IF-352 (Rev. 01/15)

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20544

Date: 09/03/2020

GUIDE TO JUDICIARY POLICY

TRANSMITTAL	14-015	VOLUME/PART	14	CHAPTER(S)	1–3	
-------------	--------	-------------	----	------------	-----	--

- TO: Circuit Executives Federal Public/Community Defenders District Court Executives Clerks, United States Courts Chief Probation Officers Chief Pretrial Services Officers Bankruptcy Administrators Circuit Librarians Certified Contracting Officers
- **FROM**: James C. Duff

RE: PROCUREMENT

This transmittal provides notice of changes to *Guide to Judiciary Policy*, Volume 14 (Procurement):

<u>Chapter 1 – Overview</u> <u>Chapter 2 – Procurement Planning and Preparations</u> <u>Chapter 3 – Purchasing Methods</u> <u>Glossary of Procurement Terms</u>

The policy guidance was updated to reflect the following changes in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), which take effect on August 31, 2020:

- Increased the General Services Administration (GSA) competition threshold and micropurchase threshold from \$3,500 to \$10,000.
- Increased the GSA simplified acquisition threshold from \$150,000 to \$250,000.

The significant changes are detailed in the Redline Comparison below. Secondary references to these same thresholds were also updated in *Guide*, Volume 21 (Legal Research Resources), § 210.50.20.

Questions regarding this transmittal may be directed to the Procurement Management Division of the AO's Finance and Procurement Office, at 202-502-1330.

		REDLINE COMPARISON REFLECTING CHANGES			
[Significant cl	[Significant changes in Chapter 1 (Overview) follow:]				
-	rement	t Integrity and Ethics			
[] § 150.20 Pro	curem	ent Integrity Act			
[] § 150.20.25 F	Provisi	ions of the Act			
[] (c)	Employees' Required Actions When Contacted About Employment				
	a jud	er 41 U.S.C. § 2103, any judiciary employee, personally and substantially participating in iciary procurement in excess of $4250,000$ who contacts or is contacted by a bidder or or in that procurement regarding possible non-federal employment, must:			
	(1)	report the contact in writing to the immediate supervisor, the PE, and the AO's Office of the General Counsel (OGC); and			
		(Note: Each report required under (c)(1) must be retained by the submitting employee's division for not less than two years following submission.)			
	(2)	reject the possibility of non-federal employment; or			
	(3)	disqualify him/herself from further personal and substantial participation in the procurement until such time as the employee has been authorized to resume participation on the grounds that the company and/or individual is no longer a bidder or offeror in the procurement, or all discussions between the employee and the bidder or offeror regarding possible employment have terminated without an agreement for employment.			
[]					
[Significant cl	hanges	s in Chapter 2 (Procurement Planning and Preparations) follow:]			
§ 210 Policy [] § 210.60 Mar	kot Ra	asearch			
[]					
§ 210.60.20 M [] (b)	Mark equip it car comp \$ 3,5(tet research Methods tet research generally does not include the temporary "trial" or "demonstration" use of pment/products delivered to and used within the judiciary organization's facilities. Only if the definitely determined that the eventual purchase will not exceed the applicable petition threshold — \$10,000 for open market purchases (\$25,000 for training products); 2010,000 for GSA schedule purchases — may equipment or products be used on a "trial" in this manner.			
[]	Dasis) III UIIS IIIQIIIICI.			

REDLINE COMPARISON REFLECTING CHANGES			
[Significant changes in Chapter 3 (Purchasing Methods) follow:]			
§ 310 Procurement Sources			
[] § 310.50 GSA Federal Supply Schedules			
[] § 310.50.23 eBuy			
<u>eBuy</u> is GSA's electronic Request for Quotation (RFQ) system and is a part of a suite of online tools that complement GSA Advantage! eBuy allows judiciary COs to post requirements and obtain quotes electronically. Posting an RFQ on eBuy:			
 (a) is one medium for providing fair notice to all schedule contractors offering such services, as required by <u>§ 310.50.43(c) (Orders exceeding GSA's simplified activity threshold (\$4250,000)</u>) and <u>§ 310.50.46(c) (Orders using "best value" evaluation</u> and [] 	quisition		
§ 310.50.43 Ordering Procedures for Supplies and Services Not Requiring a Statement of Work [table]			
Procedure	Details		
(a) Orders at or below the GSA's competition threshold, which is \$ 3,50010,000 , except for: []	[]		
(b) Orders exceeding GSA's competition threshold, but not exceeding GSA's simplified [] acquisition threshold (\$4 <u>2</u> 50,000).			
(c) Orders exceeding GSA's simplified acquisition threshold (\$42/250,000).	[]		
§ 310.50.46 Ordering Procedures for Services Requiring a Statement of Work [table]			
Type of Order	Details		
(a) Orders exceeding GSA's competition threshold (generally \$3,50010,000) but not exceeding GSA's simplified acquisition threshold (\$42/250,000).			
b) Orders exceeding GSA's simplified acquisition threshold (\$4 <u>2</u> 50,000). []			

§ 310.50.52 File Documentation

At a minimum, the judiciary CO must include the following documentation for each award:

[...]

(h) when an order exceeds the simplified acquisition threshold, evidence of compliance with the ordering procedures at § 310.50.43(c) (Orders Exceeding GSA's Simplified Acquisition <u>Threshold (\$4250,000)</u>) or § 310.50.46(c) (Orders Using "Best Value" Evaluation Method), whichever is applicable.

[...]

REDLINE COMPARISON REFLECTING CHANGES				
	ş	310.50.53(g) Ordering from BPAs under GSA Schedules [table]		
BPA Situatio	on	Procedures		
(2) Multiple Award BPAs		(A) Orders at or below the GSA competition threshold (generally \$3,50010,000). The judiciary CO may place orders at or below the GSA competition threshold with any BPA holder that can meet the agency's needs. The ordering activity should attempt to distribute any such orders among the BPA holders.		
		 (B) Orders exceeding the GSA's competition threshold but not exceeding the GSA's simplified acquisition threshold (\$4<u>2</u>50,000). [] 		
		 (C) Orders exceeding GSA's simplified acquisition threshold (\$4250,000) unless one of the exceptions in <u>§ 310.50.63 (Limiting Sources on Orders Placed Under Federal Supply Schedules)</u>. [] 		
(3) BPAs for Hourly R Services	ate	 [] (B) For time-and-materials and labor-hour orders, the contracting officer must follow the procedures in § 310.50.46(b) (Orders Exceeding GSA's Simplified Acquisition Threshold (\$1250,000)) and § 310.50.46(c) (Orders Using "Best Value" Evaluation Method). All orders under the BPA must specify a price for the performance of the tasks identified in the SOW. [] 		
	Limiting	Sources on Orders Placed under Federal Supply Schedules		
[] (a)	(gene <u>Proce</u> (Orde	proposed order or BPA with an estimated value exceeding GSA's competition threshold rally \$3,50010,000) not placed or established according to <u>\$310.50.43 (Ordering</u> <u>dures for Supplies and Services Not Requiring a Statement of Work)</u> , <u>§310.50.46</u> <u>ring Procedures for Services Requiring a Statement of Work)</u> , or <u>§310.50.53(g)</u> <u>ring From BPAs Under GSA Schedules</u>), the only circumstances that may justify the are:		
(b)	For pr thresh	proposed orders or BPAs with an estimated value exceeding GSA's micro-purchase shold (generally \$ 3,500<u>10,000</u>), the judiciary CO must document the basis for limiting ces using Form AO 370C (Limited Sources Justification (LSJ)) .		
(c)	Postin	ng Requirement		
	(1)	Within 14 days after placing an order or establishing a BPA exceeding the GSA's simplified acquisition threshold ($\frac{12}{50,000}$) that is supported by a limited-sources justification permitted under any of the circumstances under paragraph (a) of this section, the judiciary CO must post the justification at <u>beta.SAM.gov</u> , as well as post a link to the justification on the public web site of the judiciary organization or AO. For justifications citing subparagraph (a)(1) of this section, the justification must be posted within 30 days after award.		

	REDLINE COMPARISON REFLECTING CHANGES		
-	§ 310.50.66 Limiting Sources Based on Items Particular to One Manufacturer (Brand Name)		
[] (b)	For proposed orders or BPAs with an estimated value between GSA's competition threshold and simplified acquisition threshold, $3,50010,000$ and $4250,000$, respectively, the judiciary CO must document the basis for restricting consideration to an item peculiar to one manufacturer. The judiciary CO must document the basis for limiting sources using Form AO <u>370C (Limited Sources Justification (LSJ)</u> . If the estimated value is between \$25,000 and \$4250,000 the documentation and the RFQ must be posted to eBuy.		
(c) []	For proposed orders or BPAs with an estimated value exceeding GSA's simplified acquisition threshold, \$4250,000, the judiciary CO must document the basis for restricting consideration to an item peculiar to one manufacturer using Form AO 370C. The justification must be completed and approved at the time the requirement for a brand name item is determined and must be posted with the RFQ to eBuy for the duration of the RFQ. Additionally, a justification for a brand name item is required at the order level for orders placed against previously awarded BPA's when a justification for the brand name item was not completed for the BPA or does not adequately cover the requirements in the order.		
[Significant changes in Glossary of Procurement Terms follow:]			
[] GSA Competition Threshold – The threshold whereby GSA contract actions must be competed (\$ 3,500<u>10,000</u>). []			