AGENDA VII
Washington, D.C.
June 17-19, 1993

REPORT OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON EVIDENCE RULES

Rule 412. Admissibility of Victim's Sexual Behavior or Alleged Sexual Predisposition

- (a) Evidence Generally Inadmissible. Evidence of the following types is not admissible in any civil or criminal proceeding involving alleged sexual misconduct except as provided in subdivisions (b) and (c):
 - (1) evidence that, or offered to prove that, any alleged victim engaged in other sexual behavior; and
 - (2) evidence of, or offered to prove, any alleged victim's sexual predisposition.

(b) Exceptions.

- (1) In a criminal case, proof of the following types is admissible, if otherwise admissible under these rules:
 - (A) evidence of specific instances of sexual behavior by the alleged victim offered to prove that another person was the source of semen, injury, or other physical evidence;
 - (B) evidence of specific instances of sexual behavior by the alleged victim with respect to the person accused of the sexual misconduct offered to prove consent; and
 - (C) evidence the exclusion of which would violate the constitutional rights of the defendant.
- (2) In civil cases, evidence of, or offered to prove, sexual behavior or alleged sexual predisposition of any

2

10

11

12

15

21

22

23

alleged victim is admissible if it is otherwise admissible under these rules, and if its probative value substantially outweighs the danger of harm to any victim and of unfair prejudice to any party. Proof may be made by evidence of reputation or evidence in the form of an opinion only if reputation has been placed in controversy by the alleged victim.

(c) Procedure to Determine Admissibility.

A party offering evidence under subdivision (b) of this rule must make a motion for admission, specifically describing the evidence and stating the purposes for which it is offered. The motion must be served upon the alleged victim and the parties and must be filed no later than 14 days before trial unless the court directs an earlier filing or, permits a later filing, including during trial, for good cause shown. The motion, all related papers, and the record of any hearing must be and remain under seal unless otherwise ordered by the court. Before admitting such evidence, the court must hold a hearing in camera and afford the alleged victim as well as the parties the right to be present and an opportunity to be heard.

THITE ISSO ITTEE FRUIT

- (a) Evidence Generally Inadmissible. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a criminal case in which a person is accused of an offense under chapter 109A of title 18, United States Code, reputation or opinion evidence of the past sexual behavior of an alleged victim of such offense is not admissible. Evidence of the following types is not admissible in any civil or criminal proceeding involving alleged sexual misconduct except as provided in subdivisions (b) and (c):
- (1) evidence that, or offered to prove that, any alleged victim engaged in other sexual behavior; and
- (2) evidence of, or offered to prove, any alleged victim's sexual predisposition.
- (b) Exceptions. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a criminal case in which a person is accused of an offense under chapter 109% of title 18, United States Code, evidence of a victim's pact conval behavior other than reputation or opinion evidence is also not admissible, unless such evidence other than reputation or opinion evidence is
- (1) In a criminal case, proof of the following types is admissible, if otherwise admissible under these rules:
- (1) admitted in accordance with subdivisions (c) (1) and (c) (2) and is constitutionally required to be admitted; or
- (2) admitted in accordance with subdivision (c) and is

25	(A) past evidence of specific instances of sexual
26	behavior by the alleged victim with persons other than
27	the assumed, offered to prove by the accused upon the
28	issue of whether the accused was or was not, with
29	respect to the alloged victim, big and the second as
30	the source of semen, or injury+, or other physical
31	evidence: ex
32	(B) past evidence of specific instances of sexual
33	behavior by the alleged victim with respect to the
34	accused person accused of the sexual misconduct and is
35	offered by the accused upon the issue of whether the
36	alleged victim consented to the sexual behavior to with
37	respect to which such offense is alleged. to prove
38	· 1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年,1987年
	consent; and
39	(C) evidence the exclusion of which would violate
40	the constitutional rights of the defendant.
41	(2) In civil cases, evidence of, or offered to prove, sexual
42	behavior or alleged sexual predisposition of any alleged victim
43	is admissible if it is otherwise admissible under these rules,
44	and if its probative value substantially outweighs the danger of
45	harm to any victim and of unfair prejudice to any party. Proof
46	may be made by evidence of reputation or evidence in the form of
47	an opinion only if reputation has been placed in controversy by
48	the alleged victim.
49	(c) Procedure to Determine Admissibility.
50	(0)(1) If the person accused of committing an offense under
	May 24, 1993

chapter 109A of title 18, United States Code intends to offer under subdivision (b) evidence of specific instances of the alleged victim's past sexual behavior, the accused shall make a written metion to offer such evidence not later than fifteen days before the date on which the trial in which such evidence is to be offered in scheduled to begin, except that the court may allow the metion to be made at a later date, including during trial, if the court determines either that the evidence is newly discovered and could not have been obtained earlier through the exercise of due diligence or that the issue to which such evidence relates has newly arisen in the case. Any motion made under this paragraph shall be served on all other parties and on the alleged viotim.

(2) The motion described in paragraph (1) shall be accompanied by a written offer of proof. If the court determines that the offer of proof centains evidence described in subdivision (b), the court shall order a hearing in chambers to determine if such evidence is admissible. At such hearing the parties may call witnesses, including the alleged victim, and offer relevant evidence. Notwithstanding subdivision (b) of rule 104, if the relevancy of the evidence which the accused seeks to offer in the trial depends upon the fulfillment of a condition of fact, the court, at the hearing in chambers or at a subsequent hearing in chambers scheduled for such purpose, shall accept evidence on the issue of whether such condition of fact is fulfilled and shall determine such issue.

(3) If the sourt determines on the basis of the hearing described in paragraph (2) that the evidence which the accused seeks to offer is relevant and that the probative value of such evidence outweighs the danger of unfair prejudice, such evidence shall be admissible in the trial to the extent an order made by the court specifies evidence which may be offered and areas with respect to which the alleged victim may be examined or erose-examined.

A party offering evidence under subdivision (b) of this rule must make a motion for admission, specifically describing the evidence and stating the purposes for which it is offered. The motion must be served upon the alleged victim and the parties and must be filed no later than 14 days before trial unless the court directs an earlier filing or, permits a later filing, including during trial, for good cause shown. The motion, all related papers, and the record of any hearing must be and remain under seal unless otherwise ordered by the court. Before admitting such evidence, the court must hold a hearing in camera and afford the alleged victim as well as the parties the right to be present and an opportunity to be heard.

(d) For purposes of this rule, the term "past sexual behavior" means sexual behavior other than the sexual behavior with respect to which an offense under chapter 109A of title 18, United States Code is alleged.

. 1

COMMITTEE NOTE

Rule 412 has been revised to diminish some of the confusion engendered by the original rule and to expand the protection afforded alleged victims of sexual misconduct. Rule 412 applies to both civil and criminal proceedings. The rule aims to safeguard the alleged victim against the invasion of privacy, potential embarrassment and sexual stereotyping that is associated with public disclosure of intimate sexual details and the infusion of sexual innuendo into the factfinding process. By affording victims protection in most instances, the rule also encourages victims of sexual misconduct to institute and to participate in legal proceedings against alleged offenders.

Rule 412 seeks to achieve these objectives by barring evidence relating to the alleged victim's sexual behavior or alleged sexual predisposition, whether offered as substantive evidence or for impeachment, except in designated circumstances in which the probative value of the evidence significantly outweighs possible harm to the witness. The rule further regulates the form of proof, the inferences that may be drawn, and the procedural protections that apply when evidence is proffered pursuant to the specified exceptions.

The revised rule applies in all cases involving sexual misconduct in which there is evidence that someone was a victim, without regard to whether the alleged victim or person accused is a party to the litigation. Rule 412 extends to "pattern" witnesses in both criminal and civil cases whose testimony about

other instances of sexual misconduct by the person accused is relevant and otherwise admissible. When the case does not involve alleged sexual misconduct, evidence relating to a third-party witness' alleged sexual activities is not within the ambit of Rule 412. The witness will, however, be protected by other rules such as Rules 404 and 608, as well as Rule 403.

TO

The terminology "alleged victim" is used because there will frequently be a factual dispute as to whether sexual misconduct occurred, and not to connote any requirement that the misconduct be alleged in the pleadings. Rule 412 does not, however, apply unless the person against whom the evidence is offered can reasonably be characterized as a "victim of alleged sexual misconduct." When this is not the case, as for instance in a defamation action involving statements concerning sexual misconduct in which the evidence is offered to show that the alleged defamatory statements were true or did not damage the plaintiff's reputation, neither Rule 404 nor this Rule will operate to bar the evidence; Rules 401 and 403 will continue to control. Rule 412 will, however, apply in a Title VII action in which the plaintiff has alleged sexual harassment.

The reference to a person "accused" is also used in a non-technical sense. There is no requirement that there be a criminal charge pending against the person or even that the misconduct would constitute a criminal offense. Evidence offered to prove allegedly false prior claims by the victim can raise troublesome issues as to whether the prior claim was in fact "false" as

compared to "unsubstantiated" or "withdrawn," and whether the circumstances of the earlier charges are probative with regard to the present complaint. Because evidence of false claims does not on its face constitute evidence barred by Rule 412, and because the court will often have to determine whether the evidence proves something more than mere propensity in ruling on admissibility, these claims fall more appropriately within the framework of Rule 404 than Rule 412.

Subdivision (a). As amended, Rule 412 bars evidence of offered to prove the victim's sexual behavior and alleged sexual predisposition. Evidence, which might otherwise be admissible under Rules 402, 404(b), 405, 607, 608, 609, or some other evidence rule, must be excluded if Rrule 412 so requires. The word "other" is used to suggest some flexibility in admitting evidence "intrinsic" to the alleged sexual misconduct. Cf. Committee Note to 1991 amendment to Rule 404(b).

Past sexual behavior connotes all activities that involve actual physical conduct, i.e. sexual intercourse and sexual contact, or that imply sexual intercourse or sexual contact. See, e.g., United States v. Galloway, 937 F.2d 542 (10th Cir. 1991), cert. denied, 113 S.Ct. 418 (1992) (use of contraceptives inadmissible since use implies sexual activity); United States v. One Feather, 702 F.2d 736 (8th Cir. 1983) (birth of an illegitimate child inadmissible); State v. Carmichael, 727 P.2d 918, 925 (Kan. 1986) (evidence of venereal disease inadmissible). In addition, the word "behavior" should be construed to include

activities of the mind, such as fantasies or dreams. See Charles

A. Wright & Kenneth A. Graham, Jr., Federal Practice and

Procedure, \$5384 at p. 548 (1980) ("While there may be some doubt under statutes that require 'conduct,' it would seem that the language of Rule 412 is broad enough to encompass the behavior of the mind.").

The rule has been amended to also exclude all other evidence relating to an alleged victim of sexual misconduct that is offered to prove or to imply a sexual predisposition. This amendment is designed to exclude evidence that does not directly refer to sexual activities or thoughts but that the proponent believes may have a sexual connotation for the factfinder. Admission of such evidence would contravene Rule 412's objectives of shielding the alleged victim from potential embarrassment and safeguarding the victim against stereotypical thinking. Consequently, unless the (b)(2) exception is satisfied, evidence such as that relating to the alleged victim's mode of dress, speech, or life style will not be admissible.

The amendment eliminates the confusing introductory phrase, ("(n)otwithstanding any other provision of law"); the limitation of the rule to "a criminal case in which a person is accused of an offense under chapter 109A of title 18, United States Code;" and the absolute statement that "reputation or opinion evidence of the past sexual behavior of an alleged victim of such offense is not admissible." The Committee believes that these eliminations will promote clarity without reducing unnecessarily

_105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

the protection afforded to alleged victims.

The introductory phrase in subdivision (a) was deleted because it lacked clarity and contained no explicit reference to the other provisions of law that were intended to be overridden. The reason for extending the rule to all criminal cases is obvious. If a defendant is charged with kidnapping, and evidence is offered, either to prove motive or as background, that the defendant sexually assaulted the victim, the need to protect the victim is as great as it would be in a prosecution for sexual assault. The strong social policy of protecting a victim's privacy and encouraging victims to come forward to report criminal acts is not confined to cases that involve a charge of sexual assault. Although a court might well exclude sexual history evidence under Rule 403 in a kidnapping or similar case, the Advisory Committee believes that Rule 412 should be extended so that it explicitly covers all criminal cases in which a claim is made that a person is the victim of sexual misconduct.

The reason for extending Rule 412 to civil cases is equally obvious. The need to protect alleged victims against invasions of privacy, potential embarrassment, and unwarranted sexual stereotyping, and the wish to encourage victims to come forward when they have been sexually molested do not disappear because the context has shifted from a criminal prosecution to a claim for damages or injunctive relief. There is a strong social policy in not only punishing those who engage in sexual misconduct, but in also providing relief to the victim. Thus, Rule 412 applies

in any civil case in which a person claims to be the victim of sexual misconduct, such as actions for sexual battery or sexual harassment.

The conditional clause, *except as provided in subdivisions
(b) and (c) is intended to make clear that evidence of the types
described in subdivision (a) is admissible only under the
strictures of those sections. Subdivision (b) notes that the
exceptions only apply if the evidence is otherwise admissible
under other rules of evidence. For example, in determining
admissibility, the court must consider Rules 402 and 403, and
perhaps other Rules such as Rules 404 and 405. In addition, the
evidence must satisfy the procedural requirements for
admissibility contained in subdivision (c).

Subdivision (b). Subdivision (b) spells out the specific circumstances in which some evidence may be admissible that would otherwise be barred by the general rule expressed in subdivision (a). As amended, Rule 412 will be virtually unchanged in criminal cases, but will provide protection to any person alleged to be a victim of sexual misconduct regardless of the charge actually brought against an accused. A new exception has been added for civil cases.

In a criminal case, subdivision (b)(1) may admit evidence pursuant to three possible exceptions, provided the evidence also satisfies other requirements for admissibility specified in the Federal Rules of Evidence, including Rule 403. Subdivisions (b)(1)(A) and (b)(1)(B) require proof in the form of specific

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

-177

178

179

180

181

instances of sexual behavior in recognition of the limited probative value and dubious reliability of evidence of reputation or evidence in the form of an opinion.

Under subdivision (b)(1)(A), evidence of specific instances of sexual behavior with persons other than the person whose sexual misconduct is alleged may be admissible if it is offered to prove that another person was the source of semen, injury or other physical evidence. Where the prosecution has directly or indirectly asserted that the physical evidence originated with the accused, the defendant must be afforded an opportunity to prove that another person was responsible. See United States v. Begay, 937 F.2d 515, 523 n. 10 (10th Cir. 1991). Evidence offered for the specific purpose identified in this subdivision may still be excluded if it does not satisfy Rules 401 or 403. See, e.g., United States v. Azure, 845 F.2d 1503, 1505-06 (8th Cir. 1988) (10 year old victim's injuries indicated recent use of force; court excluded evidence of consensual sexual activities with witness who testified at in camera hearing that he had never hurt victim and failed to establish recent activities).

Under the exception in subdivision (b)(1)(B), evidence of specific instances of sexual behavior with respect to the person whose sexual misconduct is alleged is admissible if offered to prove consent. Admissible pursuant to this exception might be evidence of prior instances of sexual activities between the alleged victim and the accused, as well as statements in which the alleged victim expressed an intent to engage in sexual

intercourse with the accused, or voiced sexual fantasies involving the specific accused. Evidence relating to the victim's alleged sexual predisposition is not admissible pursuant to this exception.

Under subdivision (b)(1)(c), evidence of specific instances of conduct may not be excluded if the result would be to deny a criminal defendant the protections afforded by the Constitution. For example, statements in which the victim had expressed an intent to have sex with the first person encountered on a particular occasion might not be excluded without violating the due process right of a rape defendant seeking to prove consent. Recognition of this basic principle was expressed in subdivision (b)(1) of the original rule. The United States Supreme Court has recognized that in various circumstances a defendant may have a right to introduce evidence otherwise precluded by an evidence rule under the Confrontation Clause. See, e.g., Olden v.

Kentucky, 488 U.S. 227 (1988) (defendant in rape cases had right to inquire into alleged victim's cohabitation with another man to show bias).

Subdivision (b) (2) governs the admissibility of otherwise prescribed evidence in civil cases. It employs a balancing test rather than the specific exceptions stated in subdivision (b) (1) in recognition of the difficulty of foreseeing future developments in the law. Greater flexibility is needed to accommodate evolving causes of action such as claims for sexual harassment.

210

212

211

213

214

215

~216

້ 217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

The balancing test requires the proponent of the evidence to convince the court that the probative value of the proffered evidence "substantially outweighs the danger of harm to any victim and of unfair prejudice to any party. This test for admitting evidence offered to prove sexual behavior or sexual propensity in civil cases differs in three respects from the general rule governing admissibility set forth in Rule 403. First, it reverses the usual procedure spelled out in Rule 403 by shifting the burden to the proponent to demonstrate admissibility rather than making the opponent justify exclusion of the evidence. Second, the standard expressed in subdivision (b)(2) is more stringent; it raises the threshold for admission by requiring that the probative value of the evidence substantially outweigh the specified dangers. Finally, the Rule 412 test puts "harm to the victim" on the scale in addition to prejudice to the parties.

Reputation and character evidence may be received in a civil case only if the alleged victim has put his or her reputation into controversy. The victim may do so without making a specific allegation in a pleading. Cf. Fed.R.Civ.P. 35(a).

Subdivision (c). Amended subdivision (c) is more concise and understandable than the subdivision it replaces. The requirement of a motion before trial is continued in the amended rule, as is the provision that a late motion may be permitted for good cause shown. In deciding whether to permit late filing, the

court may take into account the conditions previously included in the rule: namely whether the evidence is newly discovered and could not have been obtained earlier through the existence of due diligence, and whether the issue to which such evidence relates has newly arisen in the case. The rule recognizes that in some instances the circumstances that justify an application to introduce evidence otherwise barred by Rule 412 will not become apparent until trial.

The amended rule requires that all papers connected with the motion and any record of a hearing on the motion be kept and remain under seal during the course of trial and appellate proceedings unless otherwise ordered. This is to assure that the privacy of the alleged victim is preserved in all cases in which the court rules that proffered evidence is not admissible, and in which the hearing refers to matters that are not received, or are received in another form.

The amended rule provides that before admitting evidence that falls within the prohibition of Rule 412(a), the court must hold a hearing in camera at which the alleged victim and any party must be afforded the right to be present and an opportunity to be heard.

The procedures set forth in subdivision (c) do not apply to discovery of a victim's past sexual conduct or predisposition in civil cases, which will be continued to be governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26. In order not to undermine the rationale of Rule 412, however, courts should enter appropriate orders pursuant to Fed.

~ 266

_271

~273

~278

~?85

Τſ

R. Civ. P. 26 (c) to protect the victim against unwarranted inquiries and to ensure confidentiality. Courts should presumptively issue protective orders barring discovery unless the party seeking discovery makes a showing that the evidence sought to be discovered would be relevant under the facts and theories of the particular case, and cannot be obtained except through discovery. In an action for sexual harassment, for instance, while some evidence of the alleged victim's sexual behavior and/or predisposition in the workplace may perhaps be relevant, non-work place conduct will usually be irrelevant. Cf.

Burns v. McGregor, F.2d (8th Cir. 1993) (posing for a nude magazine outside work hours is irrelevant to issue of unwelcomeness of sexual advances at work). Confidentiality orders should be presumptively granted as well.

One substantive change made in subdivision (c) is the elimination of the following sentence: "Notwithstanding subdivision (b) of rule 104, if the relevancy of the evidence which the accursed seeks to offer in the trial depends upon the fulfillment of a condition of fact, the court, at the hearing in chambers or at a subsequent hearing in chambers schedules for such purpose, shall accept evidence on the issue of whether such condition of fact is fulfilled and shall determine such issue."

On its face, this language would appear to authorize a trial judge to exclude evidence of past sexual conduct between an alleged victim and an accused or a defendant in a civil case based upon the judge's belief that such past acts did not occur.

286	such an authorization raises
287	questions of invasion of the right to a jury trial under the
288	Sixth and Seventh Amendments. See 1 S. SALTZBURG & M. MARTIN,
289	FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE MANUAL, 396-97 (5th ed. 1990).
290	The Advisory Committee concluded that the amended rule
291	provided adequate protection for all persons claiming to be the
292	victims of sexual misconduct, and that it was inadvisable to
293	continue to include a provision in the rule that has been
294	confusing and that raises substantial constitutional incurr