

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2001

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
TOTAL	1,491	7	35	51	1,405	1,008	27	29	53,574	206	60	1,007	117	83	16	2
FEDERAL	486	-	-	5	481	355	30	30	21,017	50	14	361	49	8	2	2
ARIZONA																
MARICOPA	2	-	-	-	2	4	30	30	150	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	-	-	-	8	8	27	30	435	2	-	3	3	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA																
LOS ANGELES	103	-	-	-	103	52	30	29	4,085	4	-	88	9	-	2	-
MONTEREY	3	-	1	-	2	-	16	-	19	-	-	2	-	1	-	-
RIVERSIDE	5	-	-	-	5	6	30	30	330	1	1	3	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO	1	-	-	-	1	2	30	30	72	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	55	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1	-	-	-	1	3	30	30	101	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA	2	-	-	-	2	-	25	-	38	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA	4	-	-	1	3	1	30	30	72	2	-	2	-	-	-	-
SHASTA	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	42	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
TEHAMA	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	90	1	-	2	-	-	-	-
VENTURA	3	-	-	-	3	5	30	30	234	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
COLORADO																
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	46	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	60	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT																
HARTFORD	4	-	2	-	2	-	15	-	10	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITCHFIELD	3	-	-	-	3	5	15	15	106	1	-	2	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN	2	-	-	-	2	1	15	15	17	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA																
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	5	-	-	1	4	2	30	27	158	1	-	4	-	-	-	-
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	59	1	-	-	-	-	2	-
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	25	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	11	1	-	-	11	1	30	30	192	1	-	9	1	-	-	-
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	4	-	-	-	4	1	30	30	149	1	-	3	-	-	-	-
17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BROWARD)	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	58	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	7	-	-	-	7	1	27	22	152	2	2	2	-	-	1	-
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	18	-	-	-	18	4	30	14	383	2	-	9	-	7	-	-
GEORGIA																
BIBB	6	-	-	-	6	-	20	-	74	4	2	-	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	10	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2001 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
ILLINOIS																
COOK	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	56	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
DE WITT	3	-	-	1	2	-	17	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
EDGAR	6	-	-	3	3	-	8	-	3	4	1	-	-	1	-	-
FAYETTE	4	-	-	1	3	-	10	-	2	1	3	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	10	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
HENRY	35	-	-	3	32	5	11	10	18	6	1	-	-	28	-	-
LEE	16	-	-	2	14	1	15	30	241	2	-	-	10	3	1	-
WASHINGTON	36	-	-	12	24	-	14	-	320	9	-	-	-	27	-	-
WHITE	5	-	-	-	5	-	15	-	5	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
WILL	21	-	-	6	15	-	13	-	65	-	-	21	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND																
BALTIMORE	24	-	-	-	24	4	25	23	537	3	-	20	1	-	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY	23	-	-	-	23	6	30	30	497	-	3	20	-	-	-	-
HOWARD	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	51	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
MASSACHUSETTS																
BARNSTABLE	1	-	-	-	1	1	15	15	30	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN	1	-	-	-	1	2	15	15	45	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	9	-	-	-	9	6	15	15	214	2	-	7	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA																
HENNEPIN	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	27	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI																
15TH CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	107	2	-	-	-	-	1	-
22ND CIRCUIT COURT DISTRICT	2	-	-	-	2	3	30	25	120	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
HARRISON	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	8	38	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA																
DOUGLAS	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	57	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
LINCOLN	1	-	-	-	1	2	30	30	86	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	-	-	-	1	2	10	10	29	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY																
BERGEN	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	14	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON	2	-	-	-	2	-	15	-	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
CAMDEN	5	-	-	-	5	-	30	-	98	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
CAPE MAY	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	15	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND	8	-	1	-	7	1	30	30	199	1	-	7	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER	5	-	1	-	4	3	20	10	84	2	-	3	-	-	-	-
HUDSON	12	-	12	-	-	5	30	30	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-
HUNTERDON	3	-	-	-	3	-	20	-	46	1	-	2	-	-	-	-
MERCER	1	-	1	-	-	1	20	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX	3	-	1	-	2	-	20	-	25	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
MORRIS	1	-	-	-	1	1	20	10	28	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC	11	-	-	-	11	8	25	15	360	1	2	7	1	-	-	-
SOMERSET	5	-	-	-	5	2	26	10	93	3	-	2	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	26	-	12	1	13	13	24	27	468	5	1	20	-	-	-	-
UNION	14	-	-	-	14	2	23	30	333	1	-	13	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges During Calendar Year 2001 (Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in Days)			Location Authorized in Original Application						
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed*		Original Authorization	Extensions	Total Number of Days in Operation	Personal Residence	Business	Portable Device	Combination**	Other	Roving	None Specified
NEW YORK																
DUTCHESS	1	-	-	-	1	4	30	30	120	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
KINGS	45	-	-	-	45	55	29	27	2,302	17	9	19	-	-	-	-
MONROE	20	-	-	-	20	11	30	30	637	1	-	18	-	-	1	-
NASSAU	6	-	-	1	5	3	30	30	206	1	-	2	3	-	-	-
NEW YORK	9	1	-	-	9	56	29	29	1,481	2	-	6	1	-	-	-
NY ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE	28	-	-	-	28	44	30	28	1,597	3	-	9	15	1	-	-
NYC SPECIAL NARCOTICS BUREAU	117	-	-	8	109	62	30	30	3,264	3	1	110	-	3	-	-
ONEIDA	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	100	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA	5	-	-	-	5	3	30	30	154	1	1	-	3	-	-	-
QUEENS	118	-	-	1	117	130	27	30	5,703	17	4	97	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	29	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
ROCKLAND	14	1	1	-	13	3	30	30	360	2	-	12	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK	12	-	-	2	10	7	30	30	377	6	4	2	-	-	-	-
TOMPKINS	3	-	-	-	3	1	30	30	67	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER	43	4	-	1	42	81	29	30	2,871	8	7	25	3	-	-	-
OHIO																
DARKE	1	-	-	-	1	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
PUTNAM	1	-	-	-	1	2	30	30	84	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA																
OKLAHOMA	2	-	-	-	2	2	20	30	95	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
OREGON																
DESCHUTES	1	-	-	-	1	-	14	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	11	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA																
BERKS	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	2	-	-	-	2	-	16	-	4	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
DAUPHIN	2	-	2	-	-	-	10	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	59	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	56	-	-	2	-	-	1	-
PHILADELPHIA	7	-	-	-	7	3	30	30	197	1	-	6	-	-	-	-
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	36	-	-	-	36	8	30	30	911	7	-	29	-	-	-	-
TEXAS																
LUBBOCK	1	-	-	-	1	1	30	30	43	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
UTAH																
SALT LAKE	4	-	-	1	3	3	30	30	133	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA																
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	4	-	-	1	3	-	30	-	57	2	1	-	1	-	-	-
WASHINGTON																
STEVENS	1	-	-	-	1	-	7	-	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN																
MILWAUKEE	3	-	1	-	2	1	30	30	68	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
RACINE	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	15	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

* Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

** Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions for which more than one location was reported.