

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2011

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted ³
TOTAL	2,189	2,092	6	4	87	3,547	465
FEDERAL	367	358	-	1	8	1,006	47
ARIZONA							
MARICOPA	21	21	-	-	-	255	65
PIMA	39	25	-	-	14	97	21
CALIFORNIA							
BUTTE	4	4	-	-	-	28	-
CONTRA COSTA	1	1	-	-	-	4	-
IMPERIAL	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
KERN	8	8	-	-	-	13	-
LOS ANGELES	170	170	-	-	-	101	-
MERCED	16	16	-	-	-	36	-
ORANGE	24	24	-	-	-	43	-
PLACER	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE	147	147	-	-	-	43	-
SACRAMENTO	9	9	-	-	-	7	-
SAN BERNARDINO	75	75	-	-	-	34	-
SAN DIEGO	40	40	-	-	-	149	-
SAN JOAQUIN	8	8	-	-	-	31	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	10	10	-	-	-	37	-
SANTA CLARA	19	19	-	-	-	45	-
SONOMA	3	3	-	-	-	11	-
STANISLAUS	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	21	21	-	-	-	46	-
COLORADO							
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	30	30	-	-	-	63	1
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	15	15	-	-	-	16	4
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	2	2	-	-	-	21	-
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	1	-	1	-	-	1	-
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	3	3	-	-	-	16	10
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	12	12	-	-	-	34	-
FLORIDA							
2ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEON)	4	3	1	-	-	1	-
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	49	23	-	-	26	34	16
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	10	-	-	-	9	-
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	13	13	-	-	-	17	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2011 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted ³
FLORIDA (CONTINUED)							
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	4	4	-	-	-	8	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	45	45	-	-	-	53	4
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA							
AUGUSTA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BIBB	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
DOUGLAS	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
GWINNETT	5	5	-	-	-	23	-
HOUSTON	4	4	-	-	-	12	-
MACON	4	4	-	-	-	10	-
ILLINOIS							
COOK	36	36	-	-	-	79	2
LAKE	2	2	-	-	-	32	8
WINNEBAGO	13	11	-	-	2	11	-
KANSAS							
SALINE	1	1	-	-	-	10	-
MARYLAND							
BALTIMORE	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	12	12	-	-	-	76	32
FREDERICK	7	7	-	-	-	7	-
HARFORD	24	23	1	-	-	-	-
HOWARD	5	5	-	-	-	21	-
ST. MARY'S	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WICOMICO	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS							
BRISTOL	1	1	-	-	-	5	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	5	5	-	-	-	11	-
MINNESOTA							
DAKOTA	2	2	-	-	-	12	-
MISSISSIPPI							
GRENADA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MARSHALL	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RANKIN	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT	3	3	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2011 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons		
						Arrested	Convicted ³	
MISSOURI								
ST. LOUIS	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
NEVADA								
CLARK	85	85	-	-	-	51	14	
NEW JERSEY								
ATLANTIC	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
BERGEN	11	11	-	-	-	11	-	
BURLINGTON	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
CAMDEN	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	
CAPE MAY	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	
CUMBERLAND	9	9	-	-	-	39	-	
ESSEX	6	6	-	-	-	13	8	
GLOUCESTER	14	11	-	-	3	-	-	
HUDSON	7	7	-	-	-	20	1	
MERCER	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MIDDLESEX	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MONMOUTH	6	6	-	-	-	55	-	
MORRIS	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	
OCEAN	12	12	-	-	-	20	-	
SOMERSET	4	4	-	-	-	29	27	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	
UNION	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
WARREN	NP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NEW YORK								
BRONX	30	10	-	-	20	44	13	
CLINTON	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	
KINGS	5	5	-	-	-	7	1	
MONROE	2	-	2	-	-	2	2	
NASSAU	1	1	-	-	-	4	1	
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	10	9	-	-	1	113	40	
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	68	67	-	1	-	16	-	
ONEIDA	14	14	-	-	-	5	4	
QUEENS	233	233	-	-	-	71	44	
RICHMOND	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	2	2	-	-	-	1	-	
SUFFOLK	21	21	-	-	-	15	12	
TOMPKINS	2	2	-	-	-	18	1	
WESTCHESTER	18	18	-	-	-	2	1	
NORTH CAROLINA								
HARNETT	1	1	-	-	-	23	3	
WAKE	1	1	-	-	-	6	10	
WAYNE	1	1	-	-	-	15	12	
OHIO								
CUYAHOGA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
HAMILTON	7	7	-	-	-	20	-	
JEFFERSON	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	
SENECA	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 6
Types of Surveillance Used, Arrests, and Convictions for Intercepts Installed
January 1 Through December 31, 2011 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders for which Intercepts Installed ¹	Wire (Incl. Any Type Telephone: Standard, Cell, Mobile)	Oral (Incl. Microphone, Eavesdrop)	Electronic (Incl. Digital Pager, Fax, Computer)	Combination ²	Number of Persons	
						Arrested	Convicted ³
OKLAHOMA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	8	-	-	-	86	47
OREGON							
BENTON	10	-	-	-	10	-	-
CLACKAMAS	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA							
BERKS	6	6	-	-	-	8	3
CHESTER	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	9	9	-	-	-	52	5
PHILADELPHIA	13	12	-	1	-	10	-
SCHUYLKILL	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	28	25	1	-	2	126	2
TENNESSEE							
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	23	23	-	-	-	14	-
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	14	14	-	-	-	34	-
TEXAS							
TRAVIS	2	2	-	-	-	14	-
UTAH							
UTAH	1	1	-	-	-	13	-
VIRGINIA							
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
WYOMING							
FREMONT	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LARAMIE	3	3	-	-	-	5	4
SWEETWATER	5	5	-	-	-	12	-

¹ NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

² Combination refers to installed intercepts for which more than one type of surveillance was used.

³ Convictions resulting from an intercept often do not occur within the same year in which the intercept was first reported. See Tables 8 and 9.