

November 9, 2018

P.O. Box 85  
Vermilion, Ohio

Committee on Rules of Practice & Procedure  
of the Judicial Conference of the United States of America  
ATT: Secretary  
Washington, DC 20544

To the Committee on Rules of Practice & Procedure of the Judicial Conference of the United States of America, and To Whom It May Concern:

Thank you for your time, and for reading this document/correspondence. And thank you in advance for the work and efforts put forth to improve our United States of America's court/judicial system.

These suggestions for the federal civil rules of procedure are actually a very simple ones; however, not always easy. Again, thank you for your time.

1. Please make sure the rules actually abide by and support the United States of America's Constitution.
2. The rules may need to reiterate the basics of the procedures of our judicial system as they were stated and establish by our 'founding fathers'. And within the 'spirit' of the law, etc.
3. Lines of communications should be again made explicit to not discriminate against or bias court judges' decisions, especially before trial or court appearance. Under the premise of 'innocent until proven guilty'.
4. Attached is a communication of an example that has been made to others in government in order for them to assist in the support of our individual or civil rights.

Please view attached. With great respect for the judicial system, our nation's respectful and respected people are what can make our country to awesome.

Yours Truly and Sincerely,

*Mary Novotny Pena* 11-9-2018

Ms. Mary Novotny Pena

Independent Journalism-Public Relations Professional, and Mom/Parent/etc.

Enclosure: 1 (2 pages)

November 6, 2018

P.O. Box 85  
Vermilion, Ohio 44089

Mailing To:

Senator Chuck Grassley of Iowa  
Chairman of Judiciary Review Committee  
135 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

Senator Dianne Feinstein of California  
Ranking Member of Judicial Review Committee  
33 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20530

To United States of America's Judiciary Review Committee Members, Department of Justice  
and To Whom It May Concern:

After reading just parts of the federal civil rules of December, 2017 that I downloaded off the internet, there are conditions and stipulations that are not acceptable in the United States of America. At this point, I am requesting/purchasing a written copy of those federal civil rules.

However, the indications of viewing the actions stated within the civil rules as predominant activity through the court system or within society would destroy the American Dream of a person/individual/citizen being able to achieve, be recognized and be rewarded by their merits within the parameters of our United States of America's laws, Constitution, and other legal governances. Many of these stated actions/rules are in a position to infuse corruption and fraud in our judicial system; it has happened in our nation's criminal court, civil court, and judicial system at local, state and federal levels.

Stated are just two of several examples of rules that are at minimum inappropriate; however, would not be promoted by the statements of the United States of America's Constitution.

For example: 1) On page 56, Rule 35, and specifically 35.(a)(1) in the mental health review process it states that a person could be put under scrutiny because of their blood. That statement is out-right prejudice.

2) Rule 25. Substitution of parties on page 35, Rule 25. (b) and (c); those statements could be prejudicial in the type of lawsuit/court action that I filed in federal court in the Northern District of Ohio, and many others. According our citizen's rights afforded by the United States of America's Constitution, an individual has the right to represent themselves and their own interests in an honest, factual and accurate manner; in the statements of Rule 25 entities or parties of a court action could easily use fraud and deception to dismiss the lawsuit and the pursuit of justice. It did happen. A substitution would allow a person or entity used as the substitute to actually be more representative of opposing viewpoints and interests; therefore, the pursuit of justice would not be able to occur with both sides of the viewpoints, opinions and evidence being presented.

Why am I writing this? I would like to bring awareness to the issues within the legal system that are standing in the way of the pursuit of justice. I am an independent journalism-public relations professional. Historically, it was the journalist and active participants in the judicial system that did shine light on these types of issues, and work toward forwarding the continued actions of abiding by the United States Constitution and laws, etc., and pursuit of justice in the United States of America. Writing, photography and communications is the type of work that I do, and that I trained to do; and at sometime in the future I hope to gain paid work/employment at the federal level.

My primary interest is in the agricultural industry, more specifically food and nutrition; however, pursuing the promoting of appropriate food, nutrition, diet and exercise has caused me to be aware of issues within the judicial system also. (At the beginning of my situation of having been put through the courts over this, I was just a stay-at-home mom.) One political issue can have an a/effect on another.

Since the Plain Writing Act of October, 2010, I am grateful that our laws, etc. are more clearly readable and I do hope that they continue to be more readily accessible.

Thank you for your time and attention to these matters. And I thank you for taking the time to read this document/correspondence. Please feel free to contact me at your convenience at the above address or phone number listed below; I generally do work very transparently (so to speak).

Yours Truly and Sincerely,

*Was signed & mailed*

Ms. Mary Novotny Pena

Independent Journalism-Public Relations Professional and Parent  
(419) 370-1988