

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2012

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Total Number of Days in Operation	Location Authorized in Original Application					
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed ¹		Original Authorization	Extensions	Personal Residence		Business	Portable Device	Combination ²	Other	Roaming	None Specified
TOTAL	3,395	54	846	48	2,501	1,932	30	29	98,562	14	5	3,292	53	24	7	-
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS																
FEDERAL TOTAL	1,354	16	716	5	633	521	30	30	22,926	2	4	1,328	15	3	2	-
ALABAMA, MIDDLE	11	-	5	-	6	1	30	30	148	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
ALABAMA, NORTHERN	10	-	6	-	4	1	30	26	78	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	19	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA	77	1	44	-	33	27	30	30	1,102	-	-	75	2	-	-	-
ARKANSAS, EASTERN	12	1	8	-	4	-	30	-	96	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS, WESTERN	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	98	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL	74	-	1	2	71	40	30	30	2,921	-	-	73	1	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN	32	1	14	-	18	7	30	30	576	-	-	30	2	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN	17	-	16	-	1	1	30	30	30	-	-	15	1	1	-	-
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN	52	-	24	-	28	31	30	29	1,177	2	-	49	1	-	-	-
COLORADO	15	1	10	-	5	5	30	30	144	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT	27	-	19	-	8	9	30	30	270	-	-	27	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE	1	-	1	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	25	-	25	-	-	9	30	30	-	-	-	24	-	-	1	-
FLORIDA, MIDDLE	20	-	15	-	5	7	30	30	258	-	-	20	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, NORTHERN	4	-	1	-	3	-	30	-	55	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN	33	1	20	-	13	11	30	30	537	-	-	33	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA, MIDDLE	11	-	7	-	4	4	30	30	159	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA, NORTHERN	38	1	29	-	9	13	30	30	352	-	-	38	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
HAWAII	13	-	13	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, CENTRAL	2	1	2	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN	90	-	71	-	19	20	30	30	322	-	-	90	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN	19	2	13	-	6	4	30	30	161	-	-	19	-	-	-	-
INDIANA, SOUTHERN	35	-	26	-	9	18	30	30	305	-	-	35	-	-	-	-
IOWA, NORTHERN	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	59	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
IOWA, SOUTHERN	5	-	4	-	1	-	30	-	3	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
KANSAS	11	-	8	-	3	2	30	30	63	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY, EASTERN	11	1	5	-	6	5	30	30	189	-	-	10	1	-	-	-
KENTUCKY, WESTERN	12	-	8	-	4	8	30	30	190	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, EASTERN	11	-	10	-	1	4	30	30	30	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA, WESTERN	16	-	14	-	2	12	30	29	90	-	-	16	-	-	-	-
MAINE	12	-	9	-	3	6	30	30	86	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND	12	-	2	-	10	8	30	30	445	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS	12	-	8	-	4	4	30	30	142	-	-	11	1	-	-	-
MICHIGAN, EASTERN	10	-	-	-	10	9	30	30	431	-	-	9	-	-	1	-
MICHIGAN, WESTERN	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	29	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA	4	-	4	-	-	3	30	30	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN	11	-	1	-	10	1	30	30	241	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, EASTERN	73	-	28	-	45	11	30	30	1,382	-	-	73	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI, WESTERN	29	-	9	1	19	6	30	30	642	-	-	29	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA	10	-	9	-	1	-	26	-	26	-	-	9	1	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2012 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed ¹		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination ²	Other	Roving	None Specified
FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS (Continued)																
NEVADA	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	39	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY	4	-	1	-	3	3	30	30	155	-	-	3	1	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO	30	-	22	-	8	25	29	30	557	-	-	30	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, EASTERN	5	-	2	-	3	3	30	30	150	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, NORTHERN	17	-	3	-	14	8	30	30	484	-	-	17	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN	52	2	35	-	17	32	30	30	621	-	2	48	2	-	-	-
NEW YORK, WESTERN	6	-	6	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN	10	-	-	-	10	11	30	30	567	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE	5	-	3	-	2	2	30	30	74	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN	10	-	3	-	7	2	30	30	197	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
OHIO, NORTHERN	41	-	32	-	9	26	30	28	485	-	-	41	-	-	-	-
OHIO, SOUTHERN	5	-	-	-	5	3	30	30	167	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, EASTERN	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN	1	-	1	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN	18	-	12	-	6	3	30	30	120	-	-	18	-	-	-	-
OREGON	2	-	2	-	-	2	30	30	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN	5	-	-	-	5	3	30	30	216	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN	21	-	5	-	16	19	30	30	622	-	-	21	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA	2	-	1	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, EASTERN	13	-	1	1	11	3	30	30	339	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, MIDDLE	7	-	7	-	-	5	30	29	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE, WESTERN	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, EASTERN	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
TEXAS, NORTHERN	27	1	7	-	20	7	30	30	745	-	-	26	-	1	-	-
TEXAS, SOUTHERN	50	1	11	-	39	15	30	30	1,336	-	-	50	-	-	-	-
TEXAS, WESTERN	85	2	40	-	45	35	30	30	1,832	-	2	83	-	-	-	-
UTAH	15	-	15	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA, EASTERN	18	-	7	1	10	1	30	30	212	-	-	18	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON, EASTERN	4	-	2	-	2	-	30	-	60	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON, WESTERN	14	-	-	-	14	11	30	30	685	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN	9	-	-	-	9	5	30	30	317	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
WYOMING	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA																
MARICOPA	13	2	-	-	13	25	27	29	703	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
PIMA	5	-	-	-	5	5	30	30	242	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	43	3	-	-	43	36	30	30	1,925	-	-	42	1	-	-	-
YUMA	5	-	-	-	5	2	30	30	153	-	-	5	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2012 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)		Total Number of Days in Operation	Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed ¹		Original Authorization	Extensions		Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination ²	Other	Roving	None Specified
CALIFORNIA																
ALAMEDA	3	-	-	-	3	-	15	-	45	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
IMPERIAL	21	2	-	-	21	15	30	29	962	-	-	21	-	-	-	-
KERN	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	34	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	165	-	-	6	159	52	30	30	5,680	1	-	162	2	-	-	-
MERCED	6	-	-	-	6	1	26	30	92	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
ORANGE	13	-	-	-	13	-	30	-	311	-	-	13	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	315	-	-	12	303	129	30	30	11,358	-	-	315	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	119	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	69	4	-	-	69	60	30	30	3,438	-	-	69	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	37	3	-	1	36	27	30	30	1,517	-	-	36	1	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	39	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	17	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	5	-	-	-	5	-	27	-	113	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	24	-	-	1	23	8	30	30	842	-	-	24	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS	25	-	-	-	25	1	30	30	367	1	-	24	-	-	-	-
SUTTER	3	-	-	-	3	-	25	-	75	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	25	1	-	3	22	1	30	30	486	-	-	25	-	-	-	-
COLORADO																
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	38	2	-	1	37	8	30	30	1,059	-	-	38	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (GARFIELD, PITKIN, RIO BLANCO)	2	-	2	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	117	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	11	-	-	-	11	11	30	30	543	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (WELD)	3	-	1	-	2	2	30	30	70	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	11	-	8	1	2	1	30	30	64	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	41	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	-	-	2	7	-	30	-	175	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT																
HARTFORD	4	-	4	-	-	7	30	24	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA																
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	18	-	-	6	12	-	30	-	315	-	-	18	-	-	-	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	1	-	-	10	3	29	30	362	-	-	10	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2012 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed ¹		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination ²	Other	Roving	None Specified
FLORIDA (Continued)																
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	10	-	-	-	10	1	30	30	256	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (PALM BEACH)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	28	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	7	-	-	-	7	1	30	30	178	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	56	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA																
COBB	44	-	-	-	44	30	30	30	1,752	-	-	44	-	-	-	-
DEKALB	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
DOUGLAS	14	-	-	-	14	2	30	30	415	-	-	14	-	-	-	-
FLOYD	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	60	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
GWINNETT	4	-	-	-	4	45	30	32	790	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	146	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
LOOKOUT MOUNTAIN	3	-	-	-	3	-	25	-	64	1	-	2	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS																
COOK	33	-	-	1	32	-	30	-	734	-	-	33	-	-	-	-
INDIANA																
MARION	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	71	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND																
BALTIMORE	5	-	-	-	5	2	30	30	147	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
HARFORD	34	-	-	-	34	4	30	30	536	-	-	34	-	-	-	-
KENT	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	150	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	87	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
WICOMICO	1	-	-	-	1	3	30	30	93	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER	4	-	3	-	1	3	30	30	69	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS																
BERKSHIRE	3	-	-	-	3	4	30	15	114	-	-	2	-	1	-	-
BRISTOL	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	22	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	4	-	-	-	4	5	15	15	112	-	-	3	1	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	1	-	-	-	1	4	15	12	62	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2012 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed ¹		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination ²	Other	Roaming	None Specified
MINNESOTA																
HENNEPIN	3	-	3	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
RAMSEY	2	2	-	-	2	2	30	30	103	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI																
ALCORN	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	58	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	133	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
LEE	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	38	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
LOWNDES	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	49	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
MARSHALL	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	17	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA																
DOUGLAS	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	60	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	75	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
NEVADA																
CLARK	240	-	1	4	235	63	30	30	7,747	-	-	239	1	-	-	-
WASHOE	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	45	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY																
ATLANTIC	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	60	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
BERGEN	5	-	-	-	5	4	20	10	111	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	1	-	-	-	1	-	10	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
CAPE MAY	2	-	1	-	1	-	6	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-
CUMBERLAND	17	-	2	-	15	7	22	20	286	-	-	13	2	2	-	-
ESSEX	6	3	-	-	6	6	11	10	114	-	-	5	-	1	-	-
GLOUCESTER	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	7	-	1	1	5	3	20	18	166	-	-	3	-	4	-	-
MIDDLESEX	2	-	-	-	2	2	20	10	47	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH	11	-	-	1	10	10	30	30	495	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
OCEAN	3	-	-	-	3	-	21	-	35	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
SALEM	4	-	-	-	4	4	20	13	130	-	-	3	1	-	-	-
SOMERSET	7	-	-	-	7	-	23	-	119	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	12	-	8	-	4	4	26	30	80	-	-	9	1	-	2	-
UNION	23	-	22	-	1	3	29	30	1	2	-	14	4	-	3	-
NEW YORK																
CLINTON	5	-	-	-	5	1	30	30	119	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
ERIE	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
FULTON	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	50	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
KINGS	4	-	4	-	-	8	30	27	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	6	-	3	-	3	18	30	30	230	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
NASSAU	5	-	2	-	3	14	30	26	243	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	11	-	-	-	11	41	30	30	1,394	-	-	8	3	-	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	101	2	-	2	99	60	30	30	3,532	2	-	92	-	7	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2012 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed ¹		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination ²	Other	Roving	None Specified
NEW YORK (Continued)																
QUEENS	175	-	1	-	174	488	28	30	17,023	-	-	170	1	4	-	-
RICHMOND	28	5	28	-	-	41	30	29	-	-	1	27	-	-	-	-
SAINT LAWRENCE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	28	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	28	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	13	-	10	-	3	54	30	29	115	-	-	8	5	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	55	8	-	-	55	37	25	25	2,112	1	-	54	-	-	-	-
WAYNE	15	-	-	-	15	5	30	30	447	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	16	-	-	-	16	7	30	30	532	-	-	14	1	1	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	22	-	-	-	22	-	30	-	133	-	-	12	10	-	-	-
OHIO																
FRANKLIN	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	18	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	6	-	6	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	-	-	-	9	-	30	-	175	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA																
BUCKS	4	-	-	-	4	-	26	-	104	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING	1	-	1	-	-	1	30	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	11	-	6	-	5	-	30	-	56	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	30	-	-	-	30	6	30	33	737	3	-	27	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE																
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	23	-	-	-	23	-	30	-	491	-	-	23	-	-	-	-
24TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DECATUR)	1	-	-	-	1	2	30	10	22	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	11	-	11	-	-	7	30	30	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	-
6TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (KNOX)	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	120	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
TEXAS																
DALLAS	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
EL PASO	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	20	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
HARRIS	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOM GREEN	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS	5	-	-	1	4	-	30	-	79	-	-	5	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2012 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed ¹		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination ²	Other	Roving	None Specified
VIRGINIA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	-	-	-	9	2	30	30	222	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
WYOMING																
LARAMIE	6	-	-	-	6	1	30	30	142	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
SHERIDAN	3	-	-	-	3	2	30	30	136	-	-	3	-	-	-	-

¹ Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

² Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.