March 30, 2017

Catherine M. Riga 3162 Saint Johns Bluff Rd Jacksonville, FL 32246

Committee on Rule of Practice and Procedure Administrative Office of the United States Courts One Columbus Circle, NE Washington, D.C. 20544

FOREWORD

On March 3, 2017, Catherine M. Riga submitted suggestions to the rules committee. The submission was a little premature because the suggestions were not as thorough as they could have been if thought out more carefully. Additions to the revised suggestions below are inserted in red.

REVISED VERSION OF SUGGESTION DATED 3/3/17 MARKED 17-AP-A

Concern: President Trump's sworn duties and good faith intentions(to protect the United States) are being obstructed and thwarted.

Suggestion (1): Amend FRAP 4(a)(1)(B)(iv) to assert:

If a current or former United States employee(s) in poor faith willfully chooses to abandon his/her civic obligations and/or engages in unreasonable/pervasive/threatening/intimidating/ill-willed decision making practice(s) that could thwart/jeopardize the job responsibilities including sworn duties of another United States employee(s) and/or harm his or her family, the victimized United States employee who is "exercising" his or her constitutional rights to "express" his/her United States sworn duties can submit to a United States Clerk of Court a "request to subpoena/order another United States

employee(s) to correct threatening ill-willed actions" rather than consume court resources for lawsuits that would ultimately lead to such a subpoena and order.

A United States government policy should already be actively enforcing such actions. Further, FRAP 4(a)(1)(B)(iv) acknowledges an omission of a United States employee's duties as being appealable and individually responsible. When United States employees threaten the sworn duties of other United States employee and rejects an order or subpoena, sanctions should include, but not limited to, suspension or revocation of any professional license and/or fiduciary responsibilities.

Suggestion (2):

Amend FRAP 27 11th Cir. R. 27-1(b) Emergency Motions(2) to include:

(v) discuss: a United States employee "request to subpoena/order another United States employee(s) to correct ill-willed action" rather than consume court resources for lawsuits that would ultimately lead to a subpoena/order and discuss request as being a government policy that should already exist.

IMPORTANCE OF ABOVE SAID SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS

Although not limited to the following, the importance of the above said amendments is to efficiently protect good faith people with good faith intentions to protect the United States of America. By illuminating a more modern form of human trafficking composed of less aggressive threats of intimidation directed at constitutional rights of expression that may not be considered the typical egregious horrific forms of human trafficking, the amendments should pursue closing loop holes that nearly allow ill willed conduct to exist.

Exercising the practice of an employee's job responsibilities is a form of expression and intimidation onto such an employee is the ill-will intention to silence the employee from "expressing" those sworn job duties to satisfy another person's poor faith agenda and/or obstruct justice.

Sincerely,

Electronic signature not available

Catherine M. Riga