004	30	4	150
2	BLOCK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/09/2004	30	-	30
3	FEUERSTEIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/22/2004	30	-	30
4	FEUERSTEIN	BIANCO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	12/28/2004	30	-	30
5	BLOCK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/12/2005	30	2	90
6	DEARIE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/20/2005	30	1	60
7	BLOCK	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2005	30	1	60
8	TOWNES	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/10/2005	30	1	60
9	JOHNSON	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/04/2005	30	-	30
10	GERSHON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/08/2005	30	-	30
11	TRAGER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2005	30	3	120
12	BLOCK	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2005	30	-	30
13	JOHNSON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/14/2005	30	-	30
14	SIFTON	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	04/19/2005	30	1	60
15	GARAUFIS	BIANCO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	04/20/2005	30	1	60
16	JOHNSON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/29/2005	30	-	30
17	GLEESON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/20/2005	30	2	90
18	WEINSTEIN	BIANCO	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	05/26/2005	30	2	90
19	TRAGER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2005	30	-	30
20	GLEESON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,EO	D	06/07/2005	30	1	60
21	TRAGER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/08/2005	30	-	30
22	JOHNSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/20/2005	30	-	30
23	SEYBERT	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/19/2005	30	-	30
24	REDACTED								
25	GLEESON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/25/2005	30	-	30
26	GARAUFIS	BIANCO	MURDER	WC	D	08/15/2005	30	1	60
27	GARAUFIS	KEENEY	\$LAUNDERING	WC	D	08/18/2005	30	1	60
28	GARAUFIS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/19/2005	30	-	30
29	AMON	KEENEY	MURDER	WC	D	10/07/2005	30	1	60
30	GLASSER	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/24/2005	30	1	60

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	imbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			S	lotion uppre terce	ess	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
NEW YORK, EAS	TERN												
1	150	128	151	19,251	1,799	123,116	5,750	7	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	89	270	2,657	139	14,629	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	22	24	25	528	152	5,232	-	11	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	4	10	109	2	32,866	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	83	58	75	4,848	3,720	312,917	32,931	24	-	-	-	-	-
6	59	81	89	4,763	756	54,311	1,750	12	-	-	-	-	-
7	56	48	105	2,664	1,223	RELATED	TO NO. 5	RELATE	D TO NO. 5				
8	60	170	48	10,180	1,581	56,952	3,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 6				
9	30	4	11	116	44	33,171	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	13	2	390	322	41,105	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	120	17	50	2,000	272	62,466	3,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	12	32	14	380	75	RELATED	TO NO. 5	RELATE	D TO NO. 5				
13	30	26	25	780	255	19,796	1,750	2	-	-	-	-	-
14	59	27	26	1,571	366	68,307	800	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	59	42	30	2,468	264	67,373	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	14	13	25	187	25	10,171	1,750	RELATE	D TO NO. 13	3			
17	64	99	10	6,322	134	121,464	7,638	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	90	17	1	1,509	411	157,642	13,450	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	30	23	20	679	177	15,491	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	39	161	73	6,267	1,121	19,013	4,000	18	-	-	-	-	-
21	2	80	15	161	25	5,968	4,399	4	-	-	-	-	1
22	30	32	5	947	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	30	38	57	1,133	46	35,509	500	14	-	-	-	-	-
24	REDACTED)											
25	29	169	138	4,896	836	13,779	3,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 20)			
26	54	87	73	4,715	775	64,906	6,100	5	-	-	-	-	-
27	60	106	1	6,352	409	102,026	8,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	8	55	10	437	22	5,830	2,750	RELATE	D TO NO. 20)			
29	52	127	84	6,598	727	65,020	6,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	34	148	1	5,047	94	324,040	45,500	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizi	ng Official		Inter	cept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, EAS	TERN (CONTINUED)								
29*	SPATT	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/30/2004	30	2	90
30*	DEARIE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2004	30	-	30
31*	DEARIE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/17/2004	30	-	30
32*	ROSS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/14/2004	30	-	30
33*	GARAUFIS	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	11/02/2004	30	1	60
34*	GERSHON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/04/2004	30	1	60
35*	ROSS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/10/2004	30	-	30
NEW YORK, NOR	THERN								
1	SCULLIN	NAHMIAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/05/2004	30	2	90
2	MUNSON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/12/2005	30	-	30
3	KAHN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/20/2005	30	-	30
4	KAHN	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2005	30	1	60
5	SHARPE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/26/2005	30	-	30
6	SHARPE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/31/2005	30	1	60
7	HURD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2005	30	1	60
8	MCAVOY	SWARTZ	COERCION	WC	D	07/28/2005	30	1	60
9	SHARPE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/22/2005	30	-	30
NEW YORK, SOU	THERN								
1	KEENAN	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	OM	R	02/05/2004	30	13	420
2	OWEN	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/22/2004	30	9	300
3	OWEN	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	09/22/2004	30	3	120
4	WOOD	NAHMIAS	FIREARMS	WC	D	10/20/2004	30	4	150
5	SWEET	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC,OM	D,B	10/28/2004	30	6	210
6	CASTEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/07/2005	30	-	30
7	PRESKA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/11/2005	30	-	30
8	PRESKA	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/14/2005	30	-	30
9	OWEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/09/2005	30	1	60
10	OWEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/11/2005	30	-	30
11	OWEN	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2005	30	-	30
12	PATTERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		N	umbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			S	otion uppre	ss	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
NEW YORK, EAS	TERN (CON	FINUED)											
29*	84	370	239	31,083	3,551	119,012	3,500	25	-	-	-	-	-
30*	18	32	5	581	67	6,929	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31*	30	3	60	92	15	6,226	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
32*	15	21	32	320	101	9,001	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
33*	57	189	192	10,764	409	63,292	1,750	3	-	-	-	-	3
34*	42	14	480	600	50	6,023	500	-	-	-	-	-	-
35*	8	1	1	5	-	5,851	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, NOR	THERN												
1	90	212	230	19,090	5,557	33,918	6,000	11	-	-	-	-	-
2	22	52	35	1,150	328	11,306	2,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	30	10	19	298	49	46,277	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	34	40	28	1,370	182	50,516	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	40	30	1,200	560	18,664	-	10	-	-	-	-	-
6	36	10	15	360	85	22,397	-	RELATE	D TO NO. 5				
7	60	85	72	5,114	902	93,934	9,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	44	88	339	3,863	10	78,569	5,593	1	-	-	-	-	-
9	22	250	100	5,500	4,400	59,671	10,951	15	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, SOU	THERN												
1	222	8	65	1,874	1,311	129,269	21,500	34	-	-	-	-	-
2	287	180	1,190	51,712	6,151	440,038	12,500	51	-	-	1	-	7
3	120	67	365	8,086	1,042	288,331	14,000	27	-	-	-	-	-
4	148	35	20	5,195	800	211,700	11,828	20	-	-	-	-	2
5	210	36	35	7,500	500	348,452	8,000	20	-	-	-	-	-
6	NI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	65	30	1,955	44	26,607	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	28	8	6	213	19	15,004	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	52	32	30	1,664	625	23,555	1,750	5	-	-	-	-	-
10	30	30	38	915	492	31,654	1,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	19	3	5	66	6	17,279	2,600	2	-	-	-	-	-
12	13	49	34	637	19	25,167	1,775	2	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^5\,\mathrm{NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation. ** This wiretap was terminated during 2003 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519**

	Authoriz	ing Official		Inte	rcept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, SOU	THERN (CONTINUED))							
13	PATTERSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2005	30	1	60
14	CHIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/18/2005	30	3	120
15	LYNCH	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/25/2005	30	1	60
16	LYNCH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2005	30	2	90
17	OWEN	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	04/07/2005	30	3	120
18	OWEN	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	04/07/2005	30	3	120
19	OWEN	WARREN	RACKETEERING	WC	D	04/07/2005	30	2	90
20	HELLERSTEIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2005	30	-	30
21	CHIN	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/2005	30	-	30
22	CHIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2005	30	-	30
23	GRIESE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2005	30	-	30
24	GRIESE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2005	30	2	90
25	BAER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2005	30	-	30
26	BAER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2005	30	-	30
27	COTE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/31/2005	30	2	90
28	COTE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2005	30	-	30
29	COTE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/09/2005	30	-	30
30	COTE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/10/2005	30	1	60
31	CHIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,ED	D	06/10/2005	30	-	30
32	RAKOFF	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/13/2005	30	1	60
33	MCKENNA	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/16/2005	30	-	30
34	RAKOFF	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2005	30	-	30
35	BERMAN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/07/2005	30	1	60
36	SWAIN	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/08/2005	30	3	120
37	MCKENNA	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/11/2005	30	-	30
38	DANIELS	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/14/2005	30	-	30
39	ROBINSON	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2005	30	-	30
40	ROBINSON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/01/2005	30	1	60
41	KARAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/10/2005	30	-	30
42	STEIN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/31/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral), ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

			Number of ⁵ Costs			osts		Nu	ımbe	r of			
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			S In	lotion uppre tercep	ss ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	P	victed
NEW YORK, SOU	THERN (CO	-											
13	46	38	27	1,769	137	48,604	3,000	16	-	-	-	-	-
14	113	129	842	14,540	4,580	185,139	8,600	2	-	-	-	-	-
15	60	10	30	597	196	67,159	3,260	4	-	-	-	-	-
16	90	106	11	9,506	7,812	118,974	6,650	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	106	71	55	7,500	3,000	99,151	37,100	28	-	-	-	-	-
18	120	92	351	11,036	691	185,074	10,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
19	90	59	348	5,351	127	158,216	10,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 3				
20	10	6	5	60	38	7,720	2,600	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	5			
21	28	10	48	282	9	4,433	3,150	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	5	22	20	108	90	8,744	2,600	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	5			
23	16	29	17	468	45	11,626	2,750	1	-	-	-	-	-
24	90	201	22	18,072	2,350	52,939	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	30	134	38	4,012	793	19,301	4,000	9	-	-	-	-	-
26	11	-	2	1	NR	5,767	2,750	4	-	-	-	-	-
27	90	111	36	10,019	513	30,047	3,000	1	-	-	-	-	-
28	30	32	5	952	30	35,442	10,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
29	14	51	33	709	92	8,700	2,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	5			
30	60	6	8	363	79	47,049	2,450	9	-	-	-	-	-
31	30	20	20	598	365	33,320	2,600	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	5			
32	38	50	10	1,910	256	86,967	6,394	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	13	33	12	429	32	9,358	2,750	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	3			
34	17	31	52	521	65	11,850	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	60	67	30	4,023	437	32,133	3,550	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	7			
36	105	47	66	4,947	892	167,354	9,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 3	0			
37	30	83	31	2,497	423	5,749	2,750	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	3			
38	30	13	62	391	101	15,849	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	10	7	11	70	5	17,840	2,900	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	60	40	121	2,396	273	29,339	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	30	24	27	723	33	27,581	2,750	RELATE	D TO NO. 2	7			
42	18	82	22	1,479	192	10,988	1,000	22	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

			Intercept			1			
	Authoriz	zing Official		Inte	ercept	-	Auth Orig-	orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	inal Order (Days)	ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
NEW YORK, SOU	THERN (CONTINUED)		·	·				
43	SWAIN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/02/2005	30	2	90
44	MCMAHON	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/06/2005	30	-	30
45	MUKASEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2005	30	-	30
46	KOELTL	SWARTZ	GAMBLING	WS,WC	H,D	10/04/2005	30	1	60
47	JONES	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	10/12/2005	30	-	30
115*	JONES	WRAY	RACKETEERING	OM	В	09/03/2003	30	14	450
116*	CEDARBAUM	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/26/2004	30	-	30
117*	HELLERSTEIN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/11/2004	30	-	30
118*	SWEET	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2004	30	-	30
119*	MUKASEY	NAHMIAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/02/2004	30	-	30
120*	BUCHWALD	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/01/2004	30	-	30
66**	SWEET	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	04/09/2002	30	1	60
67**	BATTS	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	06/19/2002	30	-	30
67**	MUKASEY	KEENEY	CONSPIRACY	OM	0	05/08/2003	30	-	30
NEW YORK, WES	TERN								
1	SKRETNY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/22/2004	30	3	120
2	SKRETNY	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/22/2005	30	1	60
3	SIRAGUSA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/02/2005	30	-	30
4	SKRETNY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/05/2005	30	-	30
5	SKRETNY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/27/2005	30	-	30
6	SKRETNY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/29/2005	30	1	60
7	SKRETNY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2005	30	-	30
8	SKRETNY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/25/2005	30	1	60
17*	SKRETNY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/19/2004	30	-	30
NORTH CAROLIN	IA, MIDDLE								
1	TILLEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/24/2005	30	-	30
2	TILLEY	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/23/2005	30	-	30
3	TILLEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2005	30	2	90

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				ata .	1	14							
	Number	Average		Number of ⁵			Other		Nu	umbe M	r of lotion	is to	
	of Days	Inter-	Persons		Incrim-	Total	Than			S	uppre	ess	Persons
A.O. Number	in Oper- ation⁴	cepts per Day	Inter- cepted	Intercepts	inating Intercepts	Cost in \$	Manpower in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	terce D	pts⁰ P	Con- victed
NEW YORK, SOU	1	1								-	1	I	
43	90	25	127	2,293	104	44,260	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
44	30	111	100	3,322	221	51,613	7,850	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	19	1	4,411	22	209	25,086	4,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 4	2			
46	59	212	159	12,533	450	65,994	2,484	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	30	33	25	1,000	150	37,047	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
115*	450	1	35	413	399	1,163,080	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
116*	30	5	1	155	44	18,660	2,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
117*	17	62	10	1,047	252	2,600	2,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
118*	27	3	6	73	NR	17,350	10,400	1	-	-	-	-	-
119*	29	162	70	4,711	708	17,011	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
120*	8	43	42	341	73	5,218	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
66**	60	37	58	2,226	241	59,595	8,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
67**	30	14	27	419	44	50,010	870	-	-	-	-	-	-
67**	1	1	4	1	1	3,131	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, WES	TERN												
1	90	22	40	2,010	598	431,160	11,475	25	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	60	177	3,598	259	73,300	7,300	20	-	-	-	-	-
3	22	23	10	514	15	23,568	1,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	22	155	14	3,411	362	20,509	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	10	32	293	64	RELATED	TO NO. 2	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
6	60	111	40	6,664	678	60,060	4,900	18	-	-	-	-	-
7	10	24	7	244	1	5,142	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	60	95	36	5,672	781	153,138	3,450	-	-	-	-	-	-
17*	30	8	7	241	22	101,391	3,875	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
NORTH CAROLIN	IA, MIDDLE												
1	16	38	25	615	204	12,337	8,704	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	21	25	623	24	23,586	16,320	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	82	17	20	1,392	426	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizin	g Official		Intere	cept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
NORTH CAROLIN	IA, WESTERN				1				
1	MULLEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	EE	Н	01/03/2005	30	-	30
2	MULLEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/18/2005	30	-	30
3	MULLEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2005	30	1	60
4	MULLEN	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2005	30	-	30
5	VOORHEES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/02/2005	30	-	30
6	CONRAD	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/2005	30	-	30
7	CONRAD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/17/2005	30	-	30
OHIO, NORTHERI	N								
1	KATZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC,OM	D,B	10/04/2004	30	3	120
2	KATZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/29/2004	30	-	30
3	KATZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/13/2005	30	-	30
4	KATZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/23/2005	30	-	30
5	KATZ	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/25/2005	30	-	30
6	KATZ	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2005	30	-	30
14*	SOLOMON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/02/2004	30	-	30
15*	OLIVER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/16/2004	30	2	90
16*	OLIVER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/30/2004	30	1	60
17*	NUGENT	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/05/2004	30	1	60
18*	ADAMS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2004	30	-	30
19*	WELLS	NAHMIAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/15/2004	30	-	30
OHIO, SOUTHERI	N								
1	FROST	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2005	30	2	90
2	WATSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2005	30	-	30
3	FROST	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/2005	30	-	30
4	BECKWITH	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/23/2005	30	1	60
5	BECKWITH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2005	30	-	30
OKLAHOMA, EAS	STERN								
1	WHITE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				PUR Number of ⁵	osts		Ni	umbe	r of				
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			M Si In	lotion uppre terce	ess ots ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
NORTH CAROLIN	IA, WESTER	RN .											
1	29	166	36	4,819	3,216	23,616	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	29	69	17	2,011	207	27,867	1,625	23	-	-	-	-	-
3	51	120	25	6,110	1,329	51,770	1,870	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
4	24	80	12	1,920	612	12,388	2,175	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
5	19	94	168	1,780	198	64,201	9,026	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	28	31	834	118	25,840	8,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	10	1	3	11	-	19,000	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO, NORTHER	N												
1	111	10	506	1,158	775	134,879	4,020	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	12	68	363	115	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	22	16	55	355	300	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	102	53	3,073	621	62,411	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	190	53	5,715	1,574	64,408	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	15	26	23	397	63	56,630	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
14*	29	215	98	6,244	417	23,454	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
15*	90	239	239	21,506	1,101	163,266	18,844	12	-	-	-	-	-
16*	42	14	36	568	144	RELATED	TO NO. 15*	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	5*			
17*	45	31	22	1,392	416	RELATED	TO NO. 15*	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	5*			
18*	30	19	31	583	105	16,400	606	-	-	-	-	-	-
19*	9	59	17	531	39	RELATED	TO NO. 15*	RELATE	D TO NO. 1	5*			
OHIO, SOUTHERI	N												
1	90	24	58	2,163	510	202,767	12,500	14	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	60	145	1,793	152	57,381	7,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	7	48	215	100	50,693	3,250	4	-	-	-	-	-
4	50	228	101	11,422	2,545	136,940	9,500	17	-	-	-	-	10
5	15	50	22	754	148	RELATED	TO NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
OKLAHOMA, EAS	STERN												
1	30	-	198	4	50	14,371	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						.,=							

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^5\,\mathrm{NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation. ** This wiretap was terminated during 2003 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizi	ng Official		Inter	cept			orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
OKLAHOMA, WE	STERN							I	
1	LEONARD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/14/2005	30	1	60
2	LEONARD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/28/2005	30	1	60
3	CAUTHRON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2005	30	1	60
4	FRIOT	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/14/2005	30	-	30
PENNSYLVANIA,	EASTERN								
1	BAYLSON	KEENEY	INTIMIDATION	OM	0	10/26/2004	30	1	60
2	BAYLSON	KEENEY	INTIMIDATION	WS	В	02/18/2005	30	-	30
3	SAVAGE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2005	30	1	60
4	BAYLSON	KEENEY	INTIMIDATION	WC	D	04/12/2005	30	2	90
5	ROBRENO	BIANCO	RACKETEERING	WC	D	05/19/2005	30	2	90
6	SAVAGE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2005	30	1	60
7	JOYNER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/15/2005	30	1	60
8	JOYNER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/01/2005	30	-	30
9	GARDNER	KEENEY	OTHER	WS	Н	11/10/2005	25	-	25
3*	KELLY	KEENEY	INTIMIDATION	OM	0	10/13/2004	30	1	60
10**	TUCKER	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/05/2001	30	4	150
11**	VAN ANTWERPEN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/10/2001	30	2	90
12**	SCHILLER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/22/2002	30	1	60
PENNSYLVANIA,	MIDDLE								
1	VANASKIE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/28/2005	30	-	30
2	VANASKIE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/10/2005	30	-	30
3	VANASKIE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/17/2005	30	-	30
2*	VANASKIE	MALCOLM	GAMBLING	WS	Н	01/28/2004	30	-	30
4**	VANASKIE	MALCOLM	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/25/2003	30	-	30
PENNSYLVANIA,	WESTERN								
1	AMBROSE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2005	30	-	30
2	MCLAUGHLIN	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2005	30	1	60
PUERTO RICO									
1	DOMINGUEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/09/2004	30	-	30
2	FUSTE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	osts		Nu	mbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otion uppre terce	ss	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
OKLAHOMA, WE	STERN												
1	48	32	25	1,520	347	15,911	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
2	59	51	18	3,019	180	21,787	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	24	35	1,423	330	32,969	5,305	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	52	48	1,568	390	19,166	5,305	4	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA,	EASTERN												
1	60	20	5	1,182	304	93,437	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	3	1	7	3	3	4,220	160	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	106	13	6,388	296	47,626	6,000	13	-	-	-	-	-
4	90	22	39	2,003	137	82,646	3,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	82	294	150	24,085	240	290,000	20,000	8	1	-	-	-	1
6	60	49	15	2,937	264	45,126	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	37	161	31	5,958	353	153,433	8,560	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	21	185	15	3,890	168	75,788	4,280	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	25	12	22	307	35	48,500	4,000	2	-	-	-	-	-
3*	60	-	4	7	7	9,884	600	-	-	-	-	-	-
10**	150	176	81	26,463	6,349	113,219	800	17	-	-	1	-	-
11**	89	29	16	2,546	193	26,620	4,025	10	-	-	-	-	10
12**	60	35	24	2,076	783	62,971	14,647	4	-	-	-	-	4
PENNSYLVANIA,	MIDDLE												
1	10	32	12	315	56	35,600	2,000	12	-	-	-	-	-
2	19	36	12	675	43	35,100	1,500	RELATE	d to no. 1				
3	29	52	20	1,520	226	35,600	2,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
2*	9	32	22	288	55	16,293	1,344	-	-	-	-	-	-
4**	30	151	20	4,539	549	115,750	8,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA,	WESTERN												
1	6	73	25	436	150	1,835	1,835	2	-	-	-	-	-
2	32	72	99	2,313	364	61,459	3,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUERTO RICO													
1	30	68	20	2,047	352	27,891	650	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	30	3	1	86	9	14,735	1,775	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authoriz	ing Official		Inter	cept		1	orized Le	ength
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
PUERTO RICO (C	ONTINUED)						1	1	1
3	PEREZ-JIMENEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/15/2005	30	1	40
4	FUSTE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/04/2005	30	-	30
5	FUSTE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2005	30	-	30
6	FUSTE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/16/2005	30	-	30
7	REDACTED								
8	REDACTED								
9	FUSTE	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/27/2005	30	1	60
10	REDACTED								
11	REDACTED								
12	DOMINGUEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2005	30	1	60
13	DOMINGUEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/31/2005	30	-	30
RHODE ISLAND									
1	TORRES	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/12/2005	30	1	60
SOUTH CAROLIN	Α								
1	SEYMOUR	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/10/2005	30	-	30
2	SEYMOUR	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/07/2005	30	1	60
3	GREER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/04/2005	30	-	30
4	HERLONG	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/26/2005	30	1	60
5	FLOYD	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/15/2005	30	-	30
6	SEYMOUR	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/30/2005	30	1	60
7	BLATT	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/28/2005	30	-	30
TENNESSEE, EAS	STERN								
1	VARLAN	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2005	30	-	30
2	GREER	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/02/2005	30	1	60
3	GREER	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/06/2005	30	-	30
TENNESSEE, MID	DLE								
1	CAMPBELL	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/08/2005	30	-	30
2	CAMPBELL	WARREN	CONSPIRACY	OM	0	05/27/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nu	ımbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			M Si Int	lotion uppre tercer	ss pts ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
PUERTO RICO (C	ONTINUED)												
3	40	19	25	773	120	134,755	10,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	21	175	25	3,668	19	RELATED	TO NO. 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	16	6	7	96	26	8,687	1,775	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	24	72	721	36	40,896	28,786	18	-	-	-	-	18
7	REDACTED												
8	REDACTED												
9	48	87	37	4,170	211	31,918	3,550	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	REDACTED												
11	REDACTED												
12	48	79	144	3,798	493	102,245	2,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	17	22	34	378	126	RELATED	TO NO. 12	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND													
1	48	130	89	6,236	696	38,934	1,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLIN	A												
1	30	103	130	3,082	1,086	212,132	43,900	49	-	-	-	-	32
2	60	427	136	25,634	4,797	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	30	34	46	1,033	299	51,893	-	3	-	-	-	-	2
4	36	154	25	5,539	242	5,000	-	18	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	65	176	1,945	329	39,242	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	60	108	425	6,467	580	69,525	11,250	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	30	44	43	1,323	577	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, EAS	STERN												
1	28	93	140	2,610	399	35,798	1,750	3	-	-	-	-	-
2	57	105	80	6,000	1,026	122,636	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	20	80	80	1,600	92	2,100	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, MID	DLE												
1	19	49	50	934	1,177	24,120	9,120	2	-	-	-	-	-
2	2	NR	6	NR	NR	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authori	zing Official		Inter	rcept		Auth	orized Le	ngth
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
TENNESSEE, WE				.,,,,,			()-)		()-)
1	BREEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2004	30	1	60
2	MCCALLA	KEENEY	BRIBERY	WC,OM	D,B	01/11/2005	30	1	60
3	MAYS	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/18/2005	30	-	30
4	MAYS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/25/2005	30	1	60
5	MAYS	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2005	30	-	30
6	MAYS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/01/2005	30	2	90
7	MCCALLA	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/16/2005	30	-	30
1*	MAYS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2004	30	-	30
TEXAS, EASTERN	N								
1	HEARTFIELD	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/02/2005	30	-	30
TEXAS, NORTHE	RN								
1	LYNN	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	11/10/2004	30	5	180
2	LYNN	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/16/2004	30	2	90
3	GODBEY	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	12/10/2004	30	2	90
4	KINKEADE	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	02/07/2005	30	-	30
5	KINKEADE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/24/2005	30	1	60
6	FISH	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	WC	D	03/17/2005	30	-	30
7	SANDERS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/05/2005	30	2	90
8	SANDERS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/30/2005	30	-	30
9	BUCHMEYER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2005	30	1	60
10	FISH	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/18/2005	30	-	30
11	BUCHMEYER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/12/2005	30	-	30
12	BUCHMEYER	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/17/2005	30	1	60
TEXAS, SOUTHEI	RN								
1	HANEN	BIANCO	SMUGGLING	WC	D	01/11/2005	30	-	30
2	HANEN	BIANCO	SMUGGLING	WC	D	01/18/2005	30	-	30
3	HINOJOSA	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/28/2005	30	-	30
4	ATLAS	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/10/2005	30	1	60
5	WERLEIN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/30/2005	30	-	30
6	ALVAREZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/11/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

		Number of 5 Costs							Nu	ımbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			S	otion uppre	ess pts ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
TENNESSEE, WE	STERN												
1	55	36	60	1,980	1,121	70,033	2,000	34	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	114	182	6,869	827	92,260	13,578	7	-	-	-	-	3
3	30	84	21	2,515	271	53,035	1,050	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	60	124	34	7,452	1,432	48,280	2,200	10	-	-	-	-	-
5	22	96	34	2,110	239	9,548	1,100	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
6	66	119	41	7,882	1,357	54,306	2,850	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
7	30	37	10	1,118	44	RELATED	TO NO. 4	RELATE	D TO NO. 4				
1*	21	52	17	1,093	164	145,348	2,100	4	3	-	1	-	4
TEXAS, EASTER	1												
1	30	214	222	6,429	400	91,000	6,000	9	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, NORTHE	RN												
1	169	252	1,080	42,628	7,053	442,111	42,000	5	-	-	-	-	-
2	90	48	25	4,282	740	102,000	4,000	11	-	-	-	-	-
3	51	39	7	2,000	400	31,000	2,500	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	62	66	1,872	829	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
5	60	39	25	2,362	175	72,924	4,000	11	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	61	81	1,825	657	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
7	72	37	10	2,664	741	35,600	5,000	19	-	-	-	-	-
8	11	51	5	557	250	10,500	5,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 7				
9	60	99	197	5,911	429	26,290	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	6	87	7	520	50	10,000	2,500	RELATE	D TO NO. 7				
11	30	113	220	3,377	260	17,831	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	54	92	266	4,948	587	32,836	3,400	1	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, SOUTHEI	RN												
1	16	95	59	1,527	363	328,885	13,500	5	-	-	-	-	-
2	9	1	NR	13	NR	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	-	3	8	6	50,037	28,730	2	-	-	-	-	-
4	41	332	90	13,630	1,301	89,611	6,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	124	45	3,710	435	76,104	9,450	6	-	-	-	-	-
6	30	20	41	613	21	127,884	28,836	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 ${}^{\scriptscriptstyle 5}\text{NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authoriz	zing Official		rcept		Authorized Length			
A.O. Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Date of Application	Orig- inal Order (Days)	Num- ber of Exten- sions	Total Length (Days)
TEXAS, SOUTHEI	RN (CONTINUED)								
7	HUGHES	SWARTZ	RACKETEERING	OM	0	05/16/2005	30	-	30
8	CRANE	RICHTER	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/20/2005	30	1	60
9	GILMORE	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/24/2005	30	2	90
10	KAZEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2005	30	1	60
11	ALVAREZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2005	30	1	60
12	KAZEN	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/05/2005	30	-	30
13	ELLISON	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/03/2005	30	1	60
14	CRANE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/11/2005	30	-	30
15	KAZEN	BIANCO	EXTORTION	WC	D	09/09/2005	30	1	60
16	ELLISON	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/13/2005	30	-	30
17	HUGHES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/03/2005	30	-	30
18	KAZEN	WARREN	EXTORTION	WC	D	11/22/2005	30	-	30
1*	HINOJOSA	KEENEY	RACKETEERING	WC	D	07/23/2004	30	1	60
2*	GILMORE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/03/2004	30	-	30
3*	CRANE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/19/2004	30	-	30
TEXAS, WESTER	N								
1	YEAKEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/08/2005	30	-	30
2	MARTINEZ	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/24/2005	30	5	168
3	LUDLUM	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2005	30	-	30
4	MARTINEZ	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/11/2005	30	-	30
5	BRIONES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2005	30	1	60
6	RODRIGUEZ	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/27/2005	30	-	30
7	CARDONE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2005	30	1	60
8	CARDONE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	05/13/2005	30	-	30
9	CARDONE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/15/2005	30	-	30
10	CARDONE	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/22/2005	30	-	30
39*	CARDONE	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/16/2004	30	-	30
40*	CARDONE	WARREN	NARCOTICS	WC	D	07/20/2004	30	-	30
41*	MARTINEZ	NAHMIAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2004	30	1	60
42*	MARTINEZ	NAHMIAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/09/2004	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

			Number of ⁵			Co	osts		Number of						
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Si	otion uppre tercer	SS	Persons Con-		
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed		
TEXAS, SOUTHE	RN (CONTIN	UED)													
7	1	1	19	1	1	42,835	34,489	-	-	-	-	-	-		
8	60	23	63	1,376	493	100,597	19,600	4	-	-	-	-	-		
9	90	77	54	6,967	481	70,492	339	-	-	-	-	-	-		
10	60	51	52	3,084	651	RELATED	TO NO. 1	-	-	-	-	-	-		
11	54	129	49	6,958	1,672	198,720	41,620	-	-	-	-	-	-		
12	30	72	47	2,175	237	RELATED	TO NO. 11	-	-	-	-	-	-		
13	60	77	212	4,636	590	129,529	79,203	-	-	-	-	-	-		
14	30	114	NR	3,410	363	68,500	3,500	-	-	-	-	-	-		
15	60	135	112	8,109	617	266,524	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
16	10	33	9	331	11	RELATED	TO NO. 13	-	-	-	-	-	-		
17	17	136	43	2,314	526	RELATED	TO NO. 13	-	-	-	-	-	-		
18	30	228	85	6,832	17	136,730	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
1*	60	99	45	5,913	937	56,752	4,595	-	-	-	-	-	-		
2*	10	131	14	1,308	143	39,070	8,368	21	-	-	-	-	-		
3*	19	20	55	379	83	47,345	28,640	2	-	-	-	-	-		
TEXAS, WESTER	N														
1	30	39	48	1,157	817	70,230	5,000	9	-	-	-	-	-		
2	168	10	388	1,613	3,263	155,156	24,775	-	-	-	-	-	-		
3	30	8	20	245	45	60,269	11,660	-	-	-	-	-	-		
4	14	-	-	-	-	11,213	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-		
5	53	46	142	2,464	148	179,676	77,000	4	-	-	-	-	-		
6	30	15	15	459	154	35,845	10,789	-	-	-	-	-	-		
7	60	24	68	1,443	264	44,493	800	-	-	-	-	-	-		
8	30	12	1	348	1	14,939	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-		
9	21	351	53	7,361	514	46,965	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-		
10	24	61	18	1,468	150	17,039	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-		
39*	19	18	23	351	42	78,628	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
40*	30	21	44	617	112	32,720	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-		
41*	60	92	48	5,521	283	53,604	3,500	1	-	-	-	-	-		
42*	30	10	14	312	37	26,200	3,000	1	-	-	-	-	-		

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

⁶ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authoriz	ing Official		Inter		Authorized Length			
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	Orig- inal Order	Num- ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
TEXAS, WESTER	N (CONTINUED)			·					
43*	SPARKS	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/01/2004	30	-	30
44*	MARTINEZ	NAHMIAS	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/04/2004	30	-	30
45*	HUDSPETH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	10/22/2004	30	-	30
46*	YEAKEL	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	11/01/2004	30	-	30
UTAH									
1	BENSON	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/18/2005	30	-	30
VIRGIN ISLANDS									
1	FINCH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC,EO	D	08/24/2005	30	2	90
2	FINCH	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	09/22/2005	30	1	60
VIRGINIA, EASTE	RN								
1	SMITH	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/07/2005	30	1	60
2	KELLEY	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	03/28/2005	30	-	30
3	JACKSON	BIANCO	SMUGGLING	WC	D	03/31/2005	30	1	60
4	FRIEDMAN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/15/2005	30	-	30
5	ELLIS	KEENEY	BRIBERY	WC	D	06/08/2005	30	1	60
6	SMITH	PARSKY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/17/2005	30	-	30
WASHINGTON, W	ESTERN								
1	COUGHENOUR	WARREN	FIREARMS	WC	D	03/21/2005	30	1	60
2	COUGHENOUR	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	03/28/2005	30	1	60
3	COUGHENOUR	KEENEY	FIREARMS	WS	Н	04/15/2005	30	-	30
4	COUGHENOUR	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/21/2005	30	-	30
5	COUGHENOUR	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/23/2005	30	-	30
WEST VIRGINIA,	NORTHERN								
1	KEELEY	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WS	H,O	05/04/2005	30	1	60
WEST VIRGINIA,	SOUTHERN								
1	FABER	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/26/2005	30	1	60

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

			Number of 5 Costs				Number of						
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			M Su Int	otion uppre	ess pts ⁶	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation ⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Ρ	victed
TEXAS, WESTER	N (CONTINU	JED)											
43*	30	10	10	300	19	20,440	2,200	-	-	-	-	-	-
44*	30	82	59	2,450	211	35,640	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
45*	9	6	7	53	11	6,472	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
46*	30	60	86	1,805	596	35,721	1,245	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH													
1	10	200	18	2,002	283	15,405	7,600	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGIN ISLANDS													
1	82	140	100	11,447	920	232,500	4,500	25	-	-	-	-	-
2	52	87	100	4,506	583	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
VIRGINIA, EASTE	RN												
1	60	119	51	7,162	697	160,549	9,250	23	-	-	1	-	3
2	21	94	22	1,974	309	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	58	121	59	7,034	25	56,385	6,000	3	-	-	-	-	3
4	30	47	23	1,412	532	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
5	59	37	186	2,179	418	13,863	3,650	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	16	128	28	2,040	440	18,723	3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON, W	/ESTERN												
1	47	64	45	3,001	235	250,556	98,078	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	54	70	274	3,805	584	82,801	4,000	4	-	-	-	-	-
3	30	65	27	1,962	45	125,278	49,039	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	30	52	106	1,573	216	47,778	4,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 2				
5	28	66	142	1,850	500	39,712	3,000	26	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA,	NORTHERN												
1	60	96	114	5,743	271	155,097	4,441	7	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA,	SOUTHERN												
1	59	47	26	2,771	503	49,561	23,358	-	-	-	-	-	-

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

⁵NR indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 6 Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

* This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

REPORT BY JUDGES OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

	Authorizing	g Official		Interc	cept		Auth	orized Le	ngth
		Attorney	Offense			Date of	Orig- inal Order	Num- ber of Exten-	Total Length
A.O. Number	Judge	General ¹	Specified	Type ²	Location ³	Application	(Days)	sions	(Days)
WISCONSIN, EAS	TERN								
1	ADELMAN	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	01/25/2005	30	1	60
2	ADELMAN	BIANCO	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/14/2005	30	-	30
3	RANDA	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/25/2005	30	-	30
4	CLEVERT	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	08/17/2005	30	1	60
5	CLEVERT	SWARTZ	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	08/29/2005	30	-	30
6	CLEVERT	WARREN	CONSPIRACY	WC	D	10/06/2005	30	1	60
WYOMING									
1	DOWNES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	02/10/2005	30	-	30
2	DOWNES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS	Н	03/09/2005	30	1	60
3	DOWNES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WS,WC	H,D	03/17/2005	30	3	120
4	DOWNES	KEENEY	NARCOTICS	WC	D	04/12/2005	30	1	60
5	DOWNES	SWARTZ	NARCOTICS	WC	D	06/03/2005	30	-	30

¹The attorney general or designee authorized the filing of the reported applications under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 2516.

² Type: WS = Standard Telephone (Wire), WC = Cellular or Mobile Telephone (Wire), WO = Other (Wire), OM = Microphone (Oral), OO = Other (Oral),

ED = Digital Pager (Electronic), EE = Computer or E-Mail (Electronic), EF = Fax Machine (Electronic), EO = Other (Electronic).

³ Location: H = Personal Residence, B = Business, A = Public Area, D = Portable Device, O = Other Location, R = Roving (Relaxed Specification Order), N = Not Specified.

REPORT BY PROSECUTORS OF COURT-AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE, ORAL, OR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 2519

				Number of 5		Co	sts		Nu	imbe	r of		
	Number of Days in Oper-	Average Inter- cepts	Persons Inter-		Incrim- inating	Total Cost	Other Than Manpower			Sı	otion uppre tercer	SS	Persons Con-
A.O. Number	ation⁴	per Day	cepted	Intercepts	Intercepts	in \$	in \$	Arrests	Trials	G	D	Р	victed
WISCONSIN, EAS	TERN												
1	50	178	250	8,875	834	184,500	15,000	27	-	-	-	-	27
2	30	51	100	1,522	76	94,000	10,000	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
3	21	48	15	1,002	556	136,100	18,500	13	-	-	-	-	-
4	59	44	510	2,581	211	145,404	109,532	16	-	-	-	-	-
5	30	283	50	8,482	333	9,760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	56	187	93	10,495	362	6,796	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING													
1	30	25	10	749	43	426,507	37,508	6	-	-	-	-	-
2	60	154	70	9,264	1,322	RELATED	ELATED TO NO. 1 RELAT		D TO NO. 1				
3	120	50	77	5,943	710	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				
4	48	44	22	2,132	308	RELATED TO NO. 1 RELA		RELATE	ATED TO NO. 1				
5	30	5	5	152	12	RELATED	TO NO. 1	RELATE	D TO NO. 1				

⁴NI indicates never installed. I indicates installed but never used.

 $^{^5\,\}mathrm{NR}$ indicates not reported or could not be determined.

 $^{^{6}}$ Motions: G = Granted, D = Denied, P = Pending.

^{*} This wiretap was terminated during 2004, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.

^{**} This wiretap was terminated during 2003 or earlier, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.