From: Jed Berliner < jed@berlinerlaw.com>
To: Rules_Comments@ao.uscourts.gov

Date: 08/30/2011 04:27 PM

Subject: Proposed Amendment to Official Form 6C

Dear Committee:

I have two concerns with the proposed amendment to Official Form 6C.

My first concern is the limitation of "Full fair market value of the exempted property." The proposed Amendment's alternative will have a debtor exempt the full market value, far more than the equity, and likely force a debtor into choosing a state or federal exemption scheme unnecessarily. A debtor is only concerned with exempting equity of a home and has no need to exempt the full fair market value. *Schwab v. Reilly* dealt with unencumbered equipment and did not present this concern. I suggest allowing an alternative of claiming "100% of equity" for encumbered assets.

Secondly is the use of the interplay between 11 U.S.C. 522(d)(1) and 11 U.S.C. 522(d)(5). The debtor should state how much, if any, of 522(d)(5) is elected for application even under a "100%" exemption claim to assist a trustee and court in determining the propriety of claimed exemptions. I propose an opportunity to state a fixed 522(d)(5) amount without being bound by a fixed dollar amount for the overall exemption, allowing the exercise of the *Schwab v. Reilly* option for federally exempted residences.

I have attached a filed Amended Schedule C in a particular case where I am attempting to protect the debtor's *Schwab* opportunity under these issues. You will see that I stated the 522(d)(1) amount in the Description column but not in the Value of Claimed Exemption column. The trustee wants the 522(d)(1) amount stated in the Value of Claimed Exemption column, but I believe this will force a claim of a fixed dollar amount and defeat the *Schwab* opportunity. You will also see that I used the phrase "100% of Equity" and not "100% of FMV" because there is no need to exempt the encumbered amount of the home.

Both issues are being briefed for determination by the bankruptcy court.

__

Jed

L. Jed Berliner, Esquire Berliner Law Firm 95 State Street, Suite 1010, Springfield 01103-2081 (413) 788-9877



110422 Amnd-SchC, AmdDec. PDF

From: Jed Berliner < jed@berlinerlaw.com>

To: Rules_Comments@ao.uscourts.gov

Date: 08/31/2011 09:20 AM

Subject: Fwd: Proposed Amendment to Official Form 6C

Dear Committee:

My previous communication, below, violated one of your cardinal rules by raising concerns without proposing remedies.

My first concern was 100% of equity rather than 100% of market value. At first blush, the Committee may believe that this is a proposal which goes beyond the Supreme Court's "market value" wording. However, the Code states in one of two pertinent parts that the "property" which a debtor may exempt is actually the debtor's interest in the property to be exempted. Consider 522(b)(2)'s reference to 522(d)'s list of the federal exemption subsections, with each subsection addressing "the debtor's interest" in the designated property. This allows me to suggest that the addition to Schedule C should be "100% of market value of debtor's interest" as being consistent with both the Court and the Code.

The alternative 522(b)(3)(A) for state exemptions reads differently. It states "property" and not the debtor's interest in property. However, I believe that no interest is ever served by a debtor exempting more than the debtor's interest in property, so I continue with my suggestion that Schedule C be amended to allow the election of an exemption of "100% of market value of debtor's interest".

My second concern, first brought to my attention by the Chapter 13 trustee and the bankruptcy court, is that of needing to state the amount of the 522(d)(1) and 522(d)(5) amounts implicated in a 100% election. This could be achieved by changing the one of the column headings of Schedule C from "Specify Law Providing Each Exemption" to "Specify Law Providing Each Exemption, and Applicable Amount if Not Fixed". I believe that a debtor could state 522(d)(1) and 522(d)(5) amounts here without affecting a 100% election under the "Value of Claimed Exemption" column.

Thanks for your attention.

Jed

L. Jed Berliner, Esquire

In re	Adrian Gerald Luckham,
	Karola Elvira Durette-Luckham

Case No. 10-32633

Debtors

SCHEDULE C - PROPERTY CLAIMED AS EXEMPT - AMENDED

Debtor claims the exemptions to which debtor is entitled under:
(Check one box)

11 U.S.C. §522(b)(2)

11 U.S.C. §522(b)(3)

Check if debtor claims a homestead exemption that exceeds
\$146,450. (Amount subject to adjustment on 4/1/13, and every three years thereafter with respect to cases commenced on or after the date of adjustment.)

Description of Property	Specify Law Providing Each Exemption	Value of Claimed Exemption	Current Value of Property Without Deducting Exemption
Real Property 68 Warregan Street, Chicopee, MA; purchased 08/1998 for \$98,800.00; 08/11/98 Homestead attached; City of Chicopee assessment at \$167,400; 11/18/10 Broker Price Opinion at \$140,000.00; debtors' opinion of value stated. (dollar figure of claimed (d)(1) exemption is \$21,600.00)	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(1) per Schwab v Reilly, 130 S.Ct 2652, 2668 (2010)	100% of Equity	185,000.00
Cash on Hand Cash on hand as of 12/30/10.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	100.00	32.63
Checking, Savings, or Other Financial Accounts, TD Bank checking as of 12/30/10.	Certificates of Deposit 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	1,000.00	146.36
-		•	
TD Bank checking as of 12/30/10.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	4,000.00	582.34
TD Bank savings as of 12/30/10.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	400.00	296.24
Household Goods and Furnishings Miscellaneous items under \$525 each.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(3)	11,500.00	2,000.00
Books, Pictures and Other Art Objects; Collectibl Miscellaneous items under \$525 each.	es 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(3)	4,600.00	350.00
<u>Wearing Apparel</u> Miscellaneous items under \$525 each.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(3)	6,950.00	250.00
<u>Furs and Jewelry</u> Miscellaneous items under \$525 each.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(4)	2,900.00	1,000.00
<u>Firearms and Sports, Photographic and Other Ho</u> Smith & Wesson 9mm	bby Equipment 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	150.00	150.00
22 automatic	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	150.00	150.00
Walther 9mm	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	120.00	120.00
380 automatic	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	150.00	150.00
Interests in Insurance Policies Debtor interest in Primerica term life insurance policy.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(7)	100%	Undetermined
Interests in IRA, ERISA, Keogh, or Other Pension TIAA/CREF as of 12/31/10: Center For Human Development DC Retirement Plan and Center For Human Development TDA; fully excluded or exempt under appl non-bankruptcy law.	or Profit Sharing Plans 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(10)(E) 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(12) 29 U.S.C.A. § 1056(d)	100% 100% 100%	1,929.46

Case 10-32633 Doc 57 Filed 04/22/11 Entered 04/22/11 15:36:24 Desc Main 4/22/11 3:34PM Document Page 2 of 3

B6C (Official Form 6C) (4/10) -- Cont.

In re Adrian Gerald Luckham,
Karola Elvira Durette-Luckham

Case No. 10-32633

Debtors

SCHEDULE C - PROPERTY CLAIMED AS EXEMPT - AMENDED

(Continuation Sheet)

Description of Property	Specify Law Providing Each Exemption	Value of Claimed Exemption	Current Value of Property Without Deducting Exemption
Ivey Industries, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan as of 12/31/09; fully excluded or exempt under appl non-bankruptcy law.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(10)(E) 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(12) 29 U.S.C.A. § 1056(d)	100% 100% 100%	20,977.49
Other Liquidated Debts Owing Debtor Including Tossible 2010 tax refs/ EIC's (\$1,724 received for 2009).	Tax Refund 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	1,730.00	Undetermined
Other Contingent and Unliquidated Claims of Ever Possible claim against Debt Settlement USA; Monthly payments of \$750.12 from 11/08-12/09, two credit cards settled, one by debtor-wife.	ery Nature 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	2,020.00	Undetermined
Automobiles, Trucks, Trailers, and Other Vehicles 2008 Honda Accord EX; purchased 2004 for \$18,000.00; approx 44,000 miles/good condition/kbb value as of 11/05/10 \$15,200.00.	<u>s</u> 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(2)	0.00	15,200.00
2006 Hummer; purchased 2005 for \$36,000.00; approx 49,000 miles/good condition/ kbb value as of 11/05/10 \$15,175.00.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(2) 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	3,450.00 6,635.00	15,175.00
2003 Honda GL1800A Gold Wing	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(2) 11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	3,450.00 5,370.00	8,820.00
1969 Trailer; debtor's opinion of value; no related debt.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	100.00	100.00
2008 Utility Trailer; debtor's opinion of value stated; no related debt.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	500.00	500.00
Boats, Motors and Accessories 1990 Renlau boat & trailer; purchased 2008 for \$800.00; debtor's opinion of value stated; no related debt.	11 U.S.C. § 522(d)(5)	1,500.00	1,500.00

Total: 147,095.85 254,429.52

Document

United States Bankruptcy Court District of Massachusetts, Western Division

In re	Adrian Gerald Luckham Karola Elvira Durette-Luckham		Case No.	10-32633	
			Debtor(s)	Chapter	13
	DECLARATIO		ENDED NING DEBTOR	'S SCHEDUL	ES
	DECLARATION UND	ER PENALTY (OF PERJURY BY	INDIVIDUAL DE	EBTOR
	I declare under penalty of perj and that they are true and correct to the				g of 2 page(s),
Date	April 19, 2011	Signature	/s/ Adrian Gerald Adrian Gerald Lu Debtor		
Date	April 19, 2011	Signature	/s/ Karola Elvira I Karola Elvira Dur Joint Debtor		
Pe	nalty for making a false statement or conc		Fine of up to \$500, 152 and 3571.	000 or imprisonme	ent for up to 5 years or both.
		Certifica	te of Service		
	ndersigned certifies that this document was s to all ECF Registrants in this Case or Prosses.				
Date:	April 22, 2011	/s/ Jed Berl	iner		

L. Jed Berliner