

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2015

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other	Roving	None Specified
TOTAL	4,148	244	1,369	26	2,753	3,297	30	30	118,583	6	14	3,969	56	49	54	-
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS																
FEDERAL TOTAL	1,403	117	958	5	440	784	30	30	19,371	6	9	1,339	11	11	27	-
ALABAMA, MIDDLE	9	1	8	-	1	1	30	30	30	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
ALABAMA, NORTHERN	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	127	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN	5	-	3	-	2	3	30	30	117	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	108	1	98	-	10	39	30	30	276	-	2	106	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS, EASTERN	18	-	11	-	7	2	30	30	240	-	-	17	-	1	-	-
ARKANSAS, WESTERN	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL	21	4	16	-	5	33	30	30	230	-	-	20	1	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN	19	1	2	-	17	13	30	30	732	-	-	19	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN	16	-	15	-	1	1	30	30	37	-	-	16	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN	51	-	39	-	12	80	30	30	570	-	-	48	2	-	1	-
COLORADO	36	-	22	-	14	11	30	30	570	-	-	35	-	-	1	-
CONNECTICUT	55	4	29	-	26	17	30	30	913	-	-	54	1	-	-	-
DELAWARE	14	-	11	-	3	5	30	30	56	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	30	9	19	-	11	22	30	30	535	-	-	30	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, MIDDLE	16	1	12	-	4	39	30	30	148	-	-	16	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, NORTHERN	6	2	-	-	6	4	30	30	149	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN	23	1	14	-	9	9	30	30	330	-	-	21	-	2	-	-
GEORGIA, NORTHERN	26	-	24	-	2	28	30	30	110	-	-	26	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN	16	3	11	1	4	3	30	30	114	-	-	16	-	-	-	-
HAWAII	6	1	-	-	6	9	30	30	450	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
IDAHO	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	114	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL	3	-	3	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN	62	1	47	-	15	41	30	30	1,093	-	-	61	-	-	1	-
INDIANA, NORTHERN	7	3	7	-	-	7	30	30	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
INDIANA, SOUTHERN	38	3	26	1	11	8	30	30	410	4	-	34	-	-	-	-
IOWA, NORTHERN	15	2	12	-	3	14	30	30	101	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
IOWA, SOUTHERN	6	-	5	-	1	6	30	30	59	-	-	5	-	1	-	-
KANSAS	33	-	20	3	10	13	30	30	262	-	-	33	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY, EASTERN	8	3	8	-	-	7	30	30	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY, WESTERN	19	1	16	-	3	1	30	30	89	-	-	18	-	-	1	-
LOUISIANA, EASTERN	32	1	25	-	7	2	30	30	234	-	-	30	1	-	1	-
LOUISIANA, MIDDLE	4	-	2	-	2	1	30	30	90	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, WESTERN	20	-	11	-	9	-	30	-	268	-	-	20	-	-	-	-
MAINE	10	6	6	-	4	-	30	-	89	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	18	-	6	-	12	6	30	30	488	-	-	18	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	25	10	16	-	9	44	30	30	519	-	1	23	1	-	-	-
MICHIGAN, EASTERN	5	-	1	-	4	2	30	30	180	-	-	5	-	-	-	-

**Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2015 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other	Roving	None Specified
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS																
MINNESOTA	4	1	1	-	3	-	30	-	82	-	-	3	-	1	-	-
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN	9	-	5	-	4	-	30	-	83	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN	17	4	16	-	1	5	30	30	30	-	5	12	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, EASTERN	47	-	42	-	5	13	30	30	207	-	-	47	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, WESTERN	9	-	5	-	4	9	30	30	300	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	47	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
NEVADA	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	72	-	-	3	-	1	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE	3	-	3	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	24	-	7	-	17	6	30	30	530	-	-	23	-	-	1	-
NEW MEXICO	20	-	15	-	5	13	30	30	220	-	-	20	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, EASTERN	4	-	1	-	3	5	30	30	210	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, NORTHERN	5	-	1	-	4	5	30	30	210	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN	51	2	37	-	14	45	30	30	975	-	-	35	-	-	16	-
NEW YORK, WESTERN	9	-	7	-	2	3	30	30	52	-	-	8	-	-	1	-
NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN	3	-	3	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE	1	1	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN	5	-	4	-	1	4	30	30	30	-	-	4	1	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	90	-	-	-	-	3	-	-
OHIO, NORTHERN	31	-	13	-	18	22	30	30	964	2	-	28	-	-	1	-
OHIO, SOUTHERN	7	-	1	-	6	3	30	30	227	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN	8	2	3	-	5	4	30	30	208	-	-	5	3	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN	9	-	6	-	3	-	30	-	60	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
OREGON	43	7	32	-	11	13	30	30	431	-	-	43	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	125	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	8	-	6	-	2	4	30	30	90	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN	32	7	27	-	5	20	30	30	390	-	-	32	-	-	-	-
PUERTO RICO	14	2	11	-	3	3	30	30	90	-	-	13	-	-	1	-
RHODE ISLAND	3	-	-	-	3	5	30	30	228	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	25	13	14	-	11	36	30	30	762	-	-	25	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, EASTERN	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE	3	-	2	-	1	-	30	-	28	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, WESTERN	12	1	5	-	7	1	30	30	240	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, EASTERN	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	120	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, NORTHERN	11	-	4	-	7	10	30	30	450	-	1	10	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, SOUTHERN	83	18	62	-	21	45	30	30	1,293	-	-	82	-	1	-	-
TEXAS, WESTERN	64	-	55	-	9	20	29	30	379	-	-	64	-	-	-	-
UTAH	11	-	5	-	6	1	30	30	196	-	-	10	-	-	1	-
VIRGIN ISLANDS	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA, EASTERN	12	1	9	-	3	5	30	30	120	-	-	10	-	1	1	-
WASHINGTON, WESTERN	8	-	6	-	2	4	30	30	42	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2015 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other	Roving	None Specified
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS																
WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA																
MARICOPA	7	2	-	-	7	14	30	30	511	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	19	9	-	-	19	40	30	30	1,572	-	-	19	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA																
ALAMEDA	31	-	-	-	31	32	30	30	863	-	-	31	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA	9	-	4	-	5	9	30	30	234	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	89	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
KERN	14	-	-	-	14	14	30	30	320	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	123	2	-	-	123	147	30	30	5,765	-	-	123	-	-	-	-
MERCED	36	-	-	-	36	37	30	30	748	-	-	36	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY	13	-	-	-	13	13	30	30	315	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	31	-	-	-	31	31	30	30	751	-	-	31	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	640	1	-	-	640	738	30	30	25,100	-	-	640	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	11	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	58	3	-	-	58	64	30	30	2,186	-	-	58	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	19	-	-	-	19	19	30	30	666	-	-	17	2	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN	28	-	-	-	28	5	30	30	771	-	-	28	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2	-	-	-	2	3	30	30	123	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	3	-	-	-	3	3	30	30	90	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	13	2	-	-	13	3	26	30	319	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	5	1	-	-	5	3	30	30	98	-	-	4	1	-	-	-
TULARE	43	-	-	-	43	43	30	30	1,085	-	-	43	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	59	37	-	-	59	3	30	30	1,151	-	-	59	-	-	-	-
YOLO	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	10	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
COLORADO																
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	12	5	12	-	-	6	30	30	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	33	-	-	-	33	6	30	30	832	-	-	29	-	-	4	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	29	3	-	1	28	4	30	30	791	-	-	29	-	-	-	-
7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MONTROSE)	3	-	3	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JACKSON/LARIMER)	11	-	11	-	-	3	30	30	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	8	-	8	-	-	3	30	30	21	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	22	-	16	-	6	8	30	30	221	-	-	22	-	-	-	-

**Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2015 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application					
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other	Roving
COLORADO															
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	6	-	-	-	6	3	30	30	238	-	-	6	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	28	1	28	-	-	15	29	30	-	-	-	25	-	3	-
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	1
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	43	-	-	3	-	-	-
FLORIDA															
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	5	2	-	-	5	4	30	30	261	-	-	5	-	-	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	8	-	-	-	8	1	30	30	219	-	-	8	-	-	-
6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PINELLAS)	4	-	-	-	4	1	24	30	75	-	-	4	-	-	-
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	9	3	-	-	9	4	30	30	337	-	-	8	1	-	-
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	7	-	-	-	7	1	30	30	142	-	-	7	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	9	1	-	-	9	1	30	30	274	-	-	9	-	-	-
10TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (POLK)	16	4	-	-	16	6	30	30	535	-	-	16	-	-	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	12	1	1	-	11	13	30	30	660	-	-	9	2	1	-
13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HILLSBOROUGH)	15	2	-	-	15	6	30	30	459	-	-	15	-	-	-
14TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BAY)	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	123	-	-	3	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	150	-	-	5	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	4	2	-	1	3	1	30	30	81	-	-	4	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEE)	6	-	6	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	51	1	-	-	51	21	30	30	1,821	-	-	45	6	-	-
GEORGIA															
BIBB	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	90	-	-	2	-	-	-
CHATHAM	9	2	-	-	9	11	30	30	537	-	-	8	1	-	-
CHEROKEE	24	-	-	-	24	-	30	-	672	-	-	24	-	-	-
COBB	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	90	-	-	3	-	-	-
HAWAII															
MAUI	9	-	-	-	9	7	30	30	395	-	-	9	-	-	-
IDAHO															
LEMHI	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	9	-	-	1	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2015 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders						Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *	Number of Extensions	Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other	Roving	None Specified
ILLINOIS																
COOK	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	17	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
DUPAGE	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	98	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
EFFINGHAM	2	-	-	1	1	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
LAKE	5	-	-	-	5	1	30	30	131	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
MENARD	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	56	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
RICHLAND	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO	5	-	-	-	5	1	30	30	149	-	-	4	1	-	-	-
INDIANA																
MORGAN	10	1	-	-	10	2	30	30	165	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
KANSAS																
FINNEY	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	60	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA																
24TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	5	-	5	-	-	5	30	30	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
32ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (TERREBONNE)	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	40	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND																
ANNE ARUNDEL	5	-	5	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE	18	-	3	-	15	14	30	30	538	-	-	18	-	-	-	-
HOWARD	5	-	5	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGE'S	4	-	3	-	1	4	30	30	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	28	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS																
SUFFOLK	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	22	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA																
RAMSEY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	10	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA																
DOUGLAS	7	-	-	-	7	2	30	30	203	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
NEVADA																
CLARK	300	3	110	6	184	78	30	31	5,793	-	-	299	-	-	1	-
DOUGLAS	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY																
ATLANTIC	3	-	2	-	1	2	30	30	20	-	-	2	-	1	-	-
BEDFORD	4	-	4	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
BERGEN	14	-	14	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	6	8	-	-	-
CAMDEN	18	1	13	-	5	5	28	30	49	-	2	13	-	3	-	-
CUMBERLAND	3	-	2	-	1	-	13	-	7	-	-	1	-	2	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2015 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other	Roving	None Specified
NEW JERSEY																
ESSEX	9	-	-	1	8	3	22	30	213	-	-	7	-	2	-	-
GLOUCESTER	10	-	-	-	10	-	20	-	102	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	30	-	17	-	13	5	23	30	235	-	-	26	-	3	1	-
MIDDLESEX	7	-	7	-	-	7	27	30	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	2	-	-	-	2	-	25	-	42	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
OCEAN	36	-	15	-	21	6	21	30	301	-	-	34	1	-	1	-
SALEM	6	-	1	-	5	-	19	-	57	-	-	5	-	1	-	-
SOMERSET	5	-	5	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	4	-	1	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	30	-	23	-	7	14	24	30	305	-	-	23	4	1	2	-
UNION	4	-	3	-	1	1	30	30	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK																
BRONX	36	6	-	1	35	46	30	31	1,930	-	-	36	-	-	-	-
KINGS	19	-	19	-	-	32	30	30	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	8	1	5	-	3	6	30	30	102	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK	69	6	-	1	68	156	30	30	6,514	-	-	65	1	1	2	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	123	10	-	-	123	63	30	30	4,156	-	-	110	-	13	-	-
ONEIDA	6	2	-	-	6	3	30	30	185	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
QUEENS	205	9	-	4	201	551	30	30	20,227	-	-	205	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	2	-	-	-	2	22	30	30	627	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	14	3	6	-	8	51	30	30	833	-	-	12	1	1	-	-
SUFFOLK	33	-	2	-	31	27	28	30	1,100	-	-	33	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	5	-	-	-	5	3	30	30	217	-	3	2	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	-	1	2	30	30	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
OHIO																
HAMILTON	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
LORAIN	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	59	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
MAHONING	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	60	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
OKLAHOMA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	20	-	20	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	-
OREGON																
MULTNOMAH	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	23	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
PENNSYLVANIA																
BERKS	10	-	-	-	10	2	27	30	185	-	-	9	-	1	-	-
MONTGOMERY	22	-	8	-	14	5	29	30	319	-	-	13	-	1	8	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	15	-	13	-	2	3	27	30	77	-	-	15	-	-	-	-

**Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2015 (Continued)**

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed *		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination **	Other	Roving	None Specified
TENNESSEE																
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SULLIVAN)	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	60	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
3RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GREENE, HAMBLLEN, HANCOCK, HAWKINS)	8	-	4	4	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
7TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ANDERSON)	15	-	-	-	15	17	30	30	848	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HAMILTON)	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	40	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUTNAM)	12	-	-	1	11	1	30	30	291	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
16TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (RUTHERFORD)	3	-	-	-	3	3	30	30	138	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	15	-	-	-	15	1	30	30	294	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
23RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DICKSON)	7	-	-	-	7	1	30	30	183	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	1	-	-	-	1	2	30	30	88	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
TEXAS																
HIDALGO	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA																
BARBOUR	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	40	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
WISCONSIN																
MILWAUKEE	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-

*Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

**Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.