Wiretap Reporting Instructions



Prepared by:
Judiciary Data and Analysis Office
Administrative Office of the United States Courts
Washington, DC 20544

Table of Contents

Introduction.		1
Report Forms.		2
1.	Annual Prosecutor Summary of Wiretap Reports (Form WT-1)	2
2.	Report of Application and/or Order Authorizing Interception of Communications (Form	l
	WT-2)	
3.	Supplementary Report (Form WT-3)	2
Where to Acce	ess the Wiretap Report Forms.	3
When to Subm	nit Wiretap Reports to the Administrative Office	3
1.	Due Dates	
2.	Not Required	3
How to Submi	t Wiretap Reports (For State Jurisdictions Only)	4
1.	Electronic Submission (Preferred)	
2.	Mailing Wiretap Forms	
3.	Faxing Wiretap Forms.	
How to Submi	t Wiretap Reports (For Federal Jurisdictions Only)	4
1.	Electronic Submission (Preferred)	
2.	Mailing Wiretap Forms	
3.	Faxing Wiretap Forms	
Completing Fo	orm WT-1, Annual Prosecutor Summary of Wiretap Reports.	5
1.	Total Number of Wiretap Reports Submitted	
2.	Number of Wiretap Applications Denied	
3.	Number of Wiretap Applications Granted	
4.	Number Where Encryption Was Encountered	
5.	Of Those With Encryption, Number Where Encryption Prevented Law Enforcement	
	from Obtaining the Plain Text	6
Completing Fo	orm WT-2 - Report of Application and/or Order Authorizing Interception of	
	nunications	6
	leting the Judge's Report (WT-2, Part 1).	
- · · · ·	Items 1 through 8	
	Item 1. Judge Authorizing or Denying the Application	
	Item 2. Source - Official Making Application	
	Item 3. Prosecution Official Authorizing Application	
	Item 3A. Law Enforcement Agency Conducting the Wiretap	
	Item 4. Offense	
	Item 5. Type of Order	
	Item 6. Duration of Intercept	
	Item 7. Type of Intercept	
	Item 8. Location Shown in Intercept Order.	
Comp	leting the Prosecutor's Report (WT-2 Part 2)	9

		1 and Items 9 - 13	
Item 9.		ation	
		Installed	
		ed But Not Used	
	Installe	ed and Used	10
Item 10). Desci	ription of Intercepts	10
	10A.	Termination Date of Interception	10
	10B.	Number of Days in Actual Use	10
	10C.	Number of Communications Intercepted	10
	10D.	Number of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	10
	10E.	Number of Incriminating Communications Intercepted	10
	10F.	Was Encryption Encountered in This Wiretap?	10
	10G.	If Yes, Did Encryption prevent Law Enforcement from Obtaining the	
		Plain Text	10
Item 1	l. Cost.		
	11A.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	11
	11B.	Personnel Cost	11
	11C.	Resource Cost	11
	11D.	Total Cost	11
Item 12	2. Resul	lts	
	12A.	Number of Persons Arrested	11
	12B.	Number of Motions to Suppress	11
	12C.	Number of Persons Convicted	11
	12D.	Number of Trials Completed	12
	12E.	Conviction Offense	12
Item 13	3. Com	ments and Assessment	12
Completing Form WT-	3 - Supp	elementary Report	12
Column 1.		Year	
Column 2.	A.O. R	leport Number	13
Column 3.	Date of	f Application	13
Column 4.		•••	
Column 5.	Person	s Arrested	13
Column 6.	Trials	Completed	13
Column 7.	Motion	ns to Suppress	13
Column 8.	Person	s Convicted	13
Contact Information			14
Common Questions on	Reporti	ng Wiretans.	14

Instructions for Reporting Intercepts, Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications

Introduction

Under Title 18, United States Code, § 2519, the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts (AOUSC) is required to transmit annually to Congress a report on the number of applications for orders authorizing or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications. These regulations are issued in accordance with Title 18, U.S.C. Section 2519(3), and prescribe the form of the reports required to be filed with the Director of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts. Reports must be filed by:

- any state or federal judge approving or denying an application for a court order authorizing the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication; and
- any state or federal prosecuting official who has authorized an application for a court order to permit an interception of wire, oral, or electronic communication by an investigative or law enforcement officer.

Judges required by law to file reports include:

- a judge of any court of general criminal jurisdiction of a State who is authorized by a specific statute of that State to sign orders authorizing interceptions of wire, oral, or electronic communications, including judges in the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and any territory or possession of the United States;
- a judge of a United States court of appeals; and
- a judge of a United States district court.

Prosecuting officials required by law to file reports include:

- the Attorney General of the United States or designee;
- the Attorney General or principal prosecuting officer of a State, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and any territory or possession of the United States; and
- the principal prosecuting attorney for any political subdivision of a State at the county level or above.

Report Forms

- 1. Annual Prosecutor Summary of Wiretap Reports (Form WT-1) This form is used by the prosecutor to provide a summary of the number of interceptions being reported for the year, including information required by the U.S. Congress on the number of wiretaps where encryption was encountered.
- 2. Report of Application and/or Order Authorizing Interception of Communications (Form WT-2) This form is used to report a wiretap for the first time. The form is divided into two parts; the first part should be reported by January 31 for denied applications and for approved applications for orders that expired during the preceding year and the second part should be reported by March 31 for terminated investigations:
 - a. Part 1 is the judge's report and should be completed by the staff of the judge authorizing or denying the application, or the prosecutor. Part 1 should be signed by the judge (electronic signature is acceptable). A copy or electronic form should be submitted to the AOUSC by the judge or clerk's office.
 - b. Part 2 is the prosecuting official's report and is to be completed and signed by the prosecutor upon termination of the investigation (electronic signature is acceptable).
 - (1) Federal Prosecutors For federal wiretaps, the original form (with both parts completed) is first forwarded to the law enforcement agency contact official through the case agent. The contact official will then send the completed forms to the Office of Enforcement Operations of the U.S. Department of Justice in Washington, DC. The Office of Enforcement Operations will finally submit the reviewed forms to the AOUSC. A copy should be retained for the prosecutor's files.
 - (2) State Prosecutors Wiretap reports from state and local jurisdictions are forwarded directly to the AOUSC. A copy should be retained for the prosecutor's files.
- 3. Supplementary Report (Form WT-3) for Wiretaps Reported in Previous Calendar Years This form is used by the prosecutors to report any additional activity on interceptions which were already reported to the AOUSC in previous years. If there has been no additional activity, do not submit a "No Activity" report.

Where to Access the Wiretap Report Forms

Wiretap Forms WT-1, WT-2 and WT-3 in PDF format can be accessed from the internet by going to the Federal Judiciary's website at www.uscourts.gov and selecting Forms & Fees, then Court Forms By Number, and scrolling to the end of the screen to the wiretap forms.

When to Submit Wiretap Reports to the Administrative Office

- 1. **Due Dates -** Enacted by Congress on May 27, 2010, the Federal Judiciary Administrative Improvements Act of 2010, Public Law 111-174, changed reporting due dates for judges and prosecutors.
 - a. In January of each year, any judge who has issued an order (or any extensions) under 18 U.S.C. § 2518 that expired during the preceding calendar year, or who has denied approval of an interception during that year must submit Part 1 of the WT-2 (Judge's Report) to the AOUSC. In the past, many judges have found it more efficient to submit the WT-2 reports to the AOUSC throughout the year rather than to wait until the **annual deadline of January 31.** The AOUSC will continue to accept and process reports submitted throughout the year.
 - b. Prosecutors are required to submit Part 2 of the WT-2 (Prosecutor's Report) with respect to each application for an order or extension made during the preceding calendar year to the AOUSC by March 31. In the past, many state prosecutors have found it more efficient to submit the WT-2 reports to the AOUSC throughout the year rather than to wait until the annual deadline. The AOUSC will continue to accept and process reports submitted throughout the year. Federal prosecutors should submit the W-2 reports to the law enforcement agency contact, not the AOUSC.
- 2. Not Required A report to the AOUSC is not required in the following cases:
 - a. when the order is issued at the request of, or with the consent of, one of the principal parties to the communication; for example, a wire interception to investigate obscene telephone calls, where the interception device was installed at the request of the victim;
 - b. when a police officer or police informant is a party to the communication;
 - c. when only a body microphone is used;

- d. when a pen register (a mechanical device attached to telephone lines to record all numbers dialed from that line) is used alone. **NOTE**: A pen register, used in conjunction with any wiretap that does come within the statutory prohibition, must be reported.
- e. when WT-2 Part 2 of a federal wiretap case is sealed for security purposes (i.e., terrorist cases) or as part of an ongoing investigation.

Do not submit a "No Activity" report if there has been no interception activity during the calendar year.

How to Submit Wiretap Reports (For State Jurisdictions Only)

All state completed wiretap reports (WT-1, WT-2, and WT-3) should be submitted directly to the AOUSC by electronic submission, mail or by fax.

1. Electronic Submission (Preferred)

Wiretap forms can be accessed online, completed in PDF format, saved to a local computer and then electronically submitted to the AOUSC by e-mail. Send the e-mail to SD-Wiretap@ao.uscourts.gov with the wiretap reports attached. Forms can also be scanned and e-mailed as well. **Do not send duplicate copies.** When reports have been e-mailed, for example, do not mail or fax the same report.

2. Mailing Wiretap Forms

If e-mail is unavailable, wiretap forms can be mailed to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Attn: Judiciary Data and Analysis Office (WT), One Columbus Circle N.E., Washington DC 20544. Do not send duplicate copies.

3. Faxing Wiretap Forms

If e-mail is unavailable, wiretap forms can be faxed to the AOUSC at 202-502-1422 or 1411. Do not send duplicate copies.

How to Submit Wiretap Reports (For Federal Jurisdictions Only)

Part 1 (Judge's Report) completed by the U.S. Attorney or the Court should be electronically submitted, mailed or faxed to the AOUSC.

1. Electronic Submission (Preferred)

Wiretap forms can be accessed online, completed in PDF format, saved to a local computer and then electronically submitted to the AOUSC by e-mail. Send the e-mail to SD-Wiretap@ao.uscourts.gov with the wiretap reports attached. Do not send duplicate copies. When reports have been e-mailed, for example, do not fax the same report.

2. Mailing Wiretap Forms

If e-mail is unavailable, wiretap forms can be mailed to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Attn: Judiciary Data and Analysis Office (WT), One Columbus Circle N.E., Washington DC 20544. **Do not send duplicate copies.**

3. Faxing Wiretap Forms

If e-mail is unavailable, wiretap forms can be faxed to the AOUSC at 202-502-1422 or 1411. **Do not send duplicate copies.**

The Assistant U.S. Attorney copy of WT-2, Part 1 and the original Part 2 should be submitted directly to the federal law enforcement agency's contact official. The federal law enforcement agency's contact official should review the forms for completeness and accuracy and then submit to the Office of Enforcement Operations of the U.S. Department of Justice in Washington DC.

Completing Form WT-1, Annual Prosecutor Summary of Wiretap Reports

When all of the reports for individual wiretaps for the year have been compiled and prepared for submission, the prosecutor should complete a Form WT-1 to summarize the reports being submitted for the jurisdiction. The report contains two sections, one related to wiretaps terminated in the current year and a second related to wiretaps terminated in earlier years but being reported this year for the first time. For each of the two sections, report:

- 1. Total Number of Wiretap Reports Submitted indicate the number of WT-2 reports being submitted (should equal the sum of Items 2 and 3 below);
- 2. Number of Wiretap Applications Denied indicate the number of WT-2 reports being submitted (as indicated in Item 1 above) where the application was denied;
- 3. Number of Wiretap Applications Granted indicate the number of WT-2 reports being submitted (as indicated in Item 1 above) where the application was granted;

- 4. Number Where Encryption Was Encountered indicate the number of WT-2 reports being submitted (as indicated in Item 3 above) where encryption of any kind was encountered; and
- 5. Of Those With Encryption, Number Where Encryption Prevented Law Enforcement from Obtaining the Plain Text of Communication Intercepted indicate the number of WT-2 reports where encryption was involved (as indicated in Item 4 above) AND this encryption prevented law enforcement from obtaining the plain text of the communication.

Completing Form WT-2 - Report of Application and/or Order Authorizing Interception of Communications

Completing the Judge's Report (WT-2, Part 1) Items 1 through 8

When the period of interception (including all extensions) permitted by the court has expired, the prosecuting official or the court should complete items 1 through 8.

A report must be submitted for each order. If one application results in two orders authorizing interception of communication, then two reports must be filed with the AOUSC. Multiple phone numbers authorized under the same order should be reported on one WT-2 report. In the case of an extension of an intercept, report all information concerning the original order and each extension of that order on a single reporting form. **Applications that are denied by a judge must also be reported**.

- Item 1. Judge Authorizing or Denying the Application Provide the following:
 - First name, middle initial and last name of the judge authorizing or denying the interception
 - County, court, district or jurisdiction (For state, XYZ County, for Federal, the prosecution official's district, Northern District of XYZ, for example)
 - · State, and
 - Court reference number used to identify the application. Do not include names or phone numbers in the reference number.
- Item 2. Source Official Making Application Provide the following:
 - Official's first name, middle initial and last name,
 - Official's Title
 - Jurisdiction or agency, and
 - Telephone number.

- Item 3. Prosecution Official Authorizing Application Provide the following:
 - First name, middle initial and last name of the Deputy Assistant Attorney General (for federal cases only),
 - Name of the prosecutor,
 - District or jurisdiction and state jurisdiction for which the interception was authorized
 - Federal report the jurisdiction of the Prosecution Official, not the DAAG, e.g., Kansas, **not DOJ, OEO, Criminal Division, etc.**
 - State report the jurisdiction, e.g., Allan County, State Attorney General, Judicial District of Harris (Fremont), etc.
 - Prosecutor reference number used to identify the application. Do not include names or phone numbers in the reference number, and
 - Telephone number of the prosecutor.
- Item 3A. Law Enforcement Agency Conducting the Wiretap Provide the following:
 - Agency name,
 - Contact person's name (for federal, report the agent's name),
 - Contact person's telephone number, and
 - Agency reference number of the law enforcement agency conducting the wiretap. Do not include names or phone numbers in the reference numbers.
- Item 4. Offense Provide the most serious offense specified in the order or application for an extension of the order. A general description such as gambling, narcotics, racketeering, etc. will suffice. DO NOT cite the offense by title and section. The following controls should be used to determine the most serious offense:
 - a. When two or more offenses are specified in the application, the offense with the maximum statutory sentence is to be classified as the most serious.
 - b. When two of the offenses have the same maximum sentence, a crime against a person takes priority over a crime against property.
- Item 5. Type of Order Check the appropriate box to indicate whether the order authorizing the intercept was an ordinary specification order which requires a particular description of the type and location of the intercept device, or whether the order was a roving-relaxed specificity order (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2518(11)) which allowed a less explicit description. If the order was a roving-relaxed specificity order, the category "No Location Specified" should be checked in item 8 on the form.
- Item 6. Duration of Intercept Original Request Provide the number of days requested and the date of the application. The duration of the intercept cannot exceed 30 days. Check the appropriate box to show whether the application was denied or granted and

the date the order was denied or granted. If the application was granted with modifications or was amended, check the box provided and indicate the date.

Extensions - For each extension (if any), provide all information as required for Original Request above. The duration of one extension cannot exceed 30 days; however, multiple extensions can be authorized.

Total Number of Extensions - Enter the total number of extensions authorized for the wiretap.

Total Days Authorized - Enter the total number of days authorized by adding the number of days authorized in the original request to the number of days authorized in any extension(s).

- Item 7. Type of Intercept Check the appropriate box(es) to indicate whether the application was for (1) a wire intercept of a telephone communication, (2) an intercept of an oral communication, or (3) an intercept of an electronic communication. Within the general category, also check the appropriate box(es) to indicate the specific type of intercept requested.
- Item 8. Location Shown in Intercept Order Check the appropriate box to indicate the facilities where the communications were to be intercepted. The following definitions are to be used in making this determination:

Personal Residence - includes single-family dwelling (detached home, semi-detached, townhouse, row house, duplex), apartment (garden style, low rise, high rise, self-contained private room or apartment within a house or condominium), mobile home/trailer, multiple dwelling (boarding house with common telephones, dormitory, fraternity/sorority house, nursing home), etc.

Business - includes store, office, restaurant, gym, hospital, school, government agency, etc.

Public Area - includes pay telephone, park, station, airport, library, street, cemetery, etc.

Portable Device - Carried By/On Individual - includes cell phone, pager, etc.

Other Location - includes motel, prison, jail, vehicle, etc., or other specified location not covered by any other category.

No Location Specified In Order - Check this box only if "Relaxed" is checked under Item 5, "Type of Order" or if other circumstances apply. Describe the circumstances related to unspecified location.

Reports should not disclose the name, address or phone number of any individual whose communication may have been intercepted, the specific address where the intercept was installed, or the telephone number which was tapped. The AOUSC is not authorized to collect this information.

When Part 1 of the form has been completed by the prosecutor, it is to be forwarded to the judge who authorized or denied the intercept for signature (electronic signature is acceptable). After signature, Part 1 of the WT-2 should be submitted to the AOUSC (see page 4) and a copy should be provided back to prosecuting official making the application.

Completing the Prosecutor's Report (WT-2 Part 2) Identifying Information and Items 9 - 13

Identifying information, Items 1 - 3A, reported on Part 1 should be repeated on Part 2. The prosecutor must also complete Items 9 - 13. If the application was denied (as reflected in Item 6 of Part 1), Items 9 through 13 should be left blank. The information required is as follows:

Information repeated from Part 1 to be included in Part 2:

- 1. Judge's Name, County/Court/District/Jurisdiction and State as reported under Item 1 of Part 1
- 2. Law Enforcement Agency Reference Number as reported under Item 3a of Part 1
- 3. Prosecution Official Authorizing Application as reported under Item 3 of Part 1
- 4. The original request date of application as reported in Item 6 of Part 1

Item 9. Installation

Check the statement which applies to this interception.

Never Installed - If the intercept was never installed, check this box. No further information is required. Skip items 10 through 12.

Installed But Not Used - If the intercept was installed but never used, check this box. Complete Item 11 relating to the cost of the installation as required below.

Installed and Used - If the intercept was installed and used (monitored for any period of time), check this and complete items 10 through 13 as required below. Fill in the blanks. Insert a zero if there is nothing to report.

Item 10. Description of Intercepts

Provide information for each item below. (DO NOT provide names, addresses, or phone numbers.)

- 10A. Termination Date of Interception Provide month, day, and year. Where there were multiple intercepts, report the termination date of the last intercept.
- 10B. Number of Days in Actual Use Provide the number of days the target actually used the device. This number should not exceed the total number of days authorized (original request plus all extensions).
- 10C. Number of Communications Intercepted Count each communication and uninterrupted conversation separately.
- 10D. Number of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted Provide the TOTAL NUMBER OF DIFFERENT INDIVIDUALS whose communications were intercepted during the time the wiretap was in operation. This includes the person(s) initiating the communications, the person(s) receiving them, and any additional persons participating in the communications. Do not include any names. Do not count each person in conversation EACH time that an intercept is made.)
 - For example, on Day 1, A talks to B, and A talks to C. On Day 2, A talks to D. Counted as 4 persons, ABCD, not 6 persons, AAABCD
- 10E. Number of Incriminating Communications Intercepted Count each communication which may be used as evidence in the investigation.
- 10F. Was Encryption Encountered in This Wiretap? Please check Yes or No.
- 10G. If Yes, Did Encryption prevent Law Enforcement from Obtaining the Plain Text of Communications Intercepted? Please check Yes or No.

Item 11. Cost

Check the box if the costs for this wiretap are not reported here and are reported in another related wiretap. If the box is checked, please provide the reference number(s) of the wiretap where the costs can be found and leave items 11A-11D blank.

- 11A. Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used to Install, Monitor and Prepare Transcripts Provide the number and type of officers or employees used both in installing and monitoring the equipment. Also include time spent in preparing transcripts.
- 11B. Personnel Cost Provide the estimated salary cost for the time spent by the personnel noted above who installed and monitored the equipment or prepared transcripts. Costs should be rounded to the nearest dollar.
- 11C. Resource Cost Provide the estimated cost of installation if the installation is done on a contractual basis; rental, lease, or amortization of equipment; and the cost of supplies, including discs, etc. Costs should be rounded to the nearest dollar.
- 11D. Total Cost Provide the sum of personnel and resource costs.

Item 12. Results

Check the box if there are no results to report at this time. Subsequent arrest information will be reported at a later date on the WT-3, Supplementary Report for Wiretaps Reported in Previous Calendar Years. Check the next box if arrests associated with this wiretap are reported in another wiretap report. Please provide the reference number of the wiretap with the related arrest information and leave items 12A - 12E blank.

- 12A. Number of Persons Arrested Provide the total number of persons arrested. Do not include fugitives. If two or more wiretaps are related, show arrests on one form only. Count each person only once, regardless of the number of offenses charged. (Do not provide names.)
- 12B. Number of Motions to Suppress Provide the number of motions to suppress (quash evidence) made with respect to the interceptions that were granted, denied, or are still pending. If two or more wiretaps are related, show motions on one form only.
- 12C. Number of Persons Convicted Provide the total number of persons convicted as a result of the interception. Persons who pled guilty would be counted in this category. Each convicted person is counted only once. If two or more wiretaps are related, show convictions on one form only.

- 12D. Number of Trials Completed Provide the number of completed trials resulting from this interception. Do not count as a trial any instance where a plea was taken during the trial. Also, do not count any grand jury information, such as dismissal of indictment. If trials are completed in two or more related wiretaps, show trials on one form only.
- 12E. Conviction Offense Provide the offense for which the convictions were obtained. If more than one offense was involved, indicate only the one deemed most significant, Narcotics, for example.

Item 13. Comments and Assessment

Assess the importance of the interceptions in obtaining convictions. If two or more wiretaps are related, provide comments for all related wiretaps on one form. Include drugs and seizure amounts, impact on crime and the community, etc. Do not include personal information such as the target's names, telephone numbers, addresses, names of gangs or other sensitive information.

Completing Form WT-3 - Supplementary Report for Wiretaps Reported in Previous Calendar Years

Form WT-3 is a supplementary report used to record information about all applications reported in prior years which resulted in additional costs, arrests, trials, motions or convictions during the current reporting year. A separate Form WT-3 is required for each reporting year for which activity is being submitted. A supplementary report is not required if there has been no additional activity for any wiretap reported in a previous calendar year.

DO NOT REPORT ANY ACTIVITY WHICH HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY REPORTED ON EITHER THE ORIGINAL FORM WT-2 OR ON A SUPPLEMENTARY FORM WT-3.

Complete the following information for each interception reported on Form WT-3:

Column 1. Report Year - Enter the year in which the wiretap originally appeared in the *Report on Applications for Orders Authorizing or Approving the Interception of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications* (published Wiretap Report). For example, enter 2011 for a wiretap which first appeared in the 2011 Wiretap Report. If the report year is unknown, refer to the wiretap reports by year on the AOUSC's website at www.uscourts.gov/Statistics/WiretapReports.

Column 2. A.O. Report Number - Provide the number of the wiretap as shown in the Annual Wiretap Report for the year indicated in Column 1. If the A.O. report number is unknown, you can find this information by accessing the wiretap reports by year on the AOUSC's website at www.uscourts.gov/Statistics/WiretapReports.

AO numbers are unique within each year and jurisdiction. Check the publication according to the year the wiretap was originally reported. Then look under the appropriate jurisdiction. Find the AO number based on the application date. If not found, check publications for the subsequent year(s) to find wiretaps which were reported late or otherwise delayed. If you have any questions, contact the AOUSC for help in identifying AO numbers.

- Column 3. Date of Application Insert the month, day, and year. This date should correspond with the date of application previously reported.
- Column 4. Cost Indicate any additional costs for personnel used to install and monitor intercepts, equipment, transcripts of recordings, etc., that have not been previously reported. Court costs should not be included.
- Column 5. Persons Arrested Record the number of arrests for each offense charged, if there have been any additional arrests as a result of evidence obtained from the wiretap. Do not include fugitives. Also, enter a general description of the charge(s).
- Column 6. Trials Completed Provide the number of trials completed that have not been previously reported for this wiretap.
- Column 7. Motions to Suppress Indicate the number of motions to quash evidence which have been granted, denied or are pending if not previously reported.
- Column 8. Persons Convicted For each type of offense, record the number of additional convictions.

Note: Additional seizure information is not collected on the WT-3 form.

At the bottom of the form, the person responsible for completing the WT-3 should provide the following:

- 1. Name
- 2. Jurisdiction Federal prosecutors should enter the judicial district, e.g., Maryland, Florida-Southern. State prosecutors should enter the county or other judicial district, e.g., Winston County, State Attorney General.
- 3. State Attorney General prosecutors should check "Yes" in the State Attorney General box.

- 4. Phone number
- 5. Street address
- 6. City and State
- 7. Zip Code
- 8. Date the report was prepared

If e-mail is preferred, provide an e-mail address following the name. Please complete all columns for each intercept order. If no entry is appropriate, leave blank or enter a zero.

Contact Information

If you have questions regarding the submission of wiretap reports or require other information, please call (202) 502-1440. If you prefer, you may also fax a request to (202) 502-1422 or 1411 or e-mail your request to sd-wiretap@ao.uscourts.gov.

Common Questions on Reporting Wiretaps

- 1. Q: One order involves three phones. Submit one report or three?
 - A: One (one report per order).
- 2. Q: One order involved three wiretaps: one terminated after two days, one terminated after five days (because those two lines were not being used by intercepted parties), and the third lasted 11 days. Which termination date should be used?
 - A: The one which was in operation the latest.
- 3. Q: Should a pen register which led to a wiretap be reported if it was in operation BEFORE the wiretap?
 - A: No. Only report a pen register in operation in conjunction with the wiretap.
- 4. Q: One person was convicted on six different offenses. Count as one conviction or 6?
 - A: One, count the number of people.
- 5. Q: Should body microphones on police officers or informants be reported?
 - A: No. Do not report wiretaps where there was consent by one of the participants.

6. Q: Under results, is a plea counted as a trial?

A: No, only a completed trial is counted as a trial. (Also, grand jury actions such as dismissal of indictment are not counted as trials.)

7. Q: A motion to suppress which was originally granted was appealed and reversed as a result. Should it be shown as denied?

A: Yes.

8. Q: Should overtime be included in the cost reported?

A: Yes. Cost figures should include all "manpower" cost, regular time, overtime, regardless of whether paid by the federal government or a state government.

9. Q: Are pleas counted as convictions?

A: Yes.

10. Q: What does "incriminating" mean?

A: An incriminating interception is one which can be used as evidence.

11. Q: On a supplemental report, five defendants are being tried together. Should this be reported as five trials or one?

A: One.

12. Q: Are costs reported even if the wiretap was federal (equipment) and the officers (personnel costs) were not federal?

A: Yes, if the cost information can be obtained, it should be included.

13. Q: Is the State Attorney General (SAG) office responsible for completing reports for all applications authorized in that state or just those authorized by the SAG office?

A: Unless specifically covered by state statute or SAG policy, the SAG is responsible for completing only the reports authorized by the SAG office. Other wiretaps authorized in the state should be reported by the principal prosecuting official in the county or district where the application was actually authorized.

14. Q: When is a wiretap order reported as a "roving" wiretap?

A: For federal wiretaps, only when the order specifically is authorized under 18 U.S.C. § 2518(11) as a relaxed specification order; for state wiretaps, only when authorized under a specific statute that permits approval for relaxed specification orders.