

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2005*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
TOTAL	1,694	1,609	18	23	44	4,674	776
FEDERAL	624	602	7	2	13	2,354	240
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	4	4	-	-	-	13	5
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	8	-	-	-	55	6
CALIFORNIA							
ALAMEDA	1	1	-	-	-	13	-
FRESNO	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES	91	89	-	2	-	208	14
ORANGE	31	9	-	-	22	5	1
PLACER	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	12	12	-	-	-	30	1
SAN BERNARDINO	18	18	-	-	-	16	3
SAN DIEGO	61	61	-	-	-	156	112
SANTA BARBARA	3	3	-	-	-	15	7
COLORADO							
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DENVER)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	8	8	-	-	-	12	-
CONNECTICUT							
NEW HAVEN	3	3	-	-	-	3	-
NEW LONDON	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA							
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	17	17	-	-	-	52	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	1	1	-	-	-	12	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	2	1	-	-	1	35	1
13TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (HILLSBOROUGH)	9	9	-	-	-	40	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	8	8	-	-	-	29	1
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	30	30	-	-	-	53	10
GEORGIA							
AUGUSTA	1	1	-	-	-	8	-
BIBB	3	3	-	-	-	47	-
FULTON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GWINNETT	7	7	-	-	-	27	1
HOUSTON	13	13	-	-	-	31	8
ILLINOIS							
CLARK	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DUPAGE	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
POPE	1	1	-	-	-	2	1

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2005 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons		
						Arrested	Convicted***	
MARYLAND								
BALTIMORE	5	4	1	-	-	-	-	
BALTIMORE CITY	2	2	-	-	-	32	13	
CECIL	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	
HARFORD	5	5	-	-	-	20	13	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	
MASSACHUSETTS								
HAMPSHIRE	1	1	-	-	-	16	-	
MIDDLESEX	10	10	-	-	-	1	-	
MINNESOTA								
HENNEPIN	2	2	-	-	-	10	2	
MISSISSIPPI								
FORREST	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
HINDS	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	
LAMAR	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
LEFLORE	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
WASHINGTON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
NEVADA								
CLARK	2	2	-	-	-	3	1	
ELKO	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	
NEW JERSEY								
ATLANTIC	2	2	-	-	-	20	-	
BURLINGTON	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	3	2	1	-	-	24	1	
CAPE MAY	2	2	-	-	-	20	3	
ESSEX	2	2	-	-	-	2	-	
GLOUCESTER	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HUDSON	28	25	1	2	-	55	-	
MIDDLESEX	4	4	-	-	-	60	17	
MORRIS	28	28	-	-	-	169	-	
PASSAIC	16	16	-	-	-	29	-	
SALEM	1	1	-	-	-	27	-	
SOMERSET	20	19	-	1	-	160	-	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	38	37	-	1	-	-	-	
UNION	34	34	-	-	-	60	-	
NEW YORK								
ALBANY	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	
DUTCHESS	3	3	-	-	-	8	-	
FRANKLIN	7	7	-	-	-	22	-	
JEFFERSON	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KINGS	3	3	-	-	-	15	-	
MONTGOMERY	4	4	-	-	-	2	2	
NASSAU	7	6	-	-	1	20	5	
NEW YORK	2	1	-	-	1	3	-	
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	9	8	-	-	1	20	20	
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	143	132	4	7	-	54	36	
ONEIDA	4	4	-	-	-	12	12	

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2005 (Continued)*

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination**	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted***
NEW YORK (CONTINUED)							
QUEENS	116	106	2	5	3	125	77
RICHMOND	4	4	-	-	-	15	5
ROCKLAND	10	10	-	-	-	40	39
SARATOGA	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
SCHENECTADY	1	1	-	-	-	3	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	41	37	-	2	2	92	47
WAYNE	2	2	-	-	-	7	-
WESTCHESTER	20	19	-	1	-	59	23
NORTH CAROLINA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	8	-	-	-	35	27
OKLAHOMA							
GARFIELD	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA	5	5	-	-	-	17	-
OREGON							
LANE	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
MARION	3	3	-	-	-	37	-
MULTNOMAH	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA							
MCKEAN	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	5	5	-	-	-	18	3
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	40	40	-	-	-	107	16
RHODE ISLAND							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	3	3	-	-	-	15	2
TENNESSEE							
DAVIDSON	19	19	-	-	-	16	-
SHELBY	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS							
BURLESON	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FORT BEND	1	1	-	-	-	3	-
STARR	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	5	5	-	-	-	2	-

* NP = No prosecutor's report.

** Combination refers to the number of installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

*** Convictions resulting from interceptions often do not occur within the same year in which an intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.