

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 1998

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Place/Facility Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Single-Family Dwelling	Apartment	Multi-Dwelling	Business	Roving	Combinator**	Other
TOTAL	1,329	15	59	25	1,245	1,164	28	27	53,411	309	126	1	87	24	198	584
FEDERAL	566	2	-	4	562	471	30	30	26,340	121	35	1	40	1	88	280
ARIZONA																
MARICOPA	4	-	-	-	4	7	30	29	246	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
PIMA	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
YUMA	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	57	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
CALIFORNIA																
EL DORADO	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO	1	-	-	-	1	3	30	20	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
LOS ANGELES	37	-	-	-	37	27	30	29	1,496	3	-	-	-	-	18	16
MONTEREY	1	-	-	-	1	-	7	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	5	-	-	-	5	1	30	30	151	1	-	-	-	-	4	-
SAN BERNARDINO	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
SAN DIEGO	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
VENTURA	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
COLORADO																
ARAPAHOE	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
CONNECTICUT																
HARTFORD	13	-	1	1	11	1	15	15	112	6	4	-	-	-	-	3
LITCHFIELD	3	-	-	-	3	-	15	-	45	1	-	-	-	-	-	2
NEW BRITAIN	2	-	-	-	2	-	15	-	12	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN	1	-	-	-	1	-	15	-	8	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW LONDON	4	-	-	-	4	-	15	-	46	1	3	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE																
KENT	1	-	-	-	1	-	15	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
FLORIDA																
2ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEON)	4	-	-	-	4	2	30	30	132	1	-	-	2	-	-	1
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	45	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	73	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PINELLAS)	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	3	-	-	1	2	-	30	-	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	6	-	-	-	6	1	30	30	128	2	-	-	-	-	-	4
13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HILLSBOROUGH)	5	-	-	-	5	4	30	30	225	1	-	-	-	-	4	-
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	10	40	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	65	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	15	-	-	-	15	7	28	30	428	4	3	-	-	-	-	8

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 1998 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Place/Facility Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Single-Family Dwelling	Apartment	Multi-Dwelling	Business	Roving	Combinator**	Other
FLORIDA (Continued)																
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA																
CORDELE	5	-	-	-	5	3	20	20	144	1	-	-	1	-	1	2
GWINNETT	4	-	-	-	4	2	20	20	110	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
HAWAII																
HONOLULU	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
ILLINOIS																
FORD	1	-	-	-	1	-	5	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY	11	-	-	-	11	-	10	-	92	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENDALL	2	-	-	-	2	-	10	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
MOULTRIE	7	-	-	3	4	-	10	-	5	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	5	-	-	-	5	-	10	-	10	-	-	-	-	5	-	-
WINNEBAGO	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
KANSAS																
JOHNSON	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	46	1	-	-	1	-	-	2
LOUISIANA																
ORLEANS	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
ST. TAMMANY	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	47	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
MARYLAND																
BALTIMORE	8	-	1	-	7	1	30	30	149	7	-	-	-	-	1	-
BALTIMORE CITY	3	-	-	-	3	1	29	29	117	1	-	-	2	-	-	-
CECIL	3	-	-	-	3	3	30	30	159	2	-	-	-	-	-	1
HARFORD	10	-	-	-	10	-	24	-	179	3	1	-	-	1	3	2
HOWARD	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
SOMERSET	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	103	3	-	-	-	-	2	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	60	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA																
ROSEAU	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI																
COPIAH	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	23	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	17	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEAKE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	28	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
PONTOTOC	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	49	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA																
DOUGLAS	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	46	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
NEVADA																
CLARK	11	-	-	1	10	2	30	30	222	8	-	-	1	-	2	-
HUMBOLDT	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	26	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	9	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	10	-	10	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 1998 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Place/Facility Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Single-Family Dwelling	Apartment	Multi-Dwelling	Business	Roving	Combinator**	Other
NEW JERSEY																
BERGEN	10	-	3	-	7	6	25	27	284	7	-	-	2	-	-	1
BURLINGTON	4	-	-	-	4	2	25	30	116	1	1	-	2	-	-	-
CAMDEN	6	-	-	-	6	7	30	30	332	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
ESSEX	3	-	1	-	2	-	20	-	32	-	2	-	-	-	1	-
GLOUCESTER	2	-	-	-	2	1	20	10	39	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	9	-	8	-	1	10	20	10	40	1	1	-	1	-	-	6
HUNTERDON	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	20	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER	3	-	3	-	-	3	20	10	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	8	-	-	-	8	2	20	10	149	4	-	-	2	2	-	-
OCEAN	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	20	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	4	-	-	-	4	-	28	-	100	1	-	-	1	-	-	2
SOMERSET	2	-	-	-	2	-	20	-	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	16	-	15	-	1	8	30	30	30	7	-	-	2	-	3	4
UNION	15	-	-	-	15	7	20	10	293	2	4	-	1	-	3	5
NEW YORK																
ERIE	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	88	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
FRANKLIN	7	-	7	-	-	13	30	25	-	6	-	-	1	-	-	-
HERKIMER	3	-	-	-	3	3	30	30	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
KINGS	20	-	2	-	18	16	29	30	658	2	8	-	5	-	-	5
MONROE	12	-	3	-	9	7	30	30	396	5	-	-	-	-	3	4
NASSAU	13	-	-	-	13	16	30	29	644	1	1	-	-	-	8	3
NEW YORK	29	4	2	4	23	42	30	30	1,511	1	1	-	3	12	9	3
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	21	1	-	-	21	170	30	16	2,974	2	4	-	-	-	8	7
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	186	4	1	5	180	165	30	30	7,374	-	18	-	5	-	1	162
ONONDAGA	6	-	1	-	5	8	30	30	279	5	-	-	-	-	1	-
QUEENS	14	2	-	1	13	54	29	29	1,632	2	2	-	-	-	8	2
RENSSELAER	3	-	-	1	2	1	30	30	90	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
ROCKLAND	2	-	-	-	2	5	30	30	84	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
SCHENECTADY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
SUFFOLK	28	-	-	-	28	15	30	30	842	8	14	-	1	-	-	5
WESTCHESTER	25	1	1	1	23	37	30	30	1,499	2	8	-	5	-	2	8
OHIO																
LORAIN	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	19	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	59	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
OREGON																
MULTNOMAH	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	15	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA																
CHESTER	5	-	-	1	4	1	30	30	106	2	-	-	-	-	-	3
DAUPHIN	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
LEHIGH	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	55	1	1	-	-	-	-	1
MCKEAN	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	70	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	7	-	1	-	6	-	30	-	104	3	-	-	2	-	-	2
NORTHUMBERLAND	1	-	-	1	-	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	45	1	1	1	43	13	30	30	1,269	15	5	-	6	-	-	19

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 1998 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Place/Facility Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Single-Family Dwelling	Apartment	Multi-Dwelling	Business	Roving	Combinator**	Other
TEXAS																
NACOGDOCHES	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	72	1	2	-	-	-	-	-
POTTER	2	-	-	-	2	1	20	10	49	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH																
SALT LAKE	5	-	-	-	5	5	30	30	246	1	3	-	-	-	-	1

* Based on the actual number of intercept devices installed as reported by the prosecuting official.
 ** Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.